EXHIBIT 31 REDACTED

Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 439-2 Filed 07/24/20 Page 2 of 5 PageID #: 24239 CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER AND FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
           FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                  TEXARKANA DIVISION
 3
                                       )
 4
     MAXELL, LTD.,
                      Plaintiff,
 5
 6
          VS.
                                      ) Case No.
 7
     APPLE, INC.,
                                      ) 5:19-cv-00036-RWS
                      Defendant.
 8
 9
10
11
12
        TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
13
                      CARLA MULHERN
14
      (CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL TESTIMONY SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE
15
16
              ORDER AND FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY)
17
                           8:58 a.m.
                           June 24, 2020
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
     REPORTED BY: SHARON PEARCE, RMR, CRR, CRC, NYRCR
24
      (TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE)
25
                                                      Page 1
```



Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 439-2 Filed 07/24/20 Page 3 of 5 PageID #: 24240 CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER AND FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
3
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4
       KFIR B. LEVY, ESQ.
       TIFFANY A. MILLER, ESQ.
       ALAN GRIMALDI, ESQ.
5
       MAYER BROWN LLP
       1999 K St. NW
6
       Washington, DC 20006
7
       202.263.3298
       klevy@mayerbrown.com
8
       tmiller@mayerbrown.com
       agrimaldi@mayerbrown.com
9
        (Telephonic appearance)
10
11
12
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
13
       BRETT J. WILLIAMSON, ESQ.
14
       O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
       610 Newport Center Dr 17th Floor
15
       Newport Beach, CA 92660
       949.823.6900
16
       bwilliamson@omm.com
17
        (Telephonic appearance)
18
19
2 0
21
22
       ALSO PRESENT: Dr. Itamar Simonson
2.3
24
25
                                             Page 2
```

Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 439-2 Filed 07/24/20 Page 4 of 5 PageID #: 24241 CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER AND FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    paragraph 74 of your report, Exhibit 332.
               Do you have that before you,
2
3
    Ms. Mulhern?
               I'm getting there. Yes.
4
5
    Paragraph 74.
                   Yes.
              The first sentence of
6
    paragraph 74 reads, "For most real-world
    licenses and reasonable royalty damages
8
9
    awards, compensation is provided by one or
10
    both of a lump sum payment and a
11
    royalty -- and a running royalty based on
12
    sales, revenues, or units."
13
               Did the calculation you
1 4
    performed as shown on Exhibit 4 of your
15
    expert report embody a royalty that was
16
    based on unit sales?
17
        Α.
              Yes.
               Is the calculation that you
18
        Ο.
    performed as embodied in Exhibit 4 of your
19
2 0
    expert report in your view as an expert
21
    any different than the calculation of a
22
    running royalty based on the units
23
    information provided by Apple?
24
               MR. LEVY: Objection to form.
25
               Would you mind repeating that
        Α.
```

Page 44



Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 439-2 Filed 07/24/20 Page 5 of 5 PageID #: 24242 CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER AND FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 question? Is the calculation that 2 Q. Sure. you performed as embodied in Exhibit 4 of 3 your expert report any different than what 4 5 you've described here in paragraph 74 as the calculation of a running royalty based 6 on the units information provided by Apple? 8 9 Α. I think it is fair to say that 10 my damages calculations are based on a 11 running royalty calculated as a fee per 12 unit applied to the number of units sold 13 by Apple of the accused product. 1 4 Q. Page 45

