

EXHIBIT 23

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

MAXELL, LTD.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2020-00201
U.S. Patent No. 7,116,438

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,116,438**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '438 PATENT	1
	A. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '438 PATENT.....	1
	B. SUMMARY OF THE PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '438 PATENT	2
	C. PRIORITY DATE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	3
	D. LEVEL OF SKILL OF A PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	3
III.	THE BOARD'S DISCRETION UNDER § 314(A).....	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37	
	C.F.R. § 42.104.....	4
	A. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	4
	B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	5
	C. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	6
V.	GROUND 1: <i>NAGANO</i> IN VIEW OF <i>BALFANZ</i> RENDERS	
	CLAIMS 1-7 OBVIOUS	20
	A. SHOWING OF PRIOR ART	20
	B. <i>NAGANO</i> IS ANALOGOUS ART	21
	C. <i>BALFANZ</i> IS ANALOGOUS ART.....	23
	D. MOTIVATION TO COMBINE <i>BALFANZ</i> WITH <i>NAGANO</i>	25
	E. CLAIM 1	26
	F. CLAIM 2: "SAID INFORMATION-PROCESSING TERMINAL HAS A FUNCTION OF CONTRIBUTING DATA TO SAID DISPLAY APPARATUS AND A FUNCTION OF ADDING A COMMENT TO CONTRIBUTED DATA"	57
	G. CLAIM 3: "FURTHER COMPRISING MEANS FOR SELECTING AN OBJECT DISPLAYED ON SAID DISPLAY APPARATUS"	60
	H. CLAIM 4	62
	I. CLAIM 5: "WHEREIN A PROCESS IS CARRIED OUT TO ASSOCIATE INFORMATION ON A COMMUNICATION ESTABLISHMENT BETWEEN SAID FIRST SHORT-DISTANCE COMMUNICATION UNIT AND SAID INFORMATION- PROCESSING TERMINAL WITH INFORMATION ON A COMMUNICATION	

ESTABLISHMENT BETWEEN SAID SECOND COMMUNICATION UNIT AND SAID INFORMATION-PROCESSING TERMINAL” 70

J. CLAIM 6: “WHEREIN SAID DISPLAY APPARATUS IS AN ELECTRONIC NOTICE BOARD” 73

K. CLAIM 7: “WHEREIN SAID DISPLAY APPARATUS IS AN ELECTRONIC NOTICE BOARD” 73

VI. GROUND 2: NAGANO IN COMBINATION WITH *BALFANZ* AND *HAMBERG* RENDERS CLAIM 2 OBVIOUS..... 73

A. THE PROPOSED COMBINATION OF *HAMBERG* WITH *NAGANO/BALFANZ* 73

B. CLAIM 2 79

VII. CONCLUSION 81

VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)..... 83

A. REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST 83

B. RELATED MATTERS..... 83

C. LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL 83

D. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103..... 84

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases:**

<i>Abbot Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 554 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	11, 15
<i>Curtis-Wright Flow Control Corp. v. Velan, Inc.</i> , 438 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	17
<i>Diebold Nixdorf, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 899 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	11
<i>GPNE Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 830 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	16, 17
<i>ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys.</i> , 558 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	14, 16
<i>Massachusetts Inst. of Tech. & Elecs. for Imaging, Inc. v. Abacus Software</i> , 462 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	10, 11
<i>Maxell LTD. v. Huawei Device USA Inc. et al.</i> , 297 F.Supp.3d 668 (E.D. Tex. 2018)	10
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6, 18
<i>Texas Instruments v. United States Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 988 F.2d 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	19
<i>Verizon Services Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.</i> , 503 F. 3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	16
<i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	16
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	11

Statutes:

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	20, 73
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	2
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	21
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 112	7, 9-11, 28, 60
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.