

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

MAXELL, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF MAXELL, LTD.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S
MOTION FOR PARTIAL DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR FAILURE
TO STATE A CLAIM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MAXELL’S RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ISSUES 2

II. LEGAL STANDARD..... 2

 A. Willful Infringement 3

 B. Induced Infringement 3

III. ARGUMENT 4

 A. Maxell has Plausibly Alleged Specific Intent Sufficient to Maintain its Indirect Infringement Claims. 4

 B. Maxell’s Claims for Pre-Suit Indirect and Willful Infringement of the ’586 Patent Are Plausible and Should Not Be Dismissed..... 7

 C. Maxell Has Pled Notice of the Asserted Patents Sufficient to Sustain Its Pre-Suit Indirect and Willful Infringement Claims..... 10

 D. If the Court Deems Necessary, Leave to Amend is the Appropriate Remedy 13

IV. CONCLUSION..... 14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	2
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	2, 12
<i>Biosonix, LLC v. Hydrowave, LLC</i> , No. 4:16-cv-139, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 189970 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 10, 2016).....	6
<i>Blitzsafe Tex., LLC v. Volkswagen Group of Am., Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1274-JRG-RSP, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124144 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2016).....	9
<i>Bond St. Ltd, LLC v. Liess</i> , No. 4:12-CV-755, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42989 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2014).....	15
<i>Bowlby v. City of Aberdeen</i> , 681 F.3d 215 (5th Cir. 2012)	2
<i>Content Guard Holdings, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 2:13-CV-1112-JRG, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39807 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2015).....	14
<i>Copan Italia S.P.A. v. Puritan Med. Prods. Co. LLC</i> , No. 1:18-cv-00218-JDL, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 191927 (D. Me. Nov. 9, 2018).....	10
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-CV-752-JRG-JDL, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107354 (E.D. Tex. July 15, 2015).....	3, 7
<i>Deere & Co. v. AGCO Corp.</i> , No. 18-827-CFC, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25885 (D. Del. Feb. 19, 2019).....	15
<i>Diamond Grading Techs. v. Am. Gem Soc’y</i> , No. 2:14-cv-1161, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 105697 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2016).....	8
<i>Elbit Sys. Land & C4I Ltd. v. Hughes Network Sys., LLC</i> , No. 2:15-CV-37, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 95584 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2016).....	12
<i>Glob. Sessions, LP v. JPMorgan Chase & Co.</i> , No. A-13-CA-688-SS, 2013 WL 12086369 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 18, 2013).....	3

Glob.-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.,
563 U.S. 754 (2011).....4

Hypermedia Navigation v. Google LLC,
No. 18-cv-6137, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56803 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2019).....15

Mitutoyo Corp. v. Cent. Purchasing, LLC,
499 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....3, 13

MobileMedia Ideas LLC v. HTC Corp.,
No. 2:10-cv-112-TJW, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 104892 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 15,
2011)13

Pecorino v. Vutec Corp.,
934 F. Supp. 2d 422 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)3, 14

Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc.,
843 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....3

Salazar v. HTC Corp.,
No. 2:16-cv-1096, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 41934 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 14, 2018).....4, 14

Shiley, Inc. v. Bentley Labs., Inc.,
794 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....9

SiOnyx, LLC v. Hamamatsu Photonics K.K.,
330 F. Supp. 3d 574 (D. Mass. 2018)10

Sleep No. Corp. v. Sizewise Rentals, LLC,
CV 18-00356-AB, 2018 WL 5263065 (C.D. Cal. June 26, 2018)5

State Indus. v. A.O. Smith Corp.,
751 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....8, 9

Summer v. Land & Leisure, Inc.,
664 F.2d 965 (5th Cir. 1981)15

T-Rex Prop. AB v. Regal Entm’t Grp.,
No. 6:16-cv-927, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 156859 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 31, 2017).....14

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Avaya Inc.,
No. 6:15-CV-1168-JRG, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 181826 (E.D. Tex. May 13,
2016)7

Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc.,
824 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....4

WordCheck Tech, LLC. v. Alt-N Techs., Ltd.,
No. 6:10-CV-457, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 189071 (E.D. Tex. July 17, 2012)3, 13

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2).....15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.