

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-cv-263-JRG
(LEAD CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ANSWER TO ADD CLAIM
PRECLUSION AND *KESSLER* DOCTRINE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. Procedural History	2
1. AGIS’s Past Lawsuits Asserting The ’970 Patent Against FMD And The First Dismissal Following Its Reexamination Claim Amendments	2
2. AGIS Dismisses Second Action Asserting ’970 Patent Against FMD	4
3. AGIS Seeks To Add FMD To This Case A Year After It Was Filed.....	4
B. Samsung’s Defenses Based On <i>Kessler</i> Doctrine And Claim Preclusion	5
II. LEGAL STANDARDS	6
III. ARGUMENT.....	7
A. Each Good-Cause Factor Favors Granting Samsung Leave To Amend.....	7
1. Samsung Has Timely Moved To Amend Its Answer In This Case	7
2. Samsung’s Preclusion Defenses Are Important As They Could Narrow The Issues And Could Be Waived If Not Raised Now	8
3. Samsung’s Amendment Does Not Result In Any Prejudice Or Necessitate a Continuance Because It Does Not Require Discovery	10
B. The Rule 15(a) Factors Also Weigh In Favor Of Granting Leave	10
1. The <i>Kessler</i> Doctrine Preclusion Defense Is Not Futile	11
2. Samsung’s Claim Preclusion Defense Is Not Futile.....	13
IV. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
<u>CASES</u>	
<i>Adaptix, Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> , No. 6:12-CV-120, 2015 WL 12696204 (E.D. Tex. May 12, 2015)	15
<i>Adrain v. Vigilant Video, Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-CV-173-JRG, 2013 WL 1984369 (E.D. Tex. May 13, 2013)	8
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Marchon Eyewear, Inc.</i> , 672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	12
<i>Blonder-Tongue Lab 'ys, Inc. v. Univ. of Ill. Found.</i> , 402 U.S. 313 (1971).....	13
<i>Bloom Eng'g Co. v. N. Am. Mfg. Co.</i> , 29 F.3d 1247 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	12
<i>Brain Life, LLC v. Elekta Inc.</i> , 746 F.3d 1045 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	11
<i>Cellular Commc 'ns Equip. LLC v. AT&T Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-00576, 2017 WL 2267296 (E.D. Tex. May 24, 2017)	9
<i>Estech Sys., Inc. v. Target Corp.</i> , No. 2:20-CV-00122-JRG-RSP, 2021 WL 2187978 (E.D. Tex. May 28, 2021).....	6, 7, 11
<i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , No. 2:19-CV-00310-JRG-RSP, 2021 WL 1626740 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 26, 2021).....	8
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , Nos. 2022-140 to -142, 2022 WL 1613192 (Fed. Cir. May 23, 2022).....	4
<i>Levi Strauss & Co. v. Abercrombie & Fitch Trading Co.</i> , 719 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	10
<i>Mars Inc. v. Nippon Conlux Kabushiki-Kaisha</i> , 58 F.3d 616 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	14
<i>Martinez v. Nueces Cnty.</i> , 71 F.4th 385 (5th Cir. 2023)	7
<i>Mass Engineered Design, Inc. v. Ergotron, Inc.</i> , No. 2:06 CV 272, 2008 WL 1930299 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 30, 2008)	10
<i>Mozingo v. Correct Mfg. Corp.</i> , 752 F.2d 168 (5th Cir. 1985)	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>R+L Carriers, Inc. v. Qualcomm, Inc.</i> , 801 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	12
<i>Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC v. Netflix, Inc.</i> , No. CV 19-6359-GW-JCx, 2020 WL 7889048 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 23, 2020), <i>aff'd</i> , 41 F.4th 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2022).....	13
<i>Realtime Data LLC v. EchoStar Corp.</i> , No. 6:17-CV-00084-JDL, 2018 WL 7283282 (E.D. Tex. July 17, 2018).....	10
<i>Rothschild Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. ADS Sec., L.P.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-01431-JRG-RSP, 2019 WL 6002198 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2019)	6
<i>Senju Pharm. Co. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 746 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	11, 15
<i>Seven Networks, LLC v. Google LLC</i> , No. 2:17-CV-00442-JRG, 2018 WL 3327927 (E.D. Tex. July 6, 2018).....	10, 14
<i>Seven Networks, LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC</i> , No. 3:21-CV-01036-N, 2022 WL 426589 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 10, 2022)	14
<i>Simple Air, Inc. v. Google Inc.</i> , 204 F. Supp. 3d 908 (E.D. Tex. 2016).....	9
<i>SimpleAir, Inc. v. Google LLC</i> , 884 F.3d 1160 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	6, 13
<i>SpeedTrack, Inc. v. Off. Depot, Inc.</i> , 791 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	5, 11
<i>Trs. of Bos. Univ. v. Kingbright Elec. Co.</i> , 427 F. Supp. 3d 246 (D. Mass. 2019).....	14, 15
<i>Uniloc 2017, LLC v. Ubisoft, Inc.</i> , No. SA CV 19-01150-DOC-KES, 2021 WL 1255605 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2021).....	14, 15
<i>Wi-LAN, Inc. v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 2:11-CV-68-JRG, 2013 WL 1222302 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2013).....	9
<i>Xiaohua Huang v. Huawei Techs. Co.</i> , No. 2:16-CV-00947-JRG-RSP, 2019 WL 1246260 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 12), <i>report</i> <i>and recommendation adopted</i> , No. 2:16-CV-00947-JRG-RSP, 2019 WL 1239433 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 18), <i>aff'd</i> , 787 F. App'x 723 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page

RULES

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) 2, 7, 11
Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4)..... 6
Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(B) passim

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.