IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-cv-263-JRG-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SAMSUNG'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S OPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	INTRODUCTION		
II.	BACKGROUND		2
	A.	Procedural Background	2
	B.	Find My Device ("FMD")	4
III.	LEGAL STANDARD		5
IV.	ARGUMENT		5
	A.	AGIS Has No Justification For Its Delay In Seeking To Add FMD And A Corresponding Claim Construction Dispute.	6
	B.	The Construction Of "participants" Is Not Important Or Relevant	7
	C.	Granting Leave Would Prejudice Samsung.	9
	D.	A Continuance Would Not Cure The Prejudice Caused By AGIS's Delay	10
	E.	AGIS's Proposal To Construe "participants" As "devices," Not "users," Contradicts Its Prior Briefing	10
V.	CONCLUSION		11



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page
Cases
AGIS Software Development, LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG, 2018 WL 4908169 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 10, 2018)
Finesse Wireless LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC, No. 2:21-CV-00316-JRG-RSP, 2022 WL 16636930 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 2, 2022)5
Packet Intelligence LLC, v. Netscout Sys., Inc., No. 2:16-CV-230-JRG, 2017 WL 2531591 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 27, 2017)9
Statutes
28 U.S.C. § 1498(a)

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Ex. Number	Document
Plaintiff's Exhib	its Filed With Plaintiff's Opening Brief (Dkt. 82)
A (Dkt. 82-2)	June 19, 2023 Email From Enrique Iturralde to Samsung Regarding
	"Group" Term Claim Construction Issue
B (Dkt. 82-3)	June 22, 2023 Email From Neil Sirota to AGIS Regarding "Group" Term
	Claim Construction Issue
C (Dkt. 82-4)	June 28, 2023 Email From Enrique Iturralde to Samsung Regarding
	"Group" Term Claim Construction Issue
D (Dkt. 82-5)	July 6, 2023 Email From Enrique Iturralde to Samsung Regarding "Group"
	Term Claim Construction Issue
E (Dkt. 82-6)	June 29, 2023 Email From Neil Sirota to AGIS Regarding "Group" Term
	Claim Construction Issue
Defendants' Add	litional Exhibits Filed With This Brief
1	AGIS's December 2022 Infringement Contentions
2	June 16, 2023 Declaration of Michael C. Brogioli, Ph.D. Regarding Claim
	Construction
3	June 16, 2023 Declaration of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Regarding Claim
	Construction
4	Initial Determination on AGIS's June 15, 2023 Motion to Terminate ITC
	Investigation
5	AGIS Email Chain Noticing Samsung of its Intention to File the Pending
	Motion for Leave
6	AGIS Email Chain Raising "Group" Claim Construction Issue
7	Exhibit D1 to AGIS's June 2022 Proposed Amended Infringement
	Contentions
8	Exhibit A to AGIS's June 2022 Proposed Amended Infringement
	Contentions
9	Exhibit B to AGIS's June 2022 Proposed Amended Infringement
	Contentions
10	AGIS's Opening Claim Construction Brief in AGIS Software Development
1	LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc., No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG, Dkt. 165



I. INTRODUCTION

AGIS's belated attempt to add a claim construction dispute over the meaning of the word "participants" in the parties' *stipulated construction* of the term "group"—which follows this Court's construction from prior litigation—should be denied because the dispute is not relevant to any issue in this case. AGIS's new proposed meaning of "participants" could only be relevant to infringement issues for Google's Find My Device ("FMD") application. But FMD is not accused in AGIS's current, operative infringement contentions. Instead, over a year into this case and after the relevant deadlines have passed, AGIS is trying to inject FMD as a new accused product into this case, along with this new dispute over the meaning of "participants" within the established, agreed construction of "group." AGIS's tactics do not constitute good cause.

Only three weeks ago and a year into this case, AGIS moved for leave to amend its infringement contentions to add allegations against FMD. Dkt. 72 (hereinafter, "Contentions Motion"). But as Samsung's opposition to that motion explains, AGIS lacks good cause to add FMD to this action. Dkt. 85. AGIS has known about and has been continuously litigating allegations against Google's FMD for the past six years across dozens of cases, including two cases against Samsung. Indeed, in AGIS's first set of cases filed in 2017, AGIS accused FMD and disputed the construction of "group," resulting in this Court's construction that the parties agreed to follow just a month ago. Notably, in briefing "group" as a disputed term in that earlier case, AGIS expressly defined "participants" as "users . . . of mobile devices," contradicting its proposal now to construe "participants" as the "devices" themselves.

Despite this, AGIS deliberately omitted FMD from this case in an effort to avert a stay or dismissal based on parallel litigations that AGIS filed accusing FMD. In fact, in opposing Samsung's motions to stay or dismiss this case in view of AGIS's other parallel cases involving FMD, AGIS unequivocally represented to this Court that it was *not* accusing FMD in this case.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

