

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-cv-263-JRG  
(LEAD CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**SAMSUNG'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S  
MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S NINTH COUNTERCLAIM AND TO  
STRIKE DEFENDANTS' SIXTH AND SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....  | 2    |
| A. The Federal Circuit’s Indefiniteness Finding In AGIS’s Life360 Litigation .....  | 2    |
| B. The <i>AGIS I</i> Prosecution Bar Prohibited AGIS’s Litigation Attorneys From Participating In Claim Amendments In USPTO Proceedings ..... | 3    |
| C. AGIS’s Attorneys Participated In Claim Amendments Adding Limitations To The ’970 Patent Claims During Reexaminations .....                 | 4    |
| II. LEGAL STANDARDS .....   | 6    |
| III. SAMSUNG HAS SUFFICIENTLY PLEADED INEQUITABLE CONDUCT, AND AGIS’S CHALLENGE IS UNTIMELY.....  | 7    |
| A. Samsung Has Sufficiently Pleaded Inequitable Conduct.....  | 7    |
| B. AGIS’s Challenge To Samsung’s Inequitable Conduct Defense Is Untimely .....  | 10   |
| IV. SAMSUNG HAS SUFFICIENTLY PLEADED UNCLEAR HANDS.....   | 11   |
| V. SAMSUNG HAS SUFFICIENTLY PLEADED ITS NINTH COUNTERCLAIM .....  | 15   |
| VI. CONCLUSION.....   | 15   |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

|  | Page     |
|--|----------|
| <b>Cases</b>   |          |
| <i>Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc. v. Life360, Inc.</i> ,<br>830 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....  | 3        |
| <i>Allergan USA, Inc. v. Sun Pharm. Indus. Ltd.</i> ,<br>No. CV 19-1727-RGA, 2022 WL 11819975 (D. Del. Oct. 20, 2022).....                   | 12       |
| <i>In re BigCommerce, Inc.</i> ,<br>890 F.3d 978 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....   | 11       |
| <i>Bot M8 LLC v. Sony Corp. of Am.</i> ,<br>4 F.4th 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....  | 6        |
| <i>Capella Photonics, Inc. v. Infinera Corp.</i> ,<br>No. 2:20-CV-00077-JRG, 2021 WL 765084 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 26, 2021) .....                  | 7, 10    |
| <i>Cellular Commc'ns Equip. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> ,<br>No. 6:13-CV-507, 2015 WL 11118110 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 27, 2015) .....                      | 13       |
| <i>Cutsforth, Inc. v. LEMM Liquidating Co.</i> ,<br>No. 12-CV-1200, 2013 WL 2455979 (D. Minn. June 6, 2013).....                             | 8        |
| <i>Diem LLC v. BigCommerce, Inc.</i> ,<br>6:17-CV-186-JRG-JDL, 2017 WL 4693511, at *2 (E.D. Tex. July 6, 2017).....                          | 11       |
| <i>Encap, LLC v. Scotts Co., LLC</i> ,<br>No. 11-C-685, 2014 WL 6386965 (E.D. Wis. Nov. 14, 2014).....                                       | 13       |
| <i>Erfindergemeinschaft UroPep GbR v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i> ,<br>No. 2:15-CV-1202-WCB, 2017 WL 275465 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 20, 2017) .....      | 14, 15   |
| <i>Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> ,<br>575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....   | 7        |
| <i>Feitshans v. Kahn</i> ,<br>No. 06 CIV. 2125, 2007 WL 998400 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 2007).....  | 11       |
| <i>Gilead Scis., Inc. v. Merck &amp; Co.</i> ,<br>888 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....  | 12       |
| <i>Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson</i> ,<br>No. 2:21-CV-00213-JRG, 2022 WL 2055232 (E.D. Tex. June 6, 2022) ..... | 6, 7, 13 |
| <i>Graphics Properties Holdings, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> ,<br>No. CV 12-1394-LPS, 2014 WL 6629021 (D. Del. Nov. 20, 2014) .....             | 9        |

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(continued)

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <i>Hydradyne, LLC v. McCurdy</i> ,<br>No. 2:13-CV-748-JRG, 2013 WL 12134083, at *1 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 3, 2013) .....             | 10          |
| <i>Jazz Pharms., Inc. v. Roxane Lab'ys, Inc.</i> ,<br>No. 2:10-CV-06108-ES-JAD, 2013 WL 6858765 (D.N.J. Dec. 30, 2013) .....  | 12          |
| <i>Mullenix v. Univ. of Tex. at Austin</i> ,<br>No. 1:19-CV-1203-LY, 2021 WL 2172835 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2021) .....          | 11          |
| <i>Nalco Co. v. Chem-Mod, LLC</i> ,<br>883 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....  | 6, 15       |
| <i>Natera, Inc. v. Genosity Inc.</i> ,<br>No. CV 20-1352, 2022 WL 767602 (D. Del. Mar. 14, 2022).....                         | 8           |
| <i>Nilssen v. Osram Sylvania, Inc.</i> ,<br>504 F.3d 1223 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....   | 8           |
| <i>Raytheon Co. v. Indigo Sys. Corp.</i> ,<br>No. 4:07-cv-109, 2008 WL 5422874 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 29, 2008) .....                | 7, 14       |
| <i>Regeneron Pharm., Inc. v. Merus N.V.</i> ,<br>864 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....  | 8           |
| <i>Woodfield v. Bowman</i> ,<br>193 F.3d 354 (5th Cir. 1999) .....  | 6, 14       |
| <i>Yeti Coolers, LLC v. Rtic Coolers, LLC</i> ,<br>No. 1:15-CV-00597-RP, 2016 WL 5956081, at *8 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 1, 2016)..... | 14          |
| <b>Statutes</b>   |             |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112.....  | 8           |
| <b>Other Authorities</b>  |             |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b) .....  | 7           |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12.....   | 10          |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(B) .....   | 10          |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) .....   | 10, 11      |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2).....   | 11          |

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(continued)**

|                               | <b>Page</b> |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)..... | 6           |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).....    | 10          |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.