IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MAXELL, LTD.,	
Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 5:19-cv-0036-RWS
APPLE INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	

ORDER FOCUSING PATENT CLAIMS AND PRIOR ART TO REDUCE COSTS

The Court ORDERS as follows:

1. This Order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines the issues in this case to promote a "just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of this action, as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.

Phased Limits on Asserted Claims and Prior Art References

2. By the date set in the Court's Docket Control Order governing the above captioned case, the patent claimant shall serve a Preliminary Election of Asserted Claims, which shall assert no more than ten (10) claims from each patent and not more than a total of 40 claims. By the date set in the Court's Docket Control Order governing the above captioned case, the patent defendant shall serve a Preliminary Election of Asserted Prior Art, which shall assert no more than twelve (12) prior art references against each patent and not more than a total of 45 prior art references.¹

¹ For purposes of this Order, a prior art instrumentality (such as a device or process) and associated references that describe that instrumentality shall count as one reference, as shall the closely related work of a single prior artist.



3. By the date set in the Court's Docket Control Order governing the above captioned

case, the patent claimant shall serve a Final Election of Asserted Claims, which shall identify no

more than five (5) asserted claims per patent from among the ten previously identified claims

and no more than a total of 20 claims. By the date set in the Court's Docket Control Order

governing the above captioned case, the patent defendant shall serve a Final Election of Asserted

Prior Art, which shall identify no more than six (6) asserted prior art references per patent from

among the twelve prior art references previously identified for that particular patent and no more

than a total of 20 prior art references. For purposes of this Final Election of Asserted Prior Art,

each obviousness combination counts as a separate prior art reference.

4. If the patent claimant asserts infringement of only one patent, all per-patent limits

in this order are increased by 50%, rounding up.

Modification of this Order

5. Subject to Court approval, the parties may modify this Order by agreement, but

should endeavor to limit the asserted claims and prior art references to the greatest extent possible.

Absent agreement, post-entry motions to modify this Order's numerical limits on asserted claims

and prior art references must demonstrate good cause warranting the modification. Motions to

modify other portions of this Order are committed to the sound discretion of the Court.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 2nd day of July, 2019.

ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

² This Order contemplates that the parties and the Court may further narrow the issues during pretrial proceedings in order to present a manageable case at trial.

