



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. DR. JEFFAY APPROPRIATELY CONSIDERED THE MAY 2010 PROTOTYPE	1
II. DR. JEFFAY APPROPRIATELY CONSIDERED THE CHANGES BETWEEN 2010 AND THE PRESENT	4



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>PAGE</u>
Cases	
<i>01 Communique Lab’y, Inc. v. Citrix Sys., Inc.</i> , 889 F.3d 735 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	5
<i>Baxalta Inc. v. Bayer Healthcare LLC</i> , 513 F. Supp. 3d 426 (D. Del. 2021).....	2
<i>Burroughs Wellcome Co. v. Barr Lab’ys, Inc.</i> , 40 F.3d 1223 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	3
<i>Cooper v. Goldfarb</i> , 154 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	1
<i>Davis v. Reddy</i> , 620 F.2d 885 (C.C.P.A. 1980).....	3
<i>Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc.</i> , 292 F.3d 728, 737-43 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	3
<i>Sprint Commc’ns Co. L.P. v. Comcast IP Holdings, LLC</i> , 2015 WL 452289 (D. Del. Jan. 30, 2015).....	3
<i>ThinkOptics, Inc. v. Nintendo of Am., Inc.</i> , 2014 WL 3347531 (E.D. Tex. July 3, 2014)	2, 3
<i>Tyco Healthcare Grp. LP v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.</i> , 774 F.3d 968 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	4



TABLE OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
Ex. M	Deposition transcript of J. Seiden, taken in this case on June 4, 2024
Ex. N	Rebuttal Report of Dr. Kevin Almeroth in Response to the June 24, 2024 Opening Invalidity Expert Report of Dr. Kevin Jeffay
Ex. O	Expert Report of Russell W. Mangum III, Ph.D.

Touchstream's Reply abandons Touchstream's original position that the Court should strike all opinions of Comcast's technical expert, Dr. Jeffay, regarding the 2010 Xfinity TV App System.¹ Touchstream now requests only that the Court "strike the portions [of Dr. Jeffay's reports] referring to versions other than the November 2010 commercial release." Reply at 5. However, it provides no reason to limit Dr. Jeffay's testimony in this way, and the Court should deny Touchstream's Motion in its entirety.

I. Dr. Jeffay Appropriately Considered the May 2010 Prototype

As Comcast explained in its Opposition, Dr. Jeffay opines that the Asserted Claims are anticipated and/or rendered obvious by the 2010 Xfinity TV App System commercially released by Comcast on November 15, 2010. Ex. A (Jeffay Op. Rpt.) ¶¶ 26, 223 (at p. 92); Ex. B (Jeffay Dep. Tr.) at 215:3-9. Because Touchstream asserts a conception date for the Asserted Patents of October 8, 2010, Dr. Jeffay also traces the development of the 2010 Xfinity TV App System, including a May 2010 prototype, to explain Comcast's conception and diligent reduction to practice of the system under § 102(g).² Ex. A (Jeffay Op. Rpt.) ¶ 223; Ex. B (Jeffay Dep. Tr.) at 215:10-25. Under that section, "priority of invention goes to the first party to reduce an invention to practice unless the other party can show that it was the first to conceive of the invention and that it exercised reasonable diligence in later reducing that invention to practice." *Cooper v. Goldfarb*, 154 F.3d 1321, 1327 (Fed. Cir. 1998). Evidence of the conception and diligent reduction to practice of a prior art reference is relevant under § 102(g) even when that

¹ This brief refers to Comcast's Response to Touchstream's Motion (Dkt. 119) as the "Opposition" or "Opp."; Touchstream's Reply in Support of the Motion (Dkt. 138) as "Reply"; exhibits to Touchstream's Motion as "Mot. Ex."; and exhibits to the Sur-Reply Declaration of Alena Farber as "Sur-Reply Ex." All other terms carry the same meaning as in Comcast's Opposition.

² Dr. Jeffay also opines that the Asserted Patents are not entitled to the October 8, 2010, priority date and that the 2010 Xfinity TV App System therefore anticipates them under § 102(a) as well. Ex. A (Jeffay Op. Rpt.) ¶ 223 (at p. 92).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.