IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MAXELL, LTD.,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS
	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
APPLE INC.,	PUBLIC VERSION
Defendant.	

JOINT FINAL PRE-TRIAL ORDER

The Pre-trial Conference is scheduled for November 12, 2020, pursuant to the Court's Amended Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 502) and Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The following parties submit this Joint Pretrial Order: Plaintiff Maxell, Ltd. ("Plaintiff" or "Maxell") and Defendant Apple Inc. ("Defendant" or "Apple").

A. COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff:

Geoff P. Culbertson Kelly B. Tidwell Patton, Tidwell & Culbertson, LLP 2800 Texas Boulevard (75503) Post Office Box 5398 Texarkana, TX 75505-5398 Telephone: (903) 792-7080 Facsimile: (903) 792-8233 gpc@texarkanalaw.com kbt@texarkanalaw.com

Jamie B. Beaber Alan M. Grimaldi Kfir B. Levy James A. Fussell, III Baldine B. Paul Tiffany A. Miller Saqib J. Siddiqui



Bryan C. Nese William J. Barrow Michael L. Lindinger Alison T. Gelsleichter Clark S. Bakewell Mayer Brown LLP 1999 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 263-3000 Facsimile: (202) 263-3300 jbeaber@mayerbrown.com agrimaldi@mayerbrown.com klevy@mayerbrown.com jfussell@mayerbrown.com bpaul@mayerbrown.com tmiller@mayerbrown.com ssiddiqui@mayerbrown.com bnese@mayerbrown.com wbarrow@mayerbrown.com mlindinger@mayerbrown.com agelsleichter@mayerbrown.com cbakewell@mayrbrown.com

Robert G. Pluta Amanda S. Bonner Mayer Brown LLP 71 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 782-0600 rpluta@mayerbrown.com asbonner@mayerbrown.com

Graham M. Buccigross
Mayer Brown LLP
3000 El Camino Real
Suite 2-300
Palo Alto, CA 94306
(650) 331-2000
gbuccigross@mayerbrown.com

2. Defendant:

Harry L. Gillam, Jr. Texas Bar No. 07921800 Melissa Richards Smith



Texas Bar No. 24001351 GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 303 South Washington Avenue

Marshall, TX 75670 Telephone: (903) 934-8450 Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Email: gil@gillamsmithlaw.com Email: melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

Mark D. Fowler (Pro Hac Vice)

Brent K. Yamashita Christian Chessman DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 University Ave. East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2214

Tel: 650.833.2000 Fax: 650.833.2001

Sean C. Cunningham (Pro Hac Vice) Erin P. Gibson (Pro Hac Vice) Kevin Hamilton (Pro Hac Vice) David R. Knudson (Pro Hac Vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101

Tel: 619.699.2700 Fax: 619.699.2701

Michael Jay (Pro Hac Vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Tel: 310.595.3000 Fax: 310.595.3300

Aaron G. Fountain Zachary Loney DLA PIPER LLP (US) 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500 Austin, Texas 78701-3799

Tel: 512.457.7000 Fax: 512.457.7001

Dawn M. Jenkins DLA PIPER LLP (US) 1000 Louisiana, Suite 2800



Houston, TX 77002-5005

Tel: 713.425.8490

Fax: 713.300.6012

Paul Steadman Stephanie Lim (Pro Hac Vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 444 West Lake Street, Ste. 900 Chicago, IL 60606

Tel: 312.368.4000

Fax: 312.236.7516

B. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This is a civil action arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.* The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). Jurisdiction is not contested by any party.

C. NATURE OF ACTION

Maxell's Statement

This is a suit for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Apple infringes, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,748,317 (the "317 Patent"), 6,580,999 (the "999 Patent"), 8,339,493 (the "493 Patent"), 7,116,438 (the "438 Patent"), 6,408,193 (the "193 Patent"), 10,084,991 (the "991 Patent"), 6,928,306 (the "306 Patent"), 6,329,794 (the "794 Patent"), 10,212,586 (the "586 Patent"), and 6,430,498 (the "498 Patent") (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"). Further, Apple's infringement is and has been willful. Maxell seeks an injunction and both pre- and post-verdict damages to compensate Maxell for Apple's acts of infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the infringement. Maxell also seeks enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and recovery of its attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, as well as its costs.



Apple's Statement

Maxell's claims are without merit. Apple does not infringe any asserted claim of the Patents-in-Suit, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Apple's alleged infringement of the Patents-in-Suit has not been, and is not, willful. Additionally, each of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit is invalid as anticipated or obvious under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. Additionally, the '586 patent is invalid and void for failure to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Further, the '317 patent, the '498 patent, the '999 patent, the '306 patent, and the '794 patent are invalid for failing to claim patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Maxell is not entitled to any relief, including injunctive relief or monetary damages. Maxell is not entitled to enhanced damages, nor is this an exceptional case entitling Maxell to its attorneys' fees and costs. Apple, however, is entitled to recovery of its attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285, as well as its costs, and any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

D. CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES

The parties set forth below a summary of their contentions for trial. The parties do not necessarily agree with each other's summaries and contentions and reserve all objections.

Maxell's Statement of Its Contentions

By providing these contentions, Maxell does not waive any of its motions *in limine*, motions for summary judgment, *Daubert* motions, and/or motions to strike which, if granted, would resolve some or all of these issues. Furthermore, Maxell's contentions in this case are detailed, in part, in its pleadings, infringement contentions, discovery responses and deposition testimony, the expert reports and depositions of Maxell's experts, and its motion briefing and responses to Apple's pending and anticipated motions, which are all incorporated by reference



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

