

PUBLIC VERSION

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

MAXELL LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZTE (USA) INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 5:16-cv-00179-RWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF MAXELL, LTD.’S POST-TRIAL MOTIONS AND
RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW	1
A. Legal Standards.....	1
B. Background.....	2
1. <i>The law of patent eligibility</i>	2
2. <i>Procedural History</i>	3
C. The Court Should Enter Judgment As A Matter Of Law Against ZTE On Counterclaim IV (eligibility of the '317 patent).....	4
1. <i>The claims of the '317 patent are not directed to an abstract idea.</i>	4
2. <i>ZTE has failed to prove that the claims recite no inventive concept.</i>	7
D. The Court Should Enter Judgment As A Matter Of Law Against ZTE On Counterclaim XII (eligibility of the '794 patent).....	9
1. <i>The Claims Are Not Directed To An Abstract Idea.</i>	9
2. <i>ZTE has not proven that the claims recite no inventive concept.</i>	10
II. REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.....	12
III. REQUEST FOR ENHANCED DAMAGES	12
A. Legal Standards.....	13
B. Substantial Evidence Supports The Jury's Verdict Of Willful Infringement.....	15
C. Enhanced Damages Are Appropriate Based On The <i>Read</i> Factors.....	21
<i>Factor 1: Whether ZTE copied the ideas of another.</i>	21
<i>Factor 2: ZTE's good faith investigation of the merits of the case.</i>	21
<i>Factor 3: ZTE's litigation behavior.</i>	23
<i>Factor 4: ZTE's size and financial condition.</i>	27
<i>Factor 5: The closeness of the case.</i>	28
<i>Factor 6: The duration of ZTE's misconduct.</i>	30
<i>Factor 7: ZTE's lack of remedial action.</i>	31
<i>Factor 8: ZTE's motivation for harm.</i>	32
<i>Factor 9: ZTE's attempts to conceal its conduct.</i>	33
<i>Conclusion</i>	35
IV. REQUEST FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES	36
A. Legal Standard	36

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
B. ZTE’s Litigation Misconduct Warrants Attorney’s Fees.....	38
C. ZTE’s Weak Litigating Position Also Warrants Fees.....	48
V. MOTION FOR PRE- AND POST- JUDGMENT INTEREST AND COSTS.....	48
A. Pre-Judgment Interest	48
B. Post-Judgment Interest.....	49
C. Costs.....	49
VI. CONCLUSION.....	49

PUBLIC VERSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Afros S.P.A. v. Krauss-Maffei Corp.</i> , 671 F. Supp. 1458 (D. Del. 1987).....	18, 19
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	2, 3, 5, 6
<i>Am. Patriot Ins. Agency, Inc. v. Mut. Risk Mgmt., Ltd.</i> , 364 F.3d 884 (7th Cir. 2004)	44
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	36
<i>Avia Grp. Intern., Inc. v. L.A. Gear Cal., Inc.</i> , 853 F.2d 1557 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	27
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	2, 3, 8, 11
<i>Blitzsafe Texas, LLC v. Volkswagen Grp. Of Am., Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1274, 2016 WL 4778699 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2016).....	18
<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , No. SACV 05-467-JVS, 2007 WL 2326838 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2007), <i>vacated on other grounds</i> , 2007 WL 8030058 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2007).....	30
<i>CLS Bank Int'l v. Alice Corp. Pty.</i> , 717 F.3d 1269 (Fed. Cir. 2013), <i>aff'd</i> 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	3
<i>Creative Internet Advert. Corp. v. Yahoo Inc.</i> , 689 F. Supp. 2d 858 (E.D. Tex. 2010).....	28, 35
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	5, 6
<i>Eidos Display, LLC v. AU Optronics Corp.</i> , No. 6:11-cv-00201, 2018 WL 1156284 (E.D. Tex., Mar. 5, 2018)	23, 35
<i>Elbit Sys. Land and C4I Ltd. v. Hughes Network Sys. LLC</i> , No. 2:15-cv-37, Dkt. 550 (E.D. Tex., Apr. 23, 2018).....	37, 39, 43, 48

...

PUBLIC VERSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5, 6, 10
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 3880774 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2016), <i>aff'd in part rev'd in part on separate grounds</i> , 879 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	14
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , 879 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	9
<i>General Motors Corp. v. Devex Corp.</i> , 461 U.S. 648 (1983).....	48
<i>Georgetown Rail Equip. Co. v. Holland L.P.</i> , 867 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	14, 35
<i>Georgetown Rail Equip. Co. v. Holland LP</i> , Cause No. 6:13-cv-366, 2016 WL 3346084 (E.D. Tex. June 16, 2016) (Schroeder, J.).....	14, 35
<i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1923 (2016).....	13, 15, 16
<i>Hoffman v. Blaski</i> , 363 U.S. 335 (1960).....	44
<i>Imperium IP Holdings (Cayman), Ltd. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 203 F.Supp.3d 755 (E.D. Tex. 2016).....	23, 30, 35
<i>Innovention Toys, LLC v. MGA Entm't, Inc.</i> , 667 F. App'x 992 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	13
<i>Integra LifeSciences Corp. v. HyperBranch Med. Tech., Inc.</i> , Civ. Action No. 15-819-LPS-CJB, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124152 (D. Del. Aug. 12, 2016).....	19, 20
<i>Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc.</i> , 790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	2
<i>Monolithic Power Sys., Inc. v. Silergy Corp.</i> , 127 F.Supp.3d 1071 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	17
<i>Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1749 (2014).....	36, 37

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.