

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

TYLER DIVISION

DATE: December 17, 2015

JUDGE

K. Nicole Mitchell

Court Reporter: Shelly Holmes

Courtroom Deputy: Lisa Hardwick

Law Clerk: Jacqueline Hamer

**CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS
EQUIPMENT LLC**

V

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. ET AL

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14cv982

MARKMAN HEARING

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

SEE ATTORNEY SIGN-IN SHEET(S)

On this day, came the parties by their attorneys and the following proceedings were had:

OPEN: 9:01 a.m.

ADJOURN: 12:18 p.m.

TIME:	MINUTES:
9:01 a.m.	Case Called for Markman Hearing. Appearances made (See Attorney Sign-In Sheet). Parties announced ready to proceed.
9:02 a.m.	Pltf Attorney Ed Nelson proposed an outline for the claims to be argued.
9:03 a.m.	Pltf Attorney Ryan Griffin argued the first group of disputed terms in the '966 patent: "wherein" clauses. Response by Deft Attorney Mike Rader (Sony Mobile Communications).
9:33 a.m.	Mr. Griffin argued the next disputed term in the '966 patent: ΔPpc. Response by Deft Attorney Chris Kennerly (AT&T Mobility).
9:56 am	Before moving on to the next term, the Court noted for the record that some claims had previously been agreed to by the parties. Mr. Kennerly stated the agreements on the record.
9:57 a.m.	Mr. Rader argued re the '966 patent, the Motion for Summary Judgment that certain asserted claims from each patent are invalid as indefinite from the [147] Defendants' Joint Markman Response. Response by Mr. Griffin.

DAVID A. O'TOOLE, CLERK

FILED 12/17/2015

PAGE 2 - Proceedings Continued

TIME:	MINUTES:
10:11 a.m.	COURT RECESSED
10:31 a.m.	COURT RESUMED
10:32 am	Ed Nelson gave a brief summary of the '060 patent re disputed claims, 1, 7, and 15.
10:32 am	Disputed claims “storing at the terminal of the cellular wireless communication system, a group of specific identifiers” and “store a group of specific identifiers” argued by Mr. Nelson. Response by Deft Attorney Saqib Siddiqui (LG Electronics).
10:47 am	Disputed claim term “paging message” argued by Mr. Nelson. Response by Mr. Rader
11:00 am	Disputed claim term “establish at least one of a physical channel and a logical channel” argued by Mr. Nelson. Response by Mr. Siddiqui.
11:05 am	Disputed claim term “temporary mobile subscriber identity” argued by Mr. Nelson. Response by Mr. Kennerly.
11:16 a.m.	Mr. Rader argued the Motion for Summary Judgment re claim 15 (“accurate receipt”) of the '060 patent from the Defendants' [147] Joint Markman Response. Response by Mr. Nelson.
11:32 am	Pltf Attorney Brent Bumgardner argued disputed claim term “secondary cells” of the '556 patent. Response by Mr. Siddiqui.
11:43 a.m.	Next disputed claim term in the '556 patent, “bitmap”, argued by Mr. Bumgardner. Response by Deft Attorney Chelsea Loughran (Sony Mobile Communication).
11:49 a.m.	Mr. Bumgardner argued disputed claim term “bits for a power headroom reports for a plurality of the secondary cells” in the '556 patent. Response by Ms. Loughran.
12:09 p.m.	Ms. Loughran argued the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment On Indefiniteness as to the '556 patent re terms “Type 1 power headroom report” and “Type 2 power headroom report”. Response by Mr. Bumgardner
12:18 p.m.	The Court thanked the parties for their argument and stated an opinion will be issued. There being nothing further, Court was Adjourned.