

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

MAXELL, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF MAXELL, LTD.'S
REPLY CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. THE LAW REQUIRES THAT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION MUST BEGIN WITH PLAIN AND ORDINARY MEANING..... 2

II. APPLE IS ATTEMPTING TO SHIFT ITS BURDEN WHEN SEEKING TO CONSTRUE NON-MEANS TERMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6..... 3

III. U.S. PATENT NO. 6,329,794 (“THE ’794 PATENT”) 4
“capacity detector for detecting a remaining capacity of said battery” 4

IV. U.S. PATENT NO. 6,928,306 (“THE ’306 PATENT”) 5
“ringing sound generator” 5

V. U.S. PATENT NO. 7,116,438 (“THE ’438 PATENT”) 7
A. “an input unit for receiving an input entered by a user” 7
B. “means for selecting an object displayed on said display apparatus” 8
C. “display apparatus” 8
D. “adding a comment to contributed data” 9

VI. U.S. PATENT NO. 10,084,991 (“THE ’991 PATENT”) 9
“communication apparatus” 9

VII. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,339,493 (“THE ’493 PATENT”) 10
A. “effective scanning lines . . . of a display screen” 10
B. the “culling” terms 12
C. the “mixing” terms 13

VIII. U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,748,317, 6,430,498, AND 6,580,999 13
A. “a device for getting location information denoting a present place . . .” 14
B. “device for retrieving . . .” / “device for getting a location information [. . .]” .. 15

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Adidas AG v. Under Armour, Inc.</i> , No. 1:14-CV-00130, Dkt. No. 201 (D. Del. Dec. 15, 2015).....	15
<i>Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	1
<i>Arlington Indus., Inc. v. Bridgeport Fittings, Inc.</i> , 632 F.3d 1246 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	12
<i>Azure Networks, LLC v. CSR PLC</i> , 771 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	2
<i>Blitzsafe Tex. LLC v. Mitsubishi Motors Corp.</i> , No. 2:17-cv-00430, 2019 WL 2212646 (E.D. Tex. May 22, 2019)	15
<i>Danco, Inc. v. Fluidmaster, Inc.</i> , No. 5:16-cv-73, 2017 WL 4225217 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 17, 2017).....	15
<i>EPOS Techs. Ltd. v. Pegasus Techs. Ltd.</i> , 766 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	10
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	3
<i>Fonar Corp. v. General Elec. Co.</i> , 107 F.3d 1543 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	6
<i>GPNE Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 830 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	9, 10
<i>ION, Inc. v. Sercel, Inc.</i> , No. 5:06-CV-236-DF, 2010 WL 3913225 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 16, 2010)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. ZOLL Lifecor Corp.</i> , No. 2:12-cv-1369, 2016 WL 6917267 (W.D. Penn. Feb 3, 2016).....	7
<i>Lexos Media IP, LLC v. APMEX, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-CV-00747, 2017 WL 1021366 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 16, 2017)	13
<i>Maxell Ltd. v. Huawei Device USA Inc.</i> , 297 F. Supp. 3d 668 (E.D. Tex. 2018).....	2, 4

...

Playtex Prods., Inc. v. Procter & Gamble Co.,
400 F.3d 901, 909 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....8

Mobilemedia Ideas, LLC v. Apple Inc.,
178 F. Supp. 3d 209 (D. Del. 2016).....6

O2 Micro Int’l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co., Ltd.,
521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....3

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....9

PPG Indus. v. Guardian Indus. Corp.,
156 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....3

SRAM Corp. v. AD-II Eng’g Inc.,
465 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....15

Thorner v. Sony Comput. Entm’t Am. LLC,
669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....12

THX, Ltd. v. Apple, Inc.,
No. 13-cv-01161, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 153557 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2016)15

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 112.....3, 6

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 15	Apple Inc.'s Responsive Claim Construction Brief in <i>OpenTV, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 5:15-cv-02008, Dkt. No. 82
Exhibit 16	Niantic, Inc.'s Responsive Claim Construction Brief in <i>Barbaro Techs. LLC v. Niantic, Inc.</i> , No. 3:18-cv-02955, Dkt. No. 90
Exhibit 17	U.S. Patent No. 8,363,087
Exhibit 18	File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,124,758
Exhibit 19	U.S. Patent No. 9,432,618
Exhibit 20	U.S. Patent No. 9,723,268
Exhibit 21	IPR2019-00071, Petition

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.