# **EXHIBIT B**

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

### Case 2:22-cv-00443-JRG Document 42-2 Filed 01/16/24 Page 2 of 59 PageID #: 422

	as FORM PTO-1465) QUEST FOR EX PARTE I	REEXAMINATION TRANSMITTAL FORM				
	Address to: Mail Stop <i>Ex Part</i> e Reexam Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Attorney Docket No.: 2525.993REX0				
	Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Date: <u>May 15, 2020</u>				
1.	This is a request for <i>ex parte</i> reexa	mination pursuant to 37 CFR 1.510 of patent number 8,213,970 B2				
	issued July 3, 2012	third party requester.				
2.	The name and address of the person requesting reexamination is: Jonathan Tuminaro					
	Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & F	ox P.L.L.C.				
	1100 New York Avenue, N.W.,	, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20005				
3.	Requester asserts small entity status (37 CFR 1.27) or certifies micro entity status (37 CFR 1.29). Only a patent owner requester can certify micro entity status. Form PTO/SB/15A or B must be attached to certify micro entity status.					
4.	This request is accompanied by pa	yment of the reexamination fee as set forth in:				
	37 CFR 1.20(c)(2); or					
	37 CFR 1.20(c)(1). In checking this box for payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(c)(1), requester asserts that this request has forty (40) or fewer pages and complies with all other requirements of 37 CFR 1.20(c)(1).					
	Payment of the reexamination fee is	s made by the method set forth below.				
	a. A check in the amount of \$	is enclosed to cover the reexamination fee;				
	b. The Director is hereby auth	norized to charge the reexamination fee				
	to Deposit Account No.	;				
	c. Payment by credit card. For	Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached; or				
	d. Payment made via EFS-W	eb.				
	In addition, the Director is hereby a	uthorized to charge any fee deficiencies to				
	Deposit Account No. 19-0036					
5. 🔳	Any refund should be made by 37 CFR 1.26(c). If payment is made	check or ecredit to Deposit Account No. <u>19-0036</u> . e by credit card, refund must be to credit card account.				
6. 🔳	A copy of the patent to be reexamir enclosed. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(4).	ned having a double column format on one side of a separate paper is				

CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, Computer Program (Appendix) or large table

Landscape Table on CD

7.

DOCKE

Δ

#### [Page 1 of 3]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.510. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) a request for reexamination. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 18 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Case 2:22-0	C <mark>V-00443-JRG D</mark> TED STATES PATEN	Description of the second stress of the second stre	Page 3 of 59 Page	eID #: 423		
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov						
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
90/014,507	05/15/2020	8213970	2525.993REX0	6188		
	7590 07/27/2020	EXAMINER				
Spectrum Offic 4901 NW 17th		KISS, ERIC B				
	RDALE, FL 33309	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		3992				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/27/2020	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Case 2:22-cv-00443-JRG Document 42-2 Filed 01/16/24 Page 4 of 59 PageID #: 424

Control Number: 90/014,507 Art Unit: 3992

Applicant responded by amending claims 2, 3, and 7. Applicant again contended, inter alia, that

Page 4

Keating did not disclose a forced message alert system. See '122 App., Remarks, Sep. 9, 2011, p. 7.

The examiner subsequently allowed claims 2-14 upon entry of an Examiner's Amendment

removing references to a "PC" in all pending claims. '122 App., Examiner's Amendment, Apr. 25, 2012.

The examiner provided the following statement of reasons for allowance of the amended claims:

[C]laims 2-14 have been found to be novel and the inventive because prior art record fails to show or teach means for attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone, said forced message alert software packet containing a list of possible required responses and requiring the forced message alert software on said recipient PDA/cell phone to transmit an automatic acknowledgment to the sender PDA/cell phone as soon as said forced message alert is received by the recipient PDA/cell phone; means for requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient's response list from recipient's cell phone display; means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged the forced message alert and which recipient PDA/cell phones have not automatically acknowledged the forced message alert.

*Id.* at 9.

### **Priority Date**

The Request contends that the '970 patent is not entitled to priority to any of the earlier-filed

applications in its continuity chain, and is instead entitled to a priority date of only November 26, 2008 -

its actual filing date, (Request at 17-20).

Upon review, the examiner agrees with the contentions and evidentiary support in the Request,

(see id.), that none of the earlier-filed applications provide sufficient written description support for at

least a forced-message alert software-application program, as required by each independent claims of

the '970 patent. Accordingly, the examiner agrees that the '970 patent is entitled to a priority date of

November 26, 2008.

DOCKE.

Page 6

Control Number: 90/014,507 Art Unit: 3992

printed publication important in deciding whether or not the claim is patentable, unless the same

question of patentability has already been decided as to the claim in a final holding of invalidity by the Federal court system or by the Office in a previous examination. MPEP § 2242.

### B. Kubala and Hammond (SNQ 1)

The request asserts that a substantial new question of patentability as to claims 2 and 10-13 of the '970 patent is raised by Kubala and Hammond, (Request at 7). The examiner agrees.

Neither Kubala nor Hammond were considered by the examiner during the prosecution of the application that matured into the '970 patent.

As described in the Request, Kubala discloses PDAs that send and receive mandatory-response messages, (see Request at 32-35 (citing Kubala at Abstract, FIGS. 2, 9, 11A, 11C, ¶¶ 22, 32, 33, 35, 36, 50, 51, 57, and 61)).

As described in the Request, Hammond discloses tracking acknowledgements of and responses to mandatory-response messages, (see Request at 35-37 (citing Hammond at 1:13-16, 1:21-26, 3:1-5, 3:31-43, 6:3-19, 6:56-8:45, 10:5-11:48; FIGS. 2, 4, 5A, 5B)).

Because these new and non-cummulative technical teachings appear to be relevant to the specific features cited by the examiner as being absent from the prior art during prosecution of the '970 patent, there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider these teachings important in deciding whether claims 2 and 10-13 of the '970 patent are patentable. Accordingly, Kubala and Hammond raise a substantial new question of patentability as to these claims.

### C. Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe (SNQ 2)

The request asserts that a substantial new question of patentability as to claims 2 and 10-13 of the '970 patent is raised by Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe, (Request at 8-9). The examiner agrees.

Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe were not considered by the examiner in the application that matured into the '970 patent.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.