EXHIBIT 2



Case 6:14-cv-00982-KNM Document 147-2 Filed 11/09/15 Page 2 of 20 PageID #: 1267

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/387,661	05/05/2009	Jari Lindholm	863.0099.U1(US)	2958
29683 HARRINGTON	7590 05/18/201 I & SMITH	2	EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE, Suite 202	HAMMOND, CRYSTAL L		
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2819	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		12/387,661	LINDHOLM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		CRYSTAL L. HAMMOND	2819			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2012</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1,2,10,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 3-9 and 14-20 is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers					
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on 09 June 2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)	_				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ute			



Application/Control Number: 12/387,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot because the arguments do not apply to any of the references being used in the current rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motorola (R1-081056) in view of Docomo et al. (R1-070870).
 - (1) regarding Claim 1:

Motorola discloses a method comprising:

using a processor to initialize for i=0 a first power control adjustment state g(i) for an uplink control channel and a second power control adjustment state f(i) for an uplink shared channel to each reflect an open loop power control error; and is initialized with the second power control adjustment state f(0) (5.1.1" "Physical uplink shared channel": PPuscH(i); f(i); f(0) and item 5.1.2: "Physical uplink control channel": PPUCCH(i); g(i), g(0)).



Application/Control Number: 12/387,661 Page 3

Art Unit: 2819

Claim 1 further defines: - using the processor to compute an initial transmit power for the uplink shared channel using full pathloss compensation, wherein the initial transmit power depends on a preamble power of a first message sent on an access channel and sending from a transmitter a third message on the uplink shared channel at the initial transmit power. Motorola discloses in general the uplink power control for the physical uplink shared channel (PUSCH) and the physical uplink control channel (PUCCH) in E- UTRA communication system wherein mathematical formulas for calculating the UE transmit power PPUSCH and PPUCCH for a subframe i are provided.

However, Motorola does not provide details about how to calculate the initial transmission power of the PUSCH apart from giving an indication that the power control adjustment states for both channels take the value 0 for i=0.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 differs from Motorola in that the calculation of the initial transmit power for the uplink shared channel is provided. The solution proposed in claim 1 for calculating the initial transmit power for the uplink shared channel based on a preamble power of a first message sent on an access channel is however already disclosed in Docomo (see Pg.1, item 2.1" "Non-synchronized Random Access Channel (RACH): L2/L3 message part"), which are also dealing with transmission power control (TPC) schemes for uplink physical channels in E-UTRA uplink.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

