

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

MAXELL, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**ORDER DENYING APPLE INC.'S
MOTION TO COMPEL INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS COMPLIANT WITH
PATENT RULE 3-1(G) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO PRECLUDE MAXELL'S
RELIANCE ON SOURCE CODE FOR INFRINGEMENT**

Having reviewed and considered the parties' arguments surrounding Apple's Motion to Compel Infringement Contentions Compliant with PR 3-1(g) or, in the Alternative, to Preclude Maxell's Reliance on Source Code for Infringement, the Court finds that the motion should be, and is, **DENIED**.

So Ordered.