Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 304 Filed 05/01/20 Page 1 of 8 PageID #: 10251

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MAXELL, LTD.,

Plaintiff

Civil Action NO. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

APPLE INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL LICENSING AND NEGOTIATION DOCUMENTS AND FOR SANCTIONS

Maxell's Opposition reduces to an unsupported argument—directly contradicted by record evidence—that Maxell does not have a relationship with Hitachi.¹ Confronted with the extensive record that Apple presented in its opening brief, Maxell's opposition resorted to disavowing its own 30(b)(6) testimony, obfuscating critical facts about Maxell and Hitachi's current relationships in footnotes, and arguing that Maxell's relationship with Hitachi is limited to certain subsidiaries, certain employees, and certain types of obligations.

I.

Maxell's Rule 30(b)(6) corporate designee plainly testified that:



¹ This brief refers to Hitachi, Ltd. as "Hitachi," Hitachi Industry Control Solutions as "Hitachi ICS," Hitachi Consumer Electronics Co., Ltd. as "HCE," and combinations of more than one Hitachi subsidiary as "Hitachi subsidiaries."

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Maxell offers no support for this narrow interpretation, contradicted by its sworn testimony. And Maxell never explains why Hitachi would refuse to hand over documents about patents it gave to Maxell to assert where Hitachi and its subsidiaries have repeatedly offered their help and employee's testimony to assist Maxell in this litigation.

	Tellingly, neither Maxell's corporate witness nor counsel previously claimed such
a limit.	

	Finally, Maxell never explains why the carefully worded		
letter it sent to Hitachi requesting documents was characterized as "a courtesy to Apple," an			
entity to whom Hitachi owes no contra	actual obligation. D.I. 166-2.		

II. Maxell's Selective Production Of Licenses Based on Sword-And-Shield Tactics

While Maxell previously alleged it had "produced all prior licenses of which it was aware and had control," D.I. 166 at 6, Maxell does not appear to deny that it actually has the licenses Apple seeks, D.I. 280 at 6 (alleging that, of the requested documents, Maxell only does not have the "earlier sales negotiation materials"). Documents that show Hitachi (or Maxell) exhausted the patent rights Maxell now asserts or that show Hitachi (or Maxell) previously licensed the

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

patents are relevant to Apple's claims and defenses. Maxell should be ordered to produce them.

III. Maxell And Hitachi's Relationship Is Much Deeper Than Maxell Is Willing To Admit

Hitachi is not an unrelated third party to this case.

Maxell's argument that its

relationship is only with certain Hitachi subsidiaries and that each witness chose to participate,

implying they personally desired to travel more than 20 hours for the sole purpose of being

deposed, is not credible.

Indeed, some Hitachi subsidiaries' employees testified for Maxell because of their

employers' relationships with Maxell.

Maxell's

only rebuttal is to point out that Mr. Takizawa probably would not be fired for failure to attend and Mr. Nakano had the option to say no. D.I. 280 at 3–4. Maxell's rebuttals rely on the misconception that jobs are mere checklists of tasks to avoid being fired.

Maxell implies that the witnesses personally desired to fly to the U.S. to be deposed. But these depositions are not personal travel.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 5:19-cv-00036-RWS Document 304 Filed 05/01/20 Page 5 of 8 PageID #: 10255

Companies with the separation that Maxell alleges exists between it and Hitachi do not reimburse each other's employees' business expenses. Maxell's only response is the conclusory statement that reimbursement "does not establish Hitachi involvement in the litigation or any arrangement with Hitachi related to the case." D.I. 280 at 4. Maxell prepared Hitachi witnesses for their depositions and gave them counsel. Maxell orchestrated the six witnesses' depositions and preparation, and Maxell personnel and counsel prepared the witnesses and Maxell's counsel represented them. Ex. D1 at 93:11–19; Ex. D2. But this fact shows that Hitachi treats its employees and its subsidiaries'

employees like one and the same. Even Maxell falls under this umbrella.

Maxell tries to argue this merely shows a relationship between

Maxell and Hitachi ICS, but Maxell never answers how Maxell and Hitachi ICS are related if not

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.