Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 53-6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 1 of 12 PageID #: 896

# EXHIBIT D

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 TEXARKANA DIVISION 3 MAXELL, LTD. ) DOCKET NO. 5:16cv179 4 -vs-) 5 Texarkana, Texas ) 1:00 p.m. June 20, 2018 6 ZTE USA, INC. 7 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL 8 AFTERNOON SESSION BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III, 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY 10 11 <u>A P P E A R A N C E S</u> 12 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 13 14 MR. JAMIE B. BEABER MAYER BROWN LLP 15 1999 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 16 MR. GEOFFREY P. CULBERTSON 17 PATTON TIDWELL & CULBERTSON, LLP 18 2800 Texas Blvd. Texarkana, TX 75503 19 20 COURT REPORTER: MS. CHRISTINA L. BICKHAM, RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 21 300 Willow, Ste. 221 Beaumont, TX 77701 22 23 Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was produced by a Computer. 24 25

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

```
1
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 2
     MR. ALAN GRIMALDI
 3
     MR. KFIR B. LEVY
     MR. JAMES A. FUSSELL III
 4
     MR. BRYAN C. NESE
     MR. WILLIAM J. BARROW
     MS. TIFFANY MILLER
 5
     MR. BALDINE B. PAUL
 6
     MR. SAQIB J. SIDDIQUI
     MR. CLARK S. BAKEWELL
 7
     MAYER BROWN LLP
     1999 K. Street, NW
 8
     Washington, DC 20006
 9
10
11
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
12
     MR. ERIC H. FINDLAY
13
     FINDLAY CRAFT PC
     102 N. College Ave., Ste. 900
14
     Tyler, Texas 75702
15
     MS. CALLIE A. BJURSTROM
16
     MR. HOWARD N. WISNIA
     MS. NICOLE S. CUNNINGHAM
17
     MR. SARA J. O'CONNELL
     PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
     501 W. Broadway, Ste. 1100
18
     San Diego, CA 92101-3575
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

DOCKET

ALARM

1 Okay. Can you take a look at Page 111 again? This time Q. 2 Lines 19 through 22. 3 Α. Yes. And there it says: Does Maxell consider ZTE to be a 4 Ο. 5 competitor? 6 And your answer, sir, was: I think we are able to 7 regard ZTE as not -- ZTE as noncompetitor since our business 8 fields are different. 9 That was your testimony? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Mr. Nakamura, when did Maxell file this lawsuit? Ο. In 2016. 12 Α. 13 Okay. I'll represent to you it was November 18, 2016. Ο. 14 Does that sound about right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. All right. 17 MS. BJURSTROM: If we could pull up the slide from 18 the Plaintiff's opening statement, Slide 25, please. 19 Ο. (By Ms. Bjurstrom) All right. Now, you were here 20 yesterday for the opening statements, right, Mr. Nakamura? 21 A. Yes. 22 All right. And so you heard Mr. Beaber say to the jury: Q. 23 And while you may think of Maxell as a Japanese company, it 24 has a major presence right here in the United States. 25 Remember that?

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

```
Yes.
 1
     Α.
 2
         Okay. So Maxell Corporation of America in New Jersey,
     Ο.
 3
     No. 1 that's listed right here, that's not the Plaintiff in
     this case, right, sir?
 4
 5
     Α.
          No.
 6
          Okay. And Maxell Corporation of America is not the
     Ο.
 7
     owner of the patents asserted in this case, right?
          That's right.
 8
     Α.
 9
          Okay. And then if we take a look, Maxell America, Inc.,
     Ο.
10
     Georgia, okay, No. 2 there, that, again, is not a Plaintiff
     in this case, right?
11
12
     A. Right.
13
         Okay. And they also don't have any interest in the
     Ο.
14
     patents that are being asserted in this case, right?
15
     Α.
         Right.
16
        Okay. Now let's take a look at the third one, Maxell
     Ο.
17
    Research & Development America, LLC, Texas.
18
               Do you see that?
19
     Α.
          Yes.
20
          Okay. And Mr. Beaber called out this company as being
     Q.
21
     right here in East Texas, and I think Mr. Culbertson talked
22
     about that this morning, right?
23
     Α.
          Yes.
24
          Okay. And I think you've testified that they're in
     Ο.
25
    Marshall; is that right?
```

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

RM

## DOCKET A L A R M



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.