IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MAXELL, LTD.	§	
	§	
V.	§	CAUSE NO. 5:19-CV-00036-RWS
	§	
APPLE, INC.	§	

MINUTES FOR PRETRIAL CONFERENCE HELD BEFORE JUDGE ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III MARCH 10, 2021

OPEN: 10:00	a.m.	ADJOURN: 1:46 p.m.
ATTORNEYS	S FOR PLAINTIFF:	Jamie Beaber, Geoffrey Culbertson, Tripp Fussell, Kfir Levy, Bryan Nese, Saqib Siddiqui and Kelly Tidwell
ATTORNEYS	S FOR DEFENDANT:	Sean Cunningham, Mark Fowler, Erin Gibson, Gil Gillam and Michael Jay
LAW CLERK		Susan Stradley
COURTROO	M DEPUTY:	Shedera Combs
COURT REP	ORTER:	Kate McAlpine
10:00 a.m.	everyone; Court revie	ntroduce themselves and announce ready; Court welcomes ws pretrial proceedings; Court reviews logistics of trial - dire, trial day, protocols
10:07 a.m.	Mr. Fowler requests p allow; Mr. Fowler inc	en and where to send COVID test results; Court responds; partial waiver (one day) for test of a witness; Court will puires about remote courtroom, including number of enter/exit policy, testing of participants, video/audio to

10:21 a.m. Geoff Culbertson asks for clarification re testing experts; Court responds; Mr. Culbertson asks about location of testifying witness in courtroom; Court responds; Mr. Culbertson asks about number allowed in courtroom.

DOCKET

Court of potential issue with Apple's first witness; Court responds

overflow as well as to other remote parties; Court responds; Mr. Fowler notifies

10:26 a.m.	Mr. Fowler asks for clarification on location of jury and parties during trial
10:27 a.m.	Court reviews voir dire procedures; Court will allow parties to set up equipment on Friday prior to voir dire, Monday after voir dire or Tuesday morning
10:39 a.m.	Gil Gillam asks if mini-opening statements will be allowed during voir dire; Court will allow
10:40 a.m.	Mr. Culberston asks when panel list and questionnaires will be available; Court will make them available Friday at noon for pick up; Mr. Culbertson asks for copies of letter to panel and final questionnaire; Court will provide
10:40 a.m.	Court reviews normal trial day
10:42 a.m.	Court reviews exchange of witness and exhibit lists; Parties agreement is acceptable; Court explains exhibit procedure
10:46 a.m.	Court explains use of clock - no sidebars, jury will be removed from courtroom if necessary; Parties will be charged time
10:47 a.m.	Court will not require in-person meet and confer; Issues where no agreement is reached should be filed on the docket by 10 p.m.
10.40	
10:48 a.m.	Court reviews sealing courtroom procedure
10:48 a.m. 10:49 a.m.	Court reviews sealing courtroom procedure Court will allow jury notebooks if parties agree
10:49 a.m.	Court will allow jury notebooks if parties agree Mr. Gillam asks re panel list and questionnaires; Court will make them available
10:49 a.m. 10:50 a.m.	Court will allow jury notebooks if parties agree Mr. Gillam asks re panel list and questionnaires; Court will make them available electronically at the parties request Court sets the following time limits: voir dire - 45 minutes, openings - 45 minutes, presentation - 18 hours per side and closing - 45 minutes; Court will consider
10:49 a.m. 10:50 a.m. 10:54 a.m.	Court will allow jury notebooks if parties agree Mr. Gillam asks re panel list and questionnaires; Court will make them available electronically at the parties request Court sets the following time limits: voir dire - 45 minutes, openings - 45 minutes, presentation - 18 hours per side and closing - 45 minutes; Court will consider lengthening closing to one hour Mr. Culbertson asks if closing can be split between attorneys; Court responds; Mr.
10:49 a.m. 10:50 a.m. 10:54 a.m. 10:56 a.m.	Court will allow jury notebooks if parties agree Mr. Gillam asks re panel list and questionnaires; Court will make them available electronically at the parties request Court sets the following time limits: voir dire - 45 minutes, openings - 45 minutes, presentation - 18 hours per side and closing - 45 minutes; Court will consider lengthening closing to one hour Mr. Culbertson asks if closing can be split between attorneys; Court responds; Mr. Gillam asks for clarification of voir dire time; Court responds
10:49 a.m. 10:50 a.m. 10:54 a.m. 10:56 a.m. 10:58 a.m.	Court will allow jury notebooks if parties agree Mr. Gillam asks re panel list and questionnaires; Court will make them available electronically at the parties request Court sets the following time limits: voir dire - 45 minutes, openings - 45 minutes, presentation - 18 hours per side and closing - 45 minutes; Court will consider lengthening closing to one hour Mr. Culbertson asks if closing can be split between attorneys; Court responds; Mr. Gillam asks for clarification of voir dire time; Court responds Mr. Cunningham questions re overflow courtroom when sealed; Court responds Court questions re parties agreement to carry deposition designations to trial; Kfir

