IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Case No. 2:22-cv-00263-JRG

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY (DKT. 40)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page(s)
I.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
II.	BAC	KGROUND	2
III.	LEGA	AL STANDARD	4
IV.	ARG	UMENT	5
	A.	A Stay Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659 is Not Warranted	6
	B.	The Court Should Decline to Stay the Case Pursuant to its Inherent Power	7
V.	CON	CLUSION	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page	÷(s)
Cases	
AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Samsung Elec. Co., No. 2:19-cv-00362-JRG, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. Nov 4, 2019)5	5, 6
Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681 (1997)	7
Datatreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo & Co., 490 F. Supp. 2d 749 (E.D. Tex. 2006)	7
<i>In re Davis</i> , 730 F.2d 176 (5th Cir. 1984)	7
In re Google LLC, No. 2022-0126, Dkt. 2-1 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 23, 2022)	5
In re Google LLC, No. 2022-0140, Dkt. 2-1 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 4, 2022)	5
Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248 (1936)	7
<i>In re Ramu Corp.</i> , 903 F.2d 312 (5th Cir. 1990)	7
Saxon Innovations, LLC v. Palm, Inc., No. 6:09-CV-272, 2009 WL 3755041 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 4, 2009)	9
Soverain Software LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., 356 F. Supp. 2d 660 (E.D. Tex. 2005)	7
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp., 90 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	
In re Waze Mobile Ltd., No. 2022-0141. Dkt. 7 (Fed. Cir. May 23, 2022)	

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1659	7, 8, 9
28 U.S.C. § 1659(a)	8
Tariff Act of 1930 Section 337	6. 7



Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Response in Opposition to Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.'s (collectively, "Defendants" or "Samsung") Motion to Stay (Dkt. 40, the "Motion"). The Court should deny Samsung's Motion for the reasons set forth below.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Court should deny Samsung's Motion because the AGIS-Samsung II case involves different issues (including different accused functionalities, different accused products, and additional patents) than the issues presented in the AGIS-Samsung I and AGIS ITC litigations. The instant AGIS-Samsung II case involves the Samsung Knox and Tactical applications and software, as well as non-Google situational awareness applications. AGIS-Samsung II does not involve any Google applications or Google software.

The AGIS-Samsung I and AGIS ITC litigations involve different issues because, unlike here, they concern Google applications. Samsung admitted so in its repeated attempts to transfer AGIS-Samsung I to NDCA. For example, in its motion to transfer, Samsung represented to this Court that the issues centered around "the Accused Google Applications" which included the Google Maps for Mobile and Google Find My Device applications. See AGIS-Samsung I, Dkt. 46 at 2 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 9, 2020). In its petition for a writ of mandamus to direct this Court to stay the AGIS-Samsung I case, Samsung represented to the Federal Circuit that "AGIS's allegations of infringement against Samsung center around the same Accused Google Applications [Google

¹ Hereinafter, "AGIS-Samsung I" refers to AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC, No. 2:19-cv-00361-JRG (E.D. Tex.),"AGIS-Samsung II" refers to AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., No. 2:22-cv-0263-JRG (E.D. Tex.), and "AGIS ITC" refers to In re Certain Location-Sharing Systems, Related Software, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same, No. 337-TA-1347 (USITC).

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

