EXHIBIT D

```
1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          TEXARKANA DIVISION
 3
    MAXELL, LTD.
                                      DOCKET NO. 5:16cv179
 4
          -vs-
 5
                                      Texarkana, Texas
                                   ) 1:00 p.m.
                                      June 20, 2018
 6
     ZTE USA, INC.
 7
                           TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL
 8
                            AFTERNOON SESSION
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III,
 9
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE,
                               AND A JURY
10
11
                         APPEARANCES
12
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
13
14
    MR. JAMIE B. BEABER
    MAYER BROWN LLP
     1999 K Street, NW
15
     Washington, DC 20006
16
     MR. GEOFFREY P. CULBERTSON
17
     PATTON TIDWELL & CULBERTSON, LLP
18
     2800 Texas Blvd.
     Texarkana, TX 75503
19
20
    COURT REPORTER:
                            MS. CHRISTINA L. BICKHAM, RMR, CRR
                             FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
21
                             300 Willow, Ste. 221
                             Beaumont, TX 77701
22
23
     Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was
     produced by a Computer.
24
2.5
```



```
1
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 2
     MR. ALAN GRIMALDI
 3
     MR. KFIR B. LEVY
     MR. JAMES A. FUSSELL III
 4
     MR. BRYAN C. NESE
     MR. WILLIAM J. BARROW
 5
     MS. TIFFANY MILLER
     MR. BALDINE B. PAUL
 6
     MR. SAQIB J. SIDDIQUI
     MR. CLARK S. BAKEWELL
     MAYER BROWN LLP
     1999 K. Street, NW
 8
     Washington, DC 20006
 9
10
11
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
12
     MR. ERIC H. FINDLAY
13
     FINDLAY CRAFT PC
     102 N. College Ave., Ste. 900
14
     Tyler, Texas 75702
15
     MS. CALLIE A. BJURSTROM
16
     MR. HOWARD N. WISNIA
     MS. NICOLE S. CUNNINGHAM
17
     MR. SARA J. O'CONNELL
     PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
     501 W. Broadway, Ste. 1100
18
     San Diego, CA 92101-3575
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
```



- Q. Okay. Can you take a look at Page 111 again? This time
 Lines 19 through 22.
 - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

Q. And there it says: Does Maxell consider ZTE to be a competitor?

And your answer, sir, was: I think we are able to regard ZTE as not -- ZTE as noncompetitor since our business fields are different.

That was your testimony?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Mr. Nakamura, when did Maxell file this lawsuit?
- 12 A. In 2016.
- Q. Okay. I'll represent to you it was November 18, 2016.

 Does that sound about right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right.
- MS. BJURSTROM: If we could pull up the slide from the Plaintiff's opening statement, Slide 25, please.
- 19 Q. (By Ms. Bjurstrom) All right. Now, you were here
 20 yesterday for the opening statements, right, Mr. Nakamura?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And so you heard Mr. Beaber say to the jury:
 And while you may think of Maxell as a Japanese company, it
 has a major presence right here in the United States.
- 25 Remember that?



- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Okay. So Maxell Corporation of America in New Jersey,
- 3 No. 1 that's listed right here, that's not the Plaintiff in
- 4 | this case, right, sir?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Okay. And Maxell Corporation of America is not the
- 7 | owner of the patents asserted in this case, right?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then if we take a look, Maxell America, Inc.,
- 10 Georgia, okay, No. 2 there, that, again, is not a Plaintiff
- 11 | in this case, right?
- 12 | A. Right.
- 13 Q. Okay. And they also don't have any interest in the
- 14 patents that are being asserted in this case, right?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now let's take a look at the third one, Maxell
- 17 Research & Development America, LLC, Texas.
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And Mr. Beaber called out this company as being
- 21 | right here in East Texas, and I think Mr. Culbertson talked
- 22 | about that this morning, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 \parallel Q. Okay. And I think you've testified that they're in
- 25 Marshall; is that right?



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

