

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	Case No.
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
BLU PRODUCTS,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS Software” or “Plaintiff”) files this Complaint against Defendant BLU Products (“BLU” or “Defendant”) for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271 and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff AGIS Software is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas and maintains its principal place of business at 100 W. Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670. AGIS Software is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to U.S. Patent Nos. 8,213,970, 9,445,251, 9,467,838, 9,749,829, and 9,820,123 (the “Patents-in-Suit”).

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, with a principal place of business at 10814 NW 33rd Street, Doral, Florida 33172. On information and belief, Defendant may be served with process through its registered agent at 2999 NE 191st Street #407, Aventura, Florida 33180.

3. Defendant has authorized sellers and sales representatives that offer and sell products pertinent to this Complaint through the State of Texas, including in this Judicial District,

and to consumers throughout this Judicial District, such as: Best Buy, 422 West TX-281 Loop, Suite 100, Longview, Texas 75605; and Walmart, 1701 E. End Blvd. N., Marshall, Texas 75670; 515 E. Loop 281, Longview, Texas 756705; and 4006 Estes Pkwy, Longview, Texas 75603.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

5. This Court has specific and personal jurisdiction over Defendant consistent with the requirements of the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution and the Texas Long Arm Statute. On information and belief, Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the forum because Defendant transacts substantial business in the State of Texas and in this Judicial District. Further, Defendant has, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement in the State of Texas and in this Judicial District as alleged in this Complaint, as alleged more particularly below.

6. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District, have committed acts of patent infringement in this Judicial District, and have regular and established places of business in this Judicial District. Defendant, through its own acts and/or through the acts of others, makes, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell infringing products within this Judicial District, regularly do and solicit business in this Judicial District, and has the requisite minimum contacts with the Judicial District, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Further, on information and belief, Defendant has admitted or not contested proper venue in this Judicial District in other patent infringement actions.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

7. On July 3, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (the “’970 Patent”) entitled “Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote Communications.” On September 1, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an *Inter Partes* Review Certificate for the ’970 Patent cancelling claims 1 and 3-9. On December 9, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the ’970 Patent determining claims 2 and 10 (as amended) and claims 11-13 to be valid and patentable. A true and correct copy of the ’970 Patent, which includes the September 1, 2021 *Inter Partes* Review Certificate and the December 9, 2021 *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate, is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

8. On September 13, 2016, the United States and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251 (the “’251 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” On June 8, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate of the ’251 Patent determining claims 1-35 to be valid and patentable. A true and correct copy of the ’251 Patent, which includes the June 8, 2021 *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate, is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

9. On October 11, 2016, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,467,838 (the “’838 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” On May 27, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the ’838 Patent confirming the validity and patentability of claims 1-84. A true and correct copy of the ’838 Patent, which includes the May 27, 2021 *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate, is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.

10. On August 29, 2017, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,749,829 (the “’829 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” On August 16, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the ’829 Patent confirming the validity and patentability of claims 1-68. A true and correct copy of the ’829 Patent, which includes the August 16, 2021 *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate, is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.

11. On November 14, 2017, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,820,123 (the “’123 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” On September 24, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the ’123 Patent confirming the validity and patentability of claims 1-48. A true and correct copy of the ’123 Patent, which includes the September 24, 2021 *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate, is attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.

12. AGIS Software is the sole and exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest in the Patents-in-Suit, and holds the exclusive right to take all actions necessary to enforce its rights to the Patents-in-Suit, including the filing of this patent infringement lawsuit. AGIS Software also has the right to recover all damages for past, present, and future infringement of the Patents-in-Suit and to seek injunctive relief as appropriate under the law.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. Malcolm K. “Cap” Beyer, Jr., a graduate of the United States Naval Academy and a former U.S. Marine, is the CEO of AGIS Software and a named inventor of the AGIS Software patent portfolio. Mr. Beyer founded Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc. (“AGIS, Inc.”)

shortly after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks because he believed that many first responder and civilian lives could have been saved through the implementation of a better communication system. He envisioned and developed a new communication system that would use integrated software and hardware components on mobile devices to give users situational awareness superior to systems provided by conventional military and first responder radio systems.

14. AGIS, Inc. developed prototypes that matured into its LifeRing system. LifeRing provides first responders, law enforcement, and military personnel with what is essentially a tactical operations center built into hand-held mobile devices. Using GPS-based location technology and existing or special-purpose cellular communication networks, LifeRing users can exchange location, heading, speed, and other information with other members of a group, view each other's locations on maps and satellite images, and rapidly communicate and coordinate their efforts.

15. AGIS Software licenses its patent portfolio, including the '970, '251, '838, '829, and '123 Patents, to AGIS, Inc. AGIS, Inc. has marked its products accordingly. AGIS Software and all previous assignees of the Patents-in-Suit have complied with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287(a).

16. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the Patents-in-Suit by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing, and by actively inducing others to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or importing products that infringe the Patents-in-Suit. Such products include at least the following BLU mobile devices: F91 5G, C5 Max, C5L Max, C7, C6L 2020, C6 2020, C5L 2020, C5L, C5 2019, C5 Plus, C6L, C6 2019, G40, G51, G61S, G50 Mega 2022, G91 Max, G71L, G71+, G51S, G91S, G91 PRO, G51 Plus, G91, G71, G61, G50 Mega, G90 Pro, G9 Pro, G90, G80, G50 Plus, G50, G60, G70, G5, G5 Plus, G6, G8, G9, J9L, J7L, J6 2020, J5L, J2, J4, J6,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.