

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC	§ § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00443-JRG (Lead Case)
v.		
HMD GLOBAL, et al.		

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC	§ § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00440-JRG (Member Case)
v.		
ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC., et al.		

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC	§ § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00447-JRG (Member Case)
v.		
PANASONIC CORPORATION, et al.		

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC	§ § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00448-JRG (Member Case)
v.		
SONY CORPORATION, et al.		

ORDER


Before the Court are the Unopposed Motions for Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint (the “Motions”) filed by Defendant Sony Corporation (“Sony”) (Dkt. No. 19), Defendants Panasonic Holdings Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America (“Panasonic”) (Dkt. No. 20), Defendants ASUSTeK Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer International (“ASUS”) (Dkt. No. 21), and Defendants HMD Global Oy and HMD America, Inc. (“HMD”) (Dkt. No. 22) (collectively, “Defendants”). Each of the Defendants represents that they

have not yet been served with Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC's ("Plaintiff") Complaint, and that they have each agreed to waive service in exchange for a 60-day extension of time for the Defendants to respond.¹ (*See, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 19 at 2.) The Defendants request an extension to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until January 16, 2024.² (*See, e.g., id.*) The Motions are unopposed. (Dkt. No. 19 at 3; Dkt. No. 20 at 3; Dkt. No. 21 at 3; Dkt. No. 22 at 4.)

Having considered the Motions, and noting their unopposed nature, the Court is of the opinion that the Motions should be and hereby are **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that each of the Defendants' deadlines to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is **extended** up to and including January 16, 2024.

So Ordered this

Nov 13, 2023



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ In January 2023, these cases were stayed pending an ITC investigation. (*See, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 19 at 2.) In October 2023, this Court lifted the stay and ordered the Defendants to respond in mid-November.

² Only Panasonic requests an extension until January 15, 2024. (Dkt. No. 20 at 2.) The Court grants all Defendants an extension until the same day for the sake of uniformity in this consolidated action.