

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-cv-263-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ANSWER TO ADD  
ISSUE PRECLUSION AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. Procedural History .....	2
1. The <i>AGIS I</i> Actions Were Transferred To The NDCA.....	2
2. The NDCA SJ Order’s Finding Of Non-infringement For FMD .....	3
3. AGIS’s FMD Allegations Are Identical In This Case .....	3
B. Samsung’s Defense Based On Issue Preclusion .....	5
II. LEGAL STANDARDS .....	6
III. ARGUMENT.....	7
A. Each Good-Cause Factor Favors Granting Samsung Leave To Amend.....	7
1. Samsung Has Timely Moved To Amend Its Answer In This Case .....	7
2. Samsung’s Issue Preclusion Defense Is Important As It Could Narrow The Issues And Could Be Waived If Not Raised Now .....	8
3. Samsung’s Amendment Does Not Result In Any Prejudice Or Necessitate a Continuance Because It Does Not Require Discovery .....	8
B. The Rule 15(a) Factors Also Weigh In Favor Of Granting Leave .....	9
IV. CONCLUSION.....	11

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>CASES</u></b>	
<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 525 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	10
<i>Adrain v. Vigilant Video, Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-CV-173-JRG, 2013 WL 1984369 (E.D. Tex. May 13, 2013).....	7
<i>Arcelor Mittal Allantique et Lorraine v. AK Steel Corp.</i> , 908 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	10
<i>Bradberry v. Jefferson Cty., Tex.</i> , 732 F.3d 540 (5th Cir. 2013) .....	9
<i>Cellular Commc’ns Equip. LLC v. AT&amp;T Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-00576, 2017 WL 2267296 (E.D. Tex. May 24, 2017).....	8
<i>Colida v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 128 F. App’x 765 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	10
<i>Estech Sys., Inc. v. Target Corp.</i> , No. 2:20-CV-00122-JRG-RSP, 2021 WL 2187978 (E.D. Tex. May 28, 2021).....	6, 9
<i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , No. 2:19-CV-00310-JRG-RSP, 2021 WL 1626740 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 26, 2021).....	7
<i>Martinez v. Nueces Cnty.</i> , 71 F.4th 385 (5th Cir. 2023) .....	6
<i>Mass Engineered Design, Inc. v. Ergotron, Inc.</i> , No. 2:06 CV 272, 2008 WL 1930299 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 30, 2008) .....	8
<i>Mozingo v. Correct Mfg. Corp.</i> , 752 F.2d 168 (5th Cir. 1985) .....	8
<i>Realtime Data LLC v. EchoStar Corp.</i> , No. 6:17-CV-00084-JDL, 2018 WL 7283282 (E.D. Tex. July 17, 2018).....	8
<i>Rothschild Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. ADS Sec., L.P.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-01431-JRG-RSP, 2019 WL 6002198 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2019) .....	6
<i>Seven Networks, LLC v. Google LLC</i> , No. 2:17-CV-00442-JRG, 2018 WL 3327927 (E.D. Tex. July 6, 2018).....	8
<i>Soverain Software LLC v. Victoria’s Secret Direct Brand Mgmt., LLC</i> , 778 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
<i>State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. LogistiCare Sols., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 684 (5th Cir. 2014) .....	5, 9

**RULES**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) .....	6
Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).....	6

Samsung moves to amend its Answer to AGIS's Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") to add an issue preclusion defense based on a recent summary judgment order (the "SJ Order") from the Northern District of California ("NDCA"). In particular, issue preclusion bars AGIS's claim that Samsung infringes U.S. Patent No. 9,467,838 ("838 Patent") based on Google's Find My Device ("FMD") application.

The NDCA found that Google's FMD does not infringe the '838 Patent because it does not satisfy the "'sending data' limitation, which requires that a user select a 'user-selectable symbol ... positioned on the ... georeferenced map' corresponding to a second device and then 'send[] data' to the second device." SJ Order at 18–25. This limitation is an element of all claims of the '838 Patent. Despite the SJ Order's rulings, in this case, AGIS is continuing to allege that FMD infringes the '838 Patent, and it contends that FMD satisfies the "sending data" limitation based on the same accused features in FMD.

Samsung recognizes that issue preclusion will not attach to the SJ Order until the NDCA issues a final judgment on the issues for which the SJ Order granted summary judgment. To that end, on November 10, Google filed a motion in the NDCA for partial final judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), and this motion is contingent on the NDCA granting Google's motion. Once that judgment is entered, AGIS's identical claims against FMD in this case as to the '838 Patent are barred by issue preclusion. To avoid any delay and potentially needing to seek leave after fact discovery closes, Samsung now moves before the NDCA has issued final judgment.

Each of the four good-cause factors weighs in favor of granting Samsung leave to add this defense: (1) Samsung has expeditiously moved for leave to amend, indeed before a final judgment has even been entered based on recent NDCA SJ Order; (2) the defense is important because it could dispose of AGIS's claims of infringement of the '838 Patent based on FMD; (3) amendment

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.