

EXHIBIT H

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:21-CV-72-JRG-RSP
	§	(LEAD CASE)
T-MOBILE USA, INC. and T-MOBILE US,	§	
INC	§	
	§	
LYFT, INC.	§	CASE NO. 2:21-CV-24-JRG-RSP
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
	§	
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. d/b/a UBER	§	CASE NO. 2:21-CV-26-JRG-RSP
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

On October 21, 2021, the Court held a hearing to determine the proper construction of disputed terms in United States Patents No. 7,630,724, 7,031,728, 8,213,970, 9,408,055, 9,445,251, 9,467,838, 9,749,829, 10,299,100, and 10,341,838. Before the Court is the Opening Claim Construction Brief (Dkt. No. 145) filed by Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC. Also before the Court is the Responsive Claim Construction Brief (Dkt. No. 156) filed by Defendants T-Mobile USA, Inc. and T-Mobile US Inc., Lyft, Inc., and Uber Technologies, Inc. d/b/a Uber (collectively, “Defendants”)¹ as well as Plaintiff’s reply (Dkt. No. 166). Further before the Court is the parties’ joint claim construction chart filed pursuant to Local Patent Rule 4-3 (Dkt. No. 124). Having reviewed the arguments made by the parties at the hearing and in their claim construction briefing, having considered the intrinsic evidence, and having made subsidiary factual

¹ Defendant WhatsApp Inc. settled prior to the filing of Defendants’ responsive claim construction brief. (See Dkt. No. 151).

findings about the extrinsic evidence, the Court hereby issues this Claim Construction Order. See *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*); *Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.*, 135 S. Ct. 831, 841 (2015).

Table of Contents

I. BACKGROUND..... 4

II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES 6

III. AGREED TERMS..... 10

IV. DISPUTED TERMS..... 10

 A. “SMS / short message service (SMS) messages” 10

 B. “providing a cellular phone communication network for designated participating users”. 12

 C. “similarly equipped cellular phone,” “similarly equipped PDA cellular phone,” and “similarly equipped PDA/cellphone” 12

 D. “said database including the generation of one or more symbols associated with a particular participating user” 17

 E. “accessing an application program in each cell phone for generating one or more symbols representative of one or more participant users, each of whom have a similarly equipped cellular phone” 20

 F. “using the IP address previously” 25

 G. “map display” 29

 H. “free and operator selected text messages” 32

 I. “establishing a cellular phone communication network for designated participants” 36

 J. “providing initiating cellular phone calling software in each cellular phone that is activated by touching a symbol on the touch display that automatically initiates a cellular phone call using the stored cellular phone number to the participant represented by the symbol” 37

 K. “database” 38

 L. “receiving a message from a second device” 38

 M. “message” 39

 N. “a forced message alert software application” and “a forced message alert software application program” 39

 O. “manual response” 43

 P. “a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic files between said PDA/cell phones in different locations” 44

 Q. “means for allowing a manual response to be manually selected from the response list or manually recorded and transmitting said manual response to the sender PDA/cell phone” 46

 R. “required response list” 48

 S. “transmitting a selected required response from the response list in order to allow the message required response list to be cleared from the recipient’s cellphone display” 52

 T. “each PDA/cell phone within a predetermined communication network is similarly equipped” 53

U. “[a] method of receiving, acknowledging, and responding to a forced message alert from a sender PDA/cell phone to a recipient PDA/cell phone” 54

V. “each representing a different participant that has a cellular phone that includes said voice communication, free and operator selected text messages, photograph and video, a CPU, said GPS system and a touch screen display” 55

W. “consisting of: a position of the participant symbol, positions of the one or more vehicle symbols, and a portion of the map displayed on the display of the mobile device” 60

X. “based on at least one criterion selected from the group consisting of: (1) passage of time, and (2) movement of the first vehicle” 65

Y. “event” and “event symbol” 66

Z. “based on the participant selection data, performing one or more acts selected from the group consisting of: sending updated vehicle data to the first mobile device corresponding to the vehicle, sending updated participant data to the second mobile device corresponding to the participant, and sending a message to the first mobile device corresponding to the vehicle” 67

AA. “receiving entity-of-interest data transmitted by the second mobile device, the entity-of-interest data comprising coordinates of a geographical location of a new entity of interest” 69

BB. “obtaining first data provided by a first mobile device corresponding to a vehicle, the first data including a first identifier” and “obtaining second data provided by a second mobile device corresponding to a participant, the second data including a second identifier associated with the participant” 74

V. CONCLUSION..... 78

APPENDIX A 79

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff alleges infringement of United States Patents No. 7,630,724 (the “724 Patent,” Dkt. No. 145 at Ex. A), 7,031,728 (the “728 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. B), 8,213,970 (the “970 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. C), 9,408,055 (the “055 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. D), 9,445,251 (the “251 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. E), 9,467,838 (the “838 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. F), 9,749,829 (the “829 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. G), 10,299,100 (the “100 Patent,” *id.* at Ex. H), and 10,341,838 (the “1,838 Patent” *id.* at Ex. I) (collectively, the “patents-in-suit”).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.