

EXHIBIT D7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:19-cv-00361-JRG
(LEAD CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

WAZE MOBILE LIMITED,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:19-cv-00359-JRG
(CONSOLIDATED CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:19-cv-00362-JRG
(CONSOLIDATED CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF¹

¹ The Court granted in part Defendants' unopposed motion for leave for increased page limits for Markman briefing and permitted up to 50 pages for Defendants' brief. Dkt. 113.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970 (“970 PATENT”)	2
A. “selected response” (Claim 10).....	2
1. A “selected response” Is A “response <i>message</i> ”	2
2. A “selected response” Is Selected By The “recipient”	5
B. “the response list” (Claim 1).....	6
C. “a response list” (Claim 2).....	8
D. “recipient PDA / cell phone” (Claims 1, 2, 10) and “sender PDA / cell phone” (Claims 1, 2, 10, 13).....	10
E. Claim 10 preamble (“A method of receiving, acknowledging and responding to a forced message alert from a sender PDA/cell phone to a recipient PDA/cell phone, wherein the receipt, acknowledgment, and response to said forced message alert is forced by a forced message alert software application program, said method comprising the steps of:”).....	14
F. “a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic files between said PDA/cell phones in different locations” (Claim 1).....	16
G. “means for attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone, said forced message alert software packet containing a list of possible required responses and requiring the forced message alert software on said recipient PDA/cell phone to transmit an automatic acknowledgment to the sender PDA/cell phone as soon as said forced message alert is received by the recipient PDA/cell phone” (Claim 1).....	18
H. “means for requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient's response list from recipient’s cell phone display” (Claim 1).....	22
I. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged the forced message alert and which recipient PDA/cell phones have not automatically acknowledged the forced message alert” and “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have transmitted a manual response to said forced message alert and details the response from each recipient PDA/cell phone that responded” (Claim 1)	24
J. “means for periodically resending said forced message alert to said recipient PDA/cell phones that have not automatically acknowledged the forced message alert” (Claim 1)	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
K. Claim 2 Means-Plus-Function Terms	29
1. The Forced Message Alert <i>Software</i> On The <i>Recipient</i> PDA/Cell Phone Performs Each Limitation’s Function	32
2. Google’s Constructions Identify the Correct Algorithms, While AGIS’s Algorithms Are Imprecise and Over-Inclusive.....	35
III. U.S. PATENT NOS. 9,408,055 (“’055 PATENT”), 9,445,251 (“’251 PATENT”), 9,467,838 (“’838 PATENT”), 9,749,829 (“’829 PATENT”), AND 9,820,123 (“’123 PATENT”).....	38
A. “spatial coordinates” (Claims 1, 14, 19, and 24 of the ’251 Patent; Claims 1, 22, 23, and 54 of the ’838 Patent; Claims 1, 34, and 35 of the ’829 Patent; Claims 1, 14, 18, 23, 36, 41, and 48 of the ’123 Patent)	38
B. “Short Message Service (SMS) messages” (Claims 1, 28, 31, 41 of ’055 Patent)	41
C. “facilitating initiation of Internet Protocol (IP) based communication between the first device and the respective second devices” (Claims 1, 28, 41 of the ’055 Patent).....	44
D. “receiving a message from a second device” (Claims 1 and 24 of the ’251 Patent)	47
IV. CONCLUSION.....	49

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Cases	
<i>3M Innovative Properties Co. v. Avery Dennison Corp.</i> , 350 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	10
<i>AIA Eng'g Ltd. v. Magotteaux Int'l S/A</i> , 657 F.3d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13
<i>Arterbury v. Odessa Separator, Inc.</i> , No. 5:16-CV-00183-RWS-RSP, 2018 WL 4027026 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 23, 2018)	21, 26
<i>Asyst Techs, Inc. v. Empak, Inc.</i> , 268 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	20, 23, 29, 34
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	15
<i>Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc. v. Schering-Plough Corp.</i> , 320 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	43
<i>Burns, Morris & Stewart Ltd. P'ship v. Masonite Int'l Corp.</i> , 401 F. Supp. 2d 692 (E.D. Tex. 2005).....	42
<i>C.W. Zumbiel Co. v. Kappos</i> , 702 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	14, 15
<i>Chief Am., Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10
<i>Contentguard Holdings, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-CV-1112-JRG, 2015 WL 8073722 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 4, 2015).....	17
<i>E2E Processing v. Cabela's</i> , No. 2:14-cv-36, 2015 WL 4051423 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 2, 2015).....	42, 43
<i>Halliburton Energy Serv., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7
<i>Image Processing Technologies, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-505, 2017 WL 2672616 (E.D. Tex. June 21, 2017)	7
<i>In re Fought</i> , 941 F.3d 1175 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	15

...

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.