

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SLYDE ANALYTICS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2-23-cv-00083-RWS-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. ARGUMENT FOR TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,588,033	1
A. “gear train” (’033 Patent, Claims 1, 18)	1
B. “simulation of a mechanical watch”/ “simulate a mechanical watch” (’033 Patent, Claims 1, 18).....	4
C. “mechanical watch” (’033 Patent, Claims 1, 18).....	8
D. “synchronizing the displayed time by said displayed mechanical movement with that of said quartz oscillator” (’033 Patent, Claim 1)	9
II. ARGUMENT FOR TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,651,922	11
A. “to cause said several available cards to scroll past”/“scrolling on a digital matrix display of several available cards” (’922 Patent, Claims 1, 9).....	11
B. “A method for replacing an initially displayed card displayed by a wristwatch by a replacement card, the method having the following steps” (’922 Patent, Claim 9).....	15
C. “A wristwatch” (’922 Patent, Claim 1).....	16
III. ARGUMENT FOR TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,804,678	16
A. “A method combining gesture detection . . .” (’678 Patent, Claim 1).....	16
B. “A wristwatch . . .” (’678 Patent, Claim 14).....	18
C. “other processing means . . . for discriminating between gesture and no gesture . . .” (’678 Patent, Claims 1, 14).....	18
1. “other processing means” is a means-plus-function limitation.....	20
2. The specification does not define a structure for “other processing means” that performs the recited function	22
D. “discriminating between gesture and no gesture” (’678 Patent, Claims 1, 14)	24
E. “using said microcontroller for detecting said gesture” (’678 Patent, Claim 1); “said gesture being a tap or a double tap” (’678 Patent, Claim 11)	26
IV. ARGUMENT FOR TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,198,085	28
A. “A method for switching a wristwatch from a first power mode to a second power mode” (’085 Patent, Claim 1).....	28
B. “a duration between the starting position and the final position is in a predefined range” (’085 Patent, Claim 1).....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****CASES**

<i>August Technology Corp. v. Camtek, Ltd.</i> , 655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	14
<i>Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC</i> , 713 F.3d 1090 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	14
<i>C.W. Zumbiel Co. v. Kappos</i> , 702 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
<i>CardWare Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 2:22-CV-141-JRG-RSP, 2023 WL 5434763 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 23, 2023)	28
<i>C-Cation Techs., LLC v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-CV-0059-JRG-RSP, 2015 WL 1849014 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 20, 2015).....	29
<i>Cochlear Bone Anchored Sols. AB v. Oticon Med. AB</i> , 958 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	20, 21
<i>Commonwealth Sci. v. MediaTek Inc.</i> , No. 6:12-CV-00578-RWS, 2014 WL 12617293 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 5, 2014).....	20
<i>Eaton Corp. v. Rockwell Int'l Corp.</i> , 323 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	15
<i>Ergo Licensing, LLC v. CareFusion 303, Inc.</i> , 673 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	24
<i>Funai Elec. Co. v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.</i> , 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4
<i>Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	26
<i>Ibormeith IP, LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC</i> , 732 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	24
<i>In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Pat. Litig.</i> , 639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	20
<i>Lighting Ballast Control LLC v. Philips Elecs. N. Am. Corp.</i> , 790 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	21

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<i>Masimo Corp. v. Sotera Wireless, Inc.</i> , No. 2022-1393, 2023 WL 6990542 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 24, 2023)	21
<i>Miken Composites, L.L.C. v. Wilson Sporting Goods Co.</i> , 515 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	3
<i>Motion Games, LLC v. Nintendo Co.</i> , 2015 WL 243447 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 16, 2015).....	20
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	20, 23
<i>Pacing Techs., LLC v. Garmin Int'l, Inc.</i> , 778 F.3d 1021 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5, 7
<i>Personalized Media Commc'n, LLC v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , No. 2:08-CV-00070-RSP, 2011 WL 4591898 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 30, 2011)	20
<i>Power-One, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.</i> , 599 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4
<i>Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems, Inc.</i> , 739 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	15
<i>RFCyber Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , No. 2:20-CV-274-JRG, 2021 WL 5357465 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 17, 2021)	17
<i>Salazar v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 2:16-CV-01096-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 5021986 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 3, 2017).....	3
<i>Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-COR, Inc.</i> , 413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	17, 18, 20
<i>Starhome GmbH v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> , 743 F.3d 849 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	3
<i>TIP System, LLC v. Phillips & Brooks/Gladwin, Inc.</i> , 529 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7
<i>Triton Tech of Texas, LLC v. Nintendo of Am., Inc.</i> , 753 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	22
<i>Versata Software, Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.</i> , No. 2:06-CV-358, 2008 WL 3914098 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2008).....	4

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 112(6) 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.