

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00443-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
v.	§	
	§	
HMD GLOBAL, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

v.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00440-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC., ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

v.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00447-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
PANASONIC CORPORATION, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

v.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00448-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
SONY CORPORATION, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is the parties’ Joint Motion for Entry of Partial Stay and Notice of Settlement of Claims Involving Google Products (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 39.) In the Motion, the parties request a stay of the case deadlines on a subset of claims involving accused products based on Google products. (*Id.*) Specifically, for Case No. 2:22-cv-00443 against Defendants HMD Global OY (“HMD Global OY”) and HMD America, Inc. (“HMD America”) (collectively,


“HMD”); Case No. 2:22-cv-00440 against ASUSTek Computer Inc. (“ASUSTek Computer”) and ASUS Computer International (“ASUS Computer”) (collectively, “ASUS”); and Case No. 2:22-cv-00448 against Sony Corporation formerly known as Sony Mobile Communications, Inc. (“Sony”), the parties request that the stay apply to the entire case. For Case No. 2:22-cv-00447 against Panasonic Holdings Corporation, formerly known as Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America (collectively, “Panasonic”), the parties request that the stay apply only to the claims and issues involving Google products accused products. (*Id.*) The request for partial stay does not apply to Panasonic’s accused products based on (1) Connect applications, products, and solutions; (2) TAK applications, products, and solutions; (3) ATAK applications, products, and solutions; and (4) CivTAK applications, products, and solutions. (*Id.*)

The parties jointly move the Court to enter a partial stay of the January 16, 2024, deadline to respond to the Complaint in the Court’s Order (Dkt. No. 23) and the unreached deadlines in the Court’s Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 33), including the February 5, 2024, deadlines to comply with P.R. 3-3, P.R. 3-4, and the Standing Order Regarding Subject-Matter Eligibility Contentions, for thirty (30) days in this consolidated matter to allow the settlement to be finalized and the dismissal papers for the subset of claims involving Google products.

Having considered the Motion, and noting its joint nature, the Court finds that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the deadlines with respect to the Google products are **stayed** for thirty (30) days.

So Ordered this

Jan 17, 2024



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE