

EXHIBIT G

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:19-CV-361-JRG
	§	
GOOGLE LLC,	§	
_____	§	
	§	
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:19-CV-359-JRG
	§	
WAZE MOBILE LTD.,	§	
_____	§	
	§	
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:19-CV-362-JRG
	§	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and	§	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,	§	
INC.	§	

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Before the Court is the Opening Claim Construction Brief (Dkt. No. 116) filed by Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC (“Plaintiff” or “AGIS”). Also before the Court is the Responsive Claim Construction Brief (Dkt. No. 120) filed by Defendants Google LLC, Waze Mobile Ltd., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Defendants”) as well as Plaintiff’s reply (Dkt. No. 123). The Court held a hearing on October 30, 2020.

Table of Contents

I. BACKGROUND..... 4

II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES 5

III. AGREED TERMS..... 11

IV. DISPUTED TERMS..... 11

A. “selected response” 11

B. “the response list” 17

C. “a response list” 23

D. “recipient PDA/cell phone” and “sender PDA/cell phone” 26

E. “A method of receiving, acknowledging and responding to a forced message alert from a sender PDA/cell phone to a recipient PDA/cell phone, wherein the receipt, acknowledgment, and response to said forced message alert is forced by a forced message alert software application program, said method comprising the steps of:” 30

F. “a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic files between said PDA/cell phones in different locations” 35

G. “means for attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone, said forced message alert software packet containing a list of possible required responses and requiring the forced message alert software on said recipient PDA/cell phone to transmit an automatic acknowledgement to the sender PDA/cell phone as soon as said forced message alert is received by the recipient PDA/cell phone” 41

H. “means for requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient’s response list from recipient’s cell phone display” 46

I. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged the forced message alert and which recipient PDA/cell phones have not automatically acknowledged the forced message alert” 51

J. “means for periodically resending said forced message alert to said recipient PDA/cell phones that have not automatically acknowledged the forced message alert” 55

K. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have transmitted a manual response to said forced message alert and details the response from each recipient PDA/cell phone that responded” 59

L. “means for transmitting the acknowledgment of receipt to said sender PDA/cellphone immediately upon receiving a force message alert from the sender PDA/cellphone” 62

M. “means for controlling of the recipient PDA/cellphone upon transmitting said automatic acknowledgment and causing, in cases where the forced message alert is a text message, the text message and a response list to be shown on the display of the recipient PDA/cellphone or causes, in cases where the forced message alert is a voice message, the voice message being periodically repeated by the speakers of the recipient PDA/cellphone while said response list is shown on the display” 68

N. “means for allowing a manual response to be manually selected from the response list or manually recorded and transmitting said manual response to the sender PDA/cell phone” 73

O. “means for clearing the text message and a response list from the display of the recipient PDA/cell phone or stopping the repeating voice message and clearing the response list from the display of the recipient PDA/cell phone once the manual response is transmitted” 77

P. “spatial coordinates” 81

Q. “Short Message Service (SMS) messages” 86

R. “facilitating initiation of Internet Protocol (IP) based communication between the first device and the respective second devices” 92

S. “receiving a message from a second device” 95

V. CONCLUSION..... 99

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff alleges infringement of United States Patents No. 8,213,970 (“the ’970 Patent”), 9,408,055 (“the ’055 Patent”), 9,445,251 (“the ’251 Patent”), 9,467,838 (“the ’838 Patent”), 9,749,829 (“the ’829 Patent”) & 9,820,123 (“the ’123 Patent”) (collectively, “the patents-in-suit”). (Dkt. No. 116, Exs. B–G.) Plaintiff submits that “[t]he ‘[f]ield of the [i]nvention’ is described generally as related to the field of map-based communication among cellphone/PDA devices, now more commonly referred to as ‘smartphones.’” (Dkt. No. 116, at 1.)

The ’970 Patent is titled “Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote Communications” and issued on July 3, 2012. The Abstract of the ’970 Patent states:

The system and method having a specialized software application on a personal computer or a PDA/cell phone that that [sic] enables a participant to force an automatic acknowledgement and a manual response to a text or voice message from other participants within the same network. Each participant’s PDA/cell phone includes a forced message alert software application program for both creating and processing these forced message alerts. The system and method enabled by the forced message alert software application program provides the ability to (a) allow an operator to create and transmit a forced message alert from a sender PDA/cell phone to one or more recipient PCs and PDA/cell phones within the communication network; (b) automatically transmit an acknowledgement of receipt to the sender PDA cell phone upon the receipt of the forced message alert; (c) periodically resend the message to the recipient PCs and PDA/cell phones that have not sent an acknowledgement; (d) provide an indication of which recipient PCs and PDA/cell phones have acknowledged the forced message alert; (e) provide a manual response list on the display of the recipient PC and PDA/cell phone’s display that can only be cleared by manually transmitting a response; and (f) provide an indication on the sender PDA/cell phone of the status and content the [sic] manual responses.

The ’838 Patent is titled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks” and issued on October 11, 2016. The Abstract of the ’838 Patent states:

A method and system includes the ability for individuals to set up an ad hoc digital and voice network easily and rapidly to allow users to coordinate their activities by eliminating the need for pre-entry of data into a web or identifying others by name, phone numbers or email. This method is especially useful for police, fire fighters, military, first responders or other emergency situations for

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.