

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

PLECTRUM LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORACLE CORPORATION and
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

C.A. NO. 4:17-CV-00141-ALM

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Plectrum LLC (“Plectrum”) files this first amended complaint against Oracle Corporation and Oracle America, Inc. (collectively, “Defendant” or “Oracle”), alleging, based on its own knowledge as to itself and its own actions and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plectrum is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business at 2325 Oak Alley, Tyler, Texas, 75703.
2. Defendant Oracle Corporation is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware. Oracle Corporation can be served with process by serving its registered agent: Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.
3. Defendant Oracle America, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware. Oracle America, Inc. can be served with process by serving its registered

agent: Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action for infringement of United States patents arising under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284–85, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1338(a).

5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Upon information and belief, Defendant has transacted business in this district and has committed, by itself or in concert with others, acts of patent infringement in this district. Additionally, Oracle maintains one or more offices in this district, including at 7460 Warren Parkway, Suite 300, Frisco, TX 75034

6. Defendant is subject to this Court’s specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to Defendant’s substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and/or (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this district.

BACKGROUND

7. The patents-in-suit generally pertain to communications networks and the technology that enables computers and other network devices to communicate with each other. The technology disclosed by two of the patents, 6,205,149 and 5,978,951, was developed by engineers at 3Com Corporation (“3Com”).

8. 3Com was an industry pioneer and leader for computer network infrastructure products and ideas. Formed in Massachusetts in 1979 by some of the key figures in the early days of networking (a co-founder, Robert Metcalfe, was one of the inventors of Ethernet), 3Com focused on developing networking technology in the then-nascent personal computer market. 3Com's name is derived from "Computers, Communication, and Compatibility," which emphasized the company's focus on developing industry standards—and the corresponding hardware and software—in order for computers to communicate across wide-area networks, such as the Internet, and local-area networks, such as Ethernet.

9. At the time of 3Com's founding, few organizations, including businesses, universities, and government institutions, had more than a single mainframe computer with a limited number of workstations. At that time, the late 1970's, networking multiple computers together, whether in the same building or spread throughout the country, was nearly non-existent. The founders of 3Com, however, foresaw the rise of personal computers and the need to connect those computers to peripherals, such as printers or modems, and to external networks like the Internet.

10. 3Com developed and sold a wide range of networking products, such as switches, routers, firewalls, and modems, and its engineers developed many aspects of the networking technology still in use today. These developments resulted in over 1400 issued patents, including the two aforementioned patents that are asserted in this suit. 3Com was acquired by Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") in 2010 and ceased operating as a separate entity at that time.

11. The other patent asserted in this case, U.S. Patent No. 6,751,677, discloses technology developed by engineers at HP. Founded in 1939, HP was started in a car garage in

Palo Alto, California and was instrumental in the growth and development of computer technology and Silicon Valley itself.

12. HP is known worldwide for its computer and computer peripherals, such as printers and scanners. The Hewlett-Packard 9100A was launched in 1968 and is considered to be the first personal computer, and HP's inkjet and laser printers are among the most popular in the world. In addition to those products, HP also develops and manufactures networking products, servers, and software. Around the same time HP released its first personal computer, it also began offering servers for businesses. HP servers and other network equipment, such as switches and firewalls, are used by businesses worldwide. HP is one of the most prolific filers of patents in the United States, with more than 23,000 patents in its portfolio.

THE TECHNOLOGY

13. United States Patent No. 5,978,951 ("the '951 Patent"), titled "High Speed Cache Management Unit for use in a Bridge/Router," teaches hardware-based systems and methods for increasing data-transfer speeds, and minimizing latency, across communications networks. Typically, much of the routing functionality, such as reading the header information, was handled via software. Using software to perform this function, however, can create latency in the network, causing a slowdown in the delivery of the data units.

14. To solve this latency issue, the '951 Patent utilizes a hardware-based cache management unit to streamline the reading of the header information, and thereby increasing the data transmission speed. The cache management unit stores data relating to the various network addresses associated with the particular network. This address data is then compared with the header information for the data unit, and, if matching, the system sends the data unit to the appropriate destination, all at superior speeds compared to a traditional software-based system.

15. United States Patent No. 6,205,149 (“the ‘149 Patent”), titled “Quality of Service Control Mechanism and Apparatus,” teaches systems and methods for utilizing Quality of Service (“QoS”) processing control within a communications network. Certain types of data sent across a network, such as video, will be significantly impaired if there is a delay, while other types of data, such as email, will not suffer if there is a short delay. In order to minimize these issues, specific types of data can be given priority to help ensure timely transfer.

16. Each data unit transmitted across a network includes a header portion, which contains information for handling that data unit. This header data can include a “QoS priority indicator,” which informs the transmitting and receiving devices the priority level for the data unit. Prior to the invention described in the ‘149 Patent, however, use of QoS to prioritize data units was not effectively implemented. The ‘149 Patent provides systems and methods to improve QoS processing, resulting in enhanced performance of the network.

17. United States Patent No. 6,751,677 (“the ‘677 Patent”), titled “Method and Apparatus For Allowing a Secure and Transparent Communication Between a User Device and Servers of a Data Access Network System via a Firewall and a Gateway,” teaches a method for securely communicating across a network that is less complex than a traditional firewall. In a typical communications network, firewalls are used to control external access to and from the servers to improve security and prevent unauthorized intrusions, such as a hacker.

18. The ‘677 Patent uses a number of dynamically assigned ports to connect a user device, such as a PC, with a target server, such as a secure website. In addition, the ‘677 Patent utilizes “proxifying” the communication request sent by the user device, which allows for a single, end-to-end connection with the target server.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.