
# **Exhibit** A

**Verdict Form** 



#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MAXELL, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS

APPLE INC.,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

#### VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given in the Final Jury Instructions. Your answers to each question must be unanimous. In this verdict form, "Maxell" refers to Maxell, Ltd. and "Apple" refers to Apple Inc. As used below:

- the '317 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,748,317;
- the '999 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,580,999;
- the '498 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,430,498;
- the '493 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 8,339,493;
- the '438 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,116,438;
- the '193 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,408,193;
- the '991 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,084,991;
- the '306 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,928,306;
- the '794 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,329,794; and
- the '586 patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,212,586.

# 1A. Did Maxell prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple infringes the following claims of the following patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" as to each claim.

<u>'317 Patent</u>: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 1: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

Claim 17:

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

**<u>'999 Patent</u>**: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 3: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

**<u>'498 Patent</u>**: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 3:

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

Claim 13: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

'493 Patent: Answer "Yes" or "No".

A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 559-1 Filed 11/03/20 Page 4 of 13 PageID #: 29701

Claim 5: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

Claim 6: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

'438 Patent: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 1:

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

Claim 2: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

Claim 4: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

<u>'193 Patent</u>: Answer "Yes" or "No".

DOCKET

Claim 1: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

Claim 6: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 559-1 Filed 11/03/20 Page 5 of 13 PageID #: 29702

**<u>'991 Patent</u>**: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 4: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

<u>'306 Patent</u>: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 12: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

Claim 15: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [] Literal, or [] under the Doctrine of Equivalents.]

<u>'794 Patent</u>: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 1: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

Claim 14: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

<u>'586 Patent</u>: Answer "Yes" or "No".

Claim 7: \_\_\_\_\_

[Apple proposal (Maxell opposes): If Yes, infringement is: [ ] Literal]

Claim 16: \_\_\_\_\_

DOCKET

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.