

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

MAXELL, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
A. Apple Is Based In The NDCA	2
B. Maxell Has No Relevant Ties To The EDTX.....	4
C. [REDACTED]	4
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	5
IV. ARGUMENT	6
A. This Case Could Have Been Brought In The NDCA	6
B. The NDCA Is The Clearly More Convenient Venue.....	6
1. All Four Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer	6
a. Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof Strongly Favors Transfer	6
b. Availability of Compulsory Process Favors Transfer.....	9
c. Attendance of Willing Witnesses Favors Transfer	10
d. Other Practical Problems Associated With Trial Of This Case Are Neutral.....	11
2. The Public Interest Factors Also Favor Transfer	13
a. Local Interests Strongly Favor Transfer	13
b. Familiarity with Governing Law Favors Transfer	14
c. Remaining Public Interest Factors Are Neutral	15
V. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ACQIS LLC v. EMC Corp.</i> , 67 F. Supp. 3d 769 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	13
<i>Adaptix, Inc. v. HTC Corp.</i> , 937 F. Supp. 2d 867 (E.D. Tex. 2013).....	9, 10
<i>Aguilar-Ayala v. Ruiz</i> , 973 F.2d 411 (5th Cir. 1992)	9
<i>Alacritech Inc. v. CenturyLink, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-00693-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 4155236 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 19, 2017).....	10
<i>Bush Seismic Techns. LLC v. Am. Gem Soc’y</i> , No. 2:14-cv-1809-JRG, 2016 WL 1545855 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 15, 2016).....	7, 8
<i>ConnecTel, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 2:04-cv-396, 2005 WL 366966 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 16, 2005).....	12
<i>DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:13-cv-919-JDL, 2014 WL 6847569 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 7, 2014)	7, 15
<i>In Re Genentech</i> , 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	passim
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	10, 13
<i>In re Horseshoe Entm’t</i> , 337 F.3d 429 (5th Cir. 2003)	9
<i>In re Morgan Stanley</i> , 417 F. App’x 947 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13, 15
<i>In re Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	6
<i>In re Toa Techs., Inc.</i> , 543 F. App’x 1006 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	7
<i>In re Verizon Bus. Network Servs.</i> , 635 F.3d 559 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>In Re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	5, 6, 11
<i>In Re Volkswagen of America, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	5, 6, 7, 11
<i>In re Zimmer Holdings, Inc.</i> , 609 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	13
<i>Innovative Display Techs. LLC v. BMW of N. Am., LLC</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00106-JRG, 2015 WL 1459188 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2015)	10
<i>Maxell, Ltd. v. ASUSTek Computer Inc.</i> , No. 3:18-cv-01788-VC, Dkt. 37 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2018).....	11
<i>Mohamed v. Mazda Motor Corp.</i> , 90 F. Supp. 2d 757 (E.D. Tex. 2000).....	6
<i>Network Protection Sciences, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-cv-224-JRG, 2012 WL 194382 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2012).....	14
<i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 6:15-cv-1095, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177687 (E.D. Tex. Sep. 30, 2016).....	12, 15
<i>Porto Tech. Co., Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-00457-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 937366 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016).....	15
<i>Realtime Data LLC v. Dropbox, Inc.</i> , No. 6:15-cv-463-RWS-JDL, 2016 WL 153860 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 12, 2016).....	12
<i>TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Grp. Brands LLC</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017).....	6
<i>Tessera Advanced Techs., Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> No. 2:17-cv-00671-JRG, 2018 WL 8014281 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 5, 2018).....	14
<i>Texas Data Co., LLC v. Target Brands, Inc.</i> , 771 F. Supp. 2d 630 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 12, 2011)	10
<i>TransUnion Intelligence LLC v. Search Am., Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-cv-130-TJW, 2011 WL 1327038 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 5, 2011)	12
<i>Wireless Recognition Techs. LLC v. A9.com, Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-cv-364-JRG, 2012 WL 506669 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 15, 2012).....	9



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Zenith Elecs. LLC v. Sony Corp.</i> , No. 5:10-cv-184-DF, 2011 WL 13217851 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 25, 2011).....	12
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2).....	6
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).....	6
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).....	5
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1)(A).....	9
Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1)(B).....	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.