

EXHIBIT 9

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14-cv-982
v.	§	CONSOLIDATED LEAD CASE
	§	
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ET AL.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
	§	

**PLAINTIFF’S PRELIMINARY DISCLOSURE OF
ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS**

Pursuant to P.R. 3-1 and the Court’s May 4, 2015 Order (Dkt No. 44), Plaintiff Cellular Communications Equipment LLC submits this disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions to Defendants Sony Mobile Communications Inc. and Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. (collectively, “Sony”), AT&T Mobility LLC (“AT&T”), Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless (“Verizon”), and T-Mobile USA, Inc., and T-Mobile US, Inc. (collectively, “T-Mobile”).

I. P.R. 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions

(a) Defendants infringe the following claims:

1. Claims 1-7, 9, and 10-16 of U.S. Pat. 8,385,966;
2. Claims 13-15, and 21-23 of U.S. Pat. 8,848,556; and
3. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, and 15 of U.S. Pat. 8,868,060;

Defendants’ infringements are both direct and indirect, as set forth in the claim charts attached as Exhibits A through C. Plaintiff reserves the right to augment or supplement its contentions to

identify additional claims infringed by Defendants after discovery, in response to Defendants' P.R. 3-4 production, or as permitted under the Patent Rules.

(b) Defendants' direct and indirect infringements pertain to the Accused Products identified in Exhibits A through C. Plaintiff expects that this disclosure may be subject to amendment or supplementation to identify and accuse additional products released, developed, or made available by Defendants after the date on which these contentions are served, or of which Plaintiff was not aware at the time of these contentions.

(c) Charts identifying where each element or step of the asserted claims is found within, or performed by, each Accused Product are attached as Exhibits A through C. The charts are based on publicly-available information currently accessible to Plaintiff.

(d) Based on its current understanding of the claim language and publicly-available information pertaining to the Accused Products, and without notice of any non-infringement position from Defendants, Plaintiff asserts that Defendants literally infringe each element or step of the asserted claims. However, any claim element or step not literally present in or performed by the Accused Products is satisfied under the doctrine of equivalents because any difference between such claim element or step and the accused element or step are insubstantial. In other words, the accused element or step performs substantially the same function, in substantially the same way, to achieve substantially the same result.

As discovery has not yet begun and the Court has not construed certain claim terms, it is not yet clear whether Plaintiff will rely on the doctrine of equivalents. Accordingly, Plaintiff reserves the right, in response to discovery, Defendants' P.R. 3-4 production, or as permitted under the Patent Rules, to amend its infringement contentions as necessary.

(e) The asserted claims of U.S. Pat. 8,385,966 claim priority to an earlier application filed May 5, 2008. The asserted claims of U.S. Pat. 8,848,556 claim priority to an earlier

application filed June 21, 2010. The asserted claims of U.S. Pat. 8,868,060 claim priority to an earlier application filed April 2, 2007. Each patent listed in this section (e) is entitled to a priority date no later than the filing date of the earliest application to which it claims priority.

(f) Plaintiff is not aware of any apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality of its own that practices the claimed inventions.

II. P.R. 3-2 Document Production Accompanying Disclosure

- (a) Plaintiff is not presently aware of documents responsive to P.R. 3-2(a).
- (b) Other than information included in the file histories noted below, Plaintiff is not presently aware of documents responsive to P.R. 3-2(b).
 - (c) Documents responsive to P.R. 3-2(c) have been produced as follows:
 1. File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,385,966: CCE_LG000001-0263
 2. File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,848,556: CCE_LG000263-0824
 3. File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,868,060: CCE_LG000825-01446

Dated: May 15, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edward R. Nelson, III
Edward R. Nelson, III
enelson@nbclaw.net
Texas State Bar No. 00797142
S. Brannon Latimer
blatimer@nbclaw.net
Texas State Bar No. 24060137
Thomas C. Cecil
tcecil@nbclaw.net
Texas State Bar No. 24069489
NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.
3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
Phone: (817) 377-9111
Fax: (817) 377-3485

T. John Ward, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
J. Wesley Hill
Texas State Bar No. 24032294
Claire Abernathy Henry
Texas State Bar No. 24053063
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 1231
1127 Judson Rd. Ste. 220
Longview, Texas 75606-1231
(903) 757-6400
(903) 757-2323 (fax)
jw@jwfirm.com
wh@wsfirm.com
ch@wsfirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS
EQUIPMENT LLC**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.