price

- 11:07 a.m. Mr. Levy responds
- 11:10 a.m. Ms. Gibson responds
- 11:12 a.m. Court questions re new opinions; Mr. Levy responds
- 11:14 a.m. Court requests copies of full reports; Mr. Levy responds
- 11:15 a.m. Mr. Gillam argues updated damages information
- 11:17 a.m. Mr. Levy responds
- 11:23 a.m. Court questions re relief sought; Mr. Gillam responds
- 11:24 a.m. Mr. Levy responds
- 11:25 a.m. Court requests Mr. Levy and Mr. Gillam to meet and confer
- 11:26 a.m. Michael Jay argues re MIL #10 relating to the 282 notice
- 11:30 a.m. Trip Fussell responds re MIL #10
- 11:32 a.m. Court asks Apple to provide expert report and two patents; Mr. Jay responds
- 11:32 a.m. Mr. Cunningham addresses two patents re prosecution history estoppel
- 11:34 a.m. Bryan Nese responds
- 11:36 a.m. Mr. Cunningham responds and provides citations
- 11:39 a.m. Mr. Nese responds
- 11:41 a.m. Jamie Beaber notifies Court MIL #5 is agreed as written; Mr. Jay responds
- 11:42 a.m. Mr. Fowler argues re MIL #6 re Steve Jobs
- 11:43 a.m. Court questions re three axis gyro; Mr. Nese responds; Mr. Fowler responds
- 11:45 a.m. Kelly Tidwell responds
- 11:47 a.m. Court questions how to test; Mr. Tidwell responds

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

11:49 a.m.	Court questions re purpose of Apple's MIL #6; Mr. Fowler responds
11:51 a.m.	Court questions re exchange of opening slides; Mr. Beaber responds; Mr. Fowler responds
11: 52 a.m.	Mr. Jay argues re Apple's MIL #8
11:53 a.m.	Mr. Tidwell responds
11:54 a.m.	Court will allow inquiry as to owning stock; Mr. Jay responds; Mr. Tidwell responds
11:55 a.m.	Recess
12:14 p.m.	Ms. Gibson follows up on Mulhern's damages calculations
12:17 p.m.	Mr. Levy responds
12:20 p.m.	Court questions when opinion/report was first disclosed to Apple; Mr. Levy responds
12:21 p.m.	Ms. Gibson responds
12:23 p.m.	Mr. Levy responds
12:24 p.m.	Court questions re new opinion; Mr. Levy responds
12:26 p.m.	Court questions re why just now disclosed; Mr. Levy responds
12:28 p.m.	Court questions re prejudice; Ms. Gibson responds
12:30 p.m.	Court asks if deposition would solve; Ms. Gibson responds; Mr. Levy responds
12:33 p.m.	Court requires Maxell to produce witness for deposition by Monday; Apple may filed motion to strike by Tuesday; Maxell response due Wednesday
12:34 p.m.	Mr. Nese addresses objections to DX 69, 70 and 67
12:37 p.m.	Mr. Jay responds
12:38 p.m.	Court if Apple cannot lay proper foundation they will not come in; Mr. Nese asks for clarification on when to raise objection during trial; Court responds
12:40 p.m.	Mr. Levy addresses objections to DX 130, 131, 134, 135 and 470 stating the objections are withdrawn

- 12:40 p.m. Saqib Siddiqui addresses objections to DX 311-316
- 12:43 p.m. Mr. Fowler responds
- 12:46 p.m. Court questions re prejudice; Mr. Siddiqui responds; Mr. Fowler responds
- 12:48 p.m. Court will allow as demonstrative and may allow to be admitted during trial
- 12:48 p.m. Mr. Tidwell states that Apple is withdrawing DX 472; Ms. Gibson confirms
- 12:49 p.m. Ms. Gibson states Apple has withdrawn all objections except for foundation as to PX 63-65, 67-68, 70, 57, 58 and 59
- 12:49 p.m. Ms. Gibson addresses objection to PX78
- 12:50 p.m. Mr. Levy responds
- 12:52 p.m. Ms. Gibson states objection is withdrawn
- 12:52 p.m. Mr. Gillam addresses objection to PX 80, 81, 83, 84 and 86
- 12:54 p.m. Mr. Tidwell responds
- 12:56 p.m. Mr. Gillam responds
- 12:58 p.m. Court question why needs to come in; Mr. Tidwell responds; Court asks for cases that have allowed license into evidence; Mr. Tidwell responds
- 12:59 p.m. Mr. Gillam responds
- 1:00 p.m. Mr. Tidwell responds
- 1:00 p.m. Mr. Gillam responds
- 1:00 p.m. Ms. Gibson addresses objections to exhibits PX 87-89, 90 and 97-102
- 1:02 p.m. Mr. Tidwell responds
- 1:04 p.m. Ms. Gibson responds
- 1:05 p.m. Court questions re wilfulness; Mr. Gibson responds
- 1:06 p.m. Ms. Gibson addresses objection to exhibit PX 226
- 1:08 p.m. Mr. Tidwell responds

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.