

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:22-cv-00263-JRG-RSP

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DEFENDANTS' PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED  
COMPLAINT UNDER RULE 12(B)(6)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS .....	3
A. Allegations in the Amended Complaint.....	3
B. Previous Allegations in <i>AGIS I</i> .....	4
III. LEGAL STANDARDS .....	4
A. Motion to Dismiss.....	4
B. Section 1498(a).....	5
C. Claim Splitting.....	6
IV. ARGUMENT.....	7
A. AGIS’s Allegations Against TAK Should Be Dismissed.....	8
B. AGIS’s Allegations of Infringement of the ’829 and ’123 Patents Should Be Barred for Claim Splitting .....	11
V. CONCLUSION.....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Abbey v. Mercedes Benz of N. Am., Inc.</i> , 138 F. App'x 304 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	13
<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 525 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	7, 11, 14, 15
<i>Advanced Software Design Corp. v. Fed. Res. Bank</i> , 583 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	11
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	4
<i>Astornet Techs. Inc. v. BAE Sys., Inc.</i> , 802 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	6
<i>Bank of New York Mellon v. Riley</i> , Case No. 21-40383, 2022 WL 1773364 (5th Cir. June 1, 2022) .....	6, 11
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	4
<i>ChriMar Sys., Inc. v. Alcatel-Lucent, Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:15-CV-163-JRG-JDL, 2015 WL 12941897 (E.D. Tex. May 19, 2015) .....	5, 6, 13
<i>D-Beam v. Roller Derby Skate Corp.</i> , 316 F. App'x 966 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	13
<i>Decca Ltd. v. United States</i> , 640 F.2d 1156 (Ct. Cl. 1980) .....	5
<i>F.D.I.C. v. Nelson</i> , 19 F.3d 15, 1994 WL 93409 (5th Cir. 1994) .....	6, 7
<i>FastShip, LLC v. United States</i> , 131 Fed. Cl. 592, 607 (2017), 892 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	5
<i>Foster v. Hallco Mfg. Co.</i> , 947 F.2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	7
<i>Garteiser Honea, P.C. v. Moskowitz</i> , Case No. 2:18-CV-00372-JRG, 2018 WL 6617780 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 18, 2018) .....	5, 10

*Hartsel Springs Ranch of Colo., Inc. v. Bluegreen Corp.*,  
296 F.3d 982 (10th Cir. 2002) .....6

*In re PersonalWeb Techs. LLC*,  
961 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....2, 7, 11, 12, 13

*IRIS Corp. v. Japan Airlines Corp.*,  
769 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....6

*JTH Tax, LLC v. Butschek*,  
Case No. 6:20-CV-26, 2020 WL 5083523 (S.D. Tex. Aug. 3, 2020) .....2

*Katz v. Gerardi*,  
655 F.3d 1212 (10th Cir. 2011) .....11

*Kitty Hawk Aircargo, Inc. v. Chao*  
418 F.3d 453, 457 (5th Cir. 2005) .....9

*Madey v. Duke Univ.*,  
307 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....6

*Mars Inc. v. Nippon Conlux Kabushiki-Kaisha*,  
58 F.3d 616 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....13

*Motorola, Inc. v. U.S.*,  
729 F.2d 765 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....11

*Nystrom v. Trex Co., Inc.*,  
580 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....12

*Orion IP, LLC v. Home Depot USA, Inc.*,  
Case No. 2:05-cv-00306-LED, 2005 WL 8161153 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 7, 2005) .....2

*Oxbow Energy, Inc. v. Koch Indus., Inc.*,  
686 F. Supp. 278 (D. Kan. 1988).....6

*Richmond Screw Anchor Co. v. United States*,  
275 U.S. 331 (1928).....11

*Sensormatic Sec. Corp. v. Sensormatic Elecs. Corp.*,  
273 Fed. Appx. 256 (4th Cir. 2008).....7, 13

*Super Van Inc. v. City of San Antonio*,  
92 F.3d 366 (5th Cir. 1996) .....2, 7

*Swindol v. Aurora Flight Scis. Corp.*,  
805 F.3d 516 (5th Cir. 2015) .....5, 9

*Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.*,  
551 U.S. 308 (2007).....5

*Texas Employers' Ins. Ass'n v. Jackson*,  
862 F.2d 491 (5th Cir. 1988) .....6

*ViaTech Techs., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.*,  
Case No. 17-570-RGA, 2018 WL 4126522 (D. Del. Aug. 28, 2018) .....13

*Zoltek Corp. v. United States*,  
672 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....5

**STATUTES**

28 U.S.C. § 1498(a) .....5, 11

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

18 Charles Alan Wright, et al., *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 4406 (Supp. 2008).....13

Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(2).....5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.