

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00443-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
v.	§	
	§	
HMD GLOBAL, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

v.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00440-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC., ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

v.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00447-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
PANASONIC CORPORATION, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

v.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00448-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
SONY CORPORATION, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

ORDER


Before the Court is Defendants Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America’s (collectively, “Panasonic”) Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint and to Serve Invalidity Contentions (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 40.) In the Motion, Panasonic requests an extension of its deadline to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s complaint from January 16, 2024 to January 30, 2024. (*Id.*) Additionally,

Panasonic requests that its deadline to serve invalidity contentions be extended from February 5, 2024 to February 19, 2024. (*Id.*)

Having considered the Motion, and noting that it is unopposed, the Court finds that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the deadline for Panasonic to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is **extended** to **January 30, 2024**. It is further **ORDERED** that the deadline for Panasonic to serve invalidity contentions is **extended** to **February 19, 2024**.

So Ordered this

Jan 17, 2024



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE