

Exhibit 26

Sung, Ye-Eun

From: Wisnia, Howard N. [howard.wisnia@pillsburylaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2018 3:52 PM
To: Levy, Kfir B.
Cc: Beaver, Jamie B.; ZTE_Hitachi; Brian Craft; Eric Findlay; US-CLIENT-HM-ZTE-Service; Geoff Culbertson
Subject: Re: meet and confer re asserted claims and prior art

We don't intend to present an invalidity case on non asserted claims.

Best Regards, Howard

On Jun 14, 2018, at 2:50 PM, Levy, Kfir B. <KLevy@mayerbrown.com> wrote:

Howard,

This confirms the asserted claims are:

729: 1, 2
493: 5, 6
794: 1, 2
695: 1
491: 1, 8
193: 1
317: 1, 2, 3

Thank you for confirming the prior art references and combinations, however your email states that ZTE is asserting anticipation and obviousness against at least claims of the 317 patent that are not being asserted. Is that ZTE's plan? If so, we may need to rethink the claims Maxell will assert at trial for any patents for which this is the case. Please confirm one way or the other.

In terms of the order of live witnesses we plan to present, they are:

M. Caloyannides
K. Nakamura
R. Maher
J. Phinney
V. Madisetti
T. Erdem
C. Mulhern

We have not yet determined which deposition designations we intend to play, when, or in what order. We will provide that information in accordance with the Court's rules, the Joint Pretrial Order, and the Court's Order on Trial Procedures.

We look forward to your response regarding ZTE's assertions of prior art against non-asserted claims. Please also get back to us on the issue of confidentiality in the court room—i.e., whether we can agree the courtroom can be sealed once per witness, per patent—so that for witnesses covering more than one patent, the courtroom can be sealed twice. This may not be a problem for all experts covering multiple patents, but it will be at least for Dr. Caloyannides.

Thanks,

Kfir B. Levy
Partner | Intellectual Property
Mayer ♦ Brown
Direct Dial - 202.263.3136
klevy@mayerbrown.com

From: Wisnia, Howard N. [<mailto:howard.wisnia@pillsburylaw.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2018 2:33 PM
To: Beaver, Jamie B.; Levy, Kfir B.
Cc: ZTE_Hitachi; Brian Craft; Eric Findlay; US-CLIENT-HM-ZTE-Service; Geoff Culbertson
Subject: RE: meet and confer re asserted claims and prior art

Jamie,

Following up on our call, here is a list of prior art issue.

317:

ZTE does still plan to assert the following with respect to the '317 Patent:

- U.S. Patent No. 6,266,614 ("Alumbaugh") anticipates Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 15; and
- Alumbaugh in combination with U.S. Patent No. 5,592,382 ("Colley") renders obvious Claim 17

491/695:

Asserted claims are Obvious in view of Huang, Ozkan, Inagaki, Bergher, and Ko

193:

Asserted claims are obvious based on
Ground A: Wheatley + Mucke + IS-95
Ground B: Wheatley + Mucke + IS-95 + Kim
Ground C: Wheatley + Mucke + IS-95 + Kim + Weiland

794:

Asserted claims are obvious

Nongaki and Reichelt

493:

Asserted claims are obvious:

Claim 5: Paruluski 406 and Dunton

Claim 5: Paruluski 335 and Paruluski 343

Claim 6: either of above plus Ishizuka

729:

Ground B: Paruluski 335 and Dunton and Ishizuka

We understand that after dropping of certain claims these are the only remaining asserted claims. Please confirm:

729: 1, 2
493: 5, 6
794: 1, 2
695: 1
491: 1, 8
193: 1
317: 1, 2, 3

From: Beaver, Jamie B. <JBeaver@mayerbrown.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:01 AM
To: Wisnia, Howard N. <howard.wisnia@pillsburylaw.com>; Levy, Kfir B. <KLevy@mayerbrown.com>
Cc: ZTE_Hitachi <ZTEHitachi@Pillsburylaw.com>; Brian Craft <BCraft@FindlayCraft.com>; Eric Findlay <EFindlay@FindlayCraft.com>; US-CLIENT-HM-ZTE-Service <HM-ZTE-Service@mayerbrown.com>; Geoff Culbertson <gpc@texarkanalaw.com>
Subject: Re: meet and confer re asserted claims and prior art

Howard,

We are almost there with our witness ordering. If we are able to get the invalidity combinations ZTE intends to rely on at trial during the call along with our narrowing of terms we should be able to chat internally and have our tentative witness ordering back to you by COB today.

Thanks,

J.B.

Jamie B. Beaver

Partner | Intellectual Property | Chair of ITC Intellectual Property Practice Group
MAYER ♦ BROWN

jbeaver@mayerbrown.com

Direct Dial - 202.263.3153

Mobile 1 - 202.459.3647

Mobile 2 - 202.904.7284

Fax - 202.263.5209

1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
www.mayerbrown.com

----- Original Message -----

From: "Wisnia, Howard N." <howard.wisnia@pillsburylaw.com>

Date: Thu, Jun 14, 2018, 11:26 AM

To: "Levy, Kfir B." <KLevy@mayerbrown.com>

CC: ZTE_Hitachi <ZTEHitachi@Pillsburylaw.com>, Brian Craft <BCraft@FindlayCraft.com>, Eric Findlay <EFindlay@FindlayCraft.com>, US-CLIENT-HM-ZTE-Service <HM-ZTE-Service@mayerbrown.com>, Geoff Culbertson <gpc@texarkanalaw.com>

Subject: Re: meet and confer re asserted claims and prior art

Your order of witnesses. As we discussed, we would then be agreeable to giving you ours in a couple days. We need yours to finalize ours.

On Jun 14, 2018, at 11:22 AM, Levy, Kfir B. <KLevy@mayerbrown.com> wrote:

Phone is fine. Please use the following dial-in information.

USA Toll-Free:	888-299-9913
Access Code:	4700936

If you want to discuss any of the other issues—other than the asserted prior art and claims—please let us know right away so we can be prepared and have a meaningful meet and confer.

Thanks,

Kfir B. Levy
Partner | Intellectual Property
Mayer ♦ Brown
Direct Dial - 202.263.3136
klevy@mayerbrown.com

From: Wisnia, Howard N. [<mailto:howard.wisnia@pillsburylaw.com>]

Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:04 AM

To: Levy, Kfir B.

Cc: ZTE_Hitachi; Brian Craft; Eric Findlay; US-CLIENT-HM-ZTE-Service; Geoff Culbertson

Subject: Re: meet and confer re asserted claims and prior art

2 works. You're welcome to come to Residence Inn by Marriott if you'd like. Otherwise by phone is ok for us. Thanks,
Howard

Best Regards, Howard

Howard N. Wisnia | Partner
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
501 West Broadway, Suite 1100 | San Diego, CA 92101-3575
t 619.544.3120 | m 858.245.1591
howard.wisnia@pillsburylaw.com | [website bio](#)

On Jun 14, 2018, at 10:57 AM, Levy, Kfir B. <KLevy@mayerbrown.com> wrote:

Howard,

In order to finalize the amended pretrial order today, we propose a meet and confer to finally determine which prior art you plan to assert—including identifying the combinations for the 193—and which claims we plan to assert. Are you

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.