

EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:17-cv-514

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software" or "Plaintiff") files this
Original Complaint against Defendant HTC Corporation ("Defendant" or "HTC") for patent
infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271 and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, AGIS Software, is a limited liability company organized and existing
under the laws of the State of Texas, and maintains its principal place of business at 100 W.
Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670. AGIS Software is the owner of all right, title, and
interest in and to U.S. Patent Nos. 9,467,838, 9,445,251, 9,408,055, and 8,213,970 (collectively,
the "patents-in-suit").

2. Defendant HTC is a foreign company organized and existing under the laws of
Taiwan, with its principal place of business at 23 Xinghua Road, Taoyuan City, Taoyuan County
330, Taiwan. Upon information and belief, HTC does business in Texas, directly or through

intermediaries and offers its products and/or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and potential customers located in Texas, including in the judicial Eastern District of Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant conducts business and has committed acts of patent infringement and/or has induced acts of patent infringement by others in this judicial district and/or has contributed to patent infringement by others in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). HTC is a foreign corporation and may be sued in this judicial district. Venue is further proper because, upon information and belief, HTC has regular and established places of business in this judicial district and is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, and/or has purposely transacted business involving the accused products in this judicial district.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

6. On July 3, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (the “’970 Patent”) entitled “Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote Communications.” A true and correct copy of the ’970 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

7. On August 2, 2016, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,408,055 (the “’055 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” A true and correct copy of the ’055 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

8. On September 13, 2016, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251 (the “’251 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” A true and correct copy of the ’251 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

9. On October 11, 2016, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 9,467,838 (the “’838 Patent”) entitled “Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks.” A true and correct copy of the ’838 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Malcolm K. “Cap” Beyer, Jr., a graduate of the United States Naval Academy and a former U.S. Marine, is the CEO of AGIS Software and a named inventor of the AGIS patent portfolio. Mr. Beyer founded Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc. (“AGIS, Inc.”) shortly after the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks because he believed that many first-responder and civilian lives could have been saved through the implementation of a better communication system. He envisioned and developed a new communication system that would use integrated software and hardware components on mobile devices to give users situational awareness superior to systems provided by conventional military and first-responder radio systems.

importing into the United States the infringing Accused Devices and by instructing users of the Accused Devices to perform methods claimed in the '970 Patent. For example, Defendant, with knowledge that the Accused Devices infringe the '970 Patent at least as of the date of this Complaint, actively, knowingly, and intentionally induced, and continues to actively, knowingly, and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '970 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

20. For example, Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe at least claim 6 of the '970 Patent in the United States because Defendant's customers use such devices, including at least the Android Device Manager, Find My Phone, Find My Device, Google Messages, Android Messenger, Google Hangouts, Google Plus, and Google Latitude, and Google Maps apps installed on the Accused Devices, in accordance with Defendant's instructions and thereby directly infringe at least claim 6 of the '970 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. For example, HTC directly and/or indirectly intentionally instructs its customers to infringe through training videos, demonstrations, brochures, installations and/or user guides such as those located at one or more of the following: www.htc.com, www.htc.com/us, https://support.sprint.com/support/article/Use-Android-Device-Manager-with-your-HTC-Desire-626s/WHowToSetupGuide_542_GKB88030-dvc9100003prd, and HTC agents and representatives located within this judicial district. Defendant is thereby liable for infringement of the '970 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

21. For example, Defendant directly and/or indirectly instructs its customers to infringe through pre-installed applications in the exemplary Accused Devices as shown below.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.