

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC. and
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, d/b/a VERIZON
WIRELESS,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-0185-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	1
A. AGIS has filed fifteen lawsuits in this Court asserting the same set of patents.	1
B. Verizon's Accused Products.....	2
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	2
IV. ARGUMENT.....	5
A. AGIS fails to present plausible claims of direct infringement by Verizon or any other entity.	5
B. In addition to the failure to address the requirements for direct infringement, AGIS fails to plead facts to plausibly support its infringement allegations.....	8
C. AGIS's Indirect Infringement Claims for All Patents Fail.	11
1. All allegations of pre-suit indirect infringement must be dismissed because AGIS did not allege the requisite notice of the patents.....	11
2. Lacking Plausible Claims of Direct Infringement, the Complaint Cannot Allege Plausible Claims of Indirect Infringement.....	11
D. AGIS's Claims of Willful Infringement Should Be Dismissed.....	12
E. AGIS fails to plead facts supporting entitlement to injunctive relief.	13
V. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Achates Reference Publ'g, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 2013 WL 693955 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 10, 2013).....	12
<i>Addiction & Detoxification Inst. L.L.C. v. Carpenter</i> , 620 F. App'x 934 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Toyota Motor N. Am.</i> , 2014 WL 2892285, at *7 (W.D. Tex. May 12, 2014).....	4
<i>Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 797 F.3d 1020 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (<i>en banc</i>)	6
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , 678 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	14
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	2, 8
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	12
<i>In re Bill of Lading Transmission & Processing Sys. Patent Litig.</i> , 681 F.3d 1323, 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	4
<i>Bot M8 LLC v. Sony Corp. of Am.</i> , 4 F.4th 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	3
<i>Centillion Data Sys. v. Qwest Commc'n Int'l, Inc.</i> , 631 F. 3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7-8
<i>Chapterhouse, LLC v. Spotify, Inc.</i> , No. 2:18-cv-00300-JRG, 2018 WL 6981828 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 11, 2018).....	3, 9-10
<i>Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 575 U.S. 632 (2015)	3-4, 11
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2015 WL 12850550, at *5 (E.D. Tex. July 15, 2015), <i>report and recommendation adopted</i> , 2015 WL 4910427 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 14, 2015)	12
<i>De La Vega v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 2020 WL 3528411 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 11, 2020)	9
<i>Disc Disease Sols. Inc. v. VGH Sols., Inc.</i> , 888 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	10
<i>DSU Med. Corp. v. JMS Co., Ltd.</i> , 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	11
<i>eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.</i> , 547 U.S. 388 (2006).....	5, 13
<i>Fernandez-Montex v. Allied Pilots Ass'n</i> , 987 F.2d 278 (5th Cir. 1993)	2

<i>Glob.-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.</i> , 563 U.S. 754 (2011).....	3-4
<i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 579 U.S. 93 (2016).....	4, 12
<i>InMotion Imagery Tech. v. Brain Damage Films</i> , 2012 WL 3283371 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 10, 2012)	12
<i>Int'l. Bus. Machines Corp. v. Bookings Holdings Inc.</i> , 775 F. App'x 674 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	6
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC</i> , 870 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	7-8, 11
<i>Kirsch Research and Dev., LLC v. Atlas Roofing Corp.</i> , No. 5:20-cv-00055-RWS, 2020 WL 8363154 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2020)	3
<i>Lone Star Fund V. (U.S.), L.P. v. Barclays Bank PLC</i> , 594 F.3d 383 (5th Cir. 2010)	3
<i>M&C Innovations, LLC v. Igloo Prods. Corp.</i> , No. 4:17-CV-2372, 2018 WL 4620713 (S.D. Tex. July 31, 2018)	14
<i>N. Star Innovations, Inc. v. Micron Tech., Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 5501489, at *2 (D. Del. Nov. 16, 2017), adopted by No. 1:17-cv-506, Dkt. #37, slip op. at 1 (D. Del. Jan. 3, 2018)	9
<i>Nalco Co. v. Chem-Mod, LLC</i> , 883 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	13
<i>Parity Networks, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-CV-00207-ADA, 2019 WL 3940952 (W.D. Tex. July 26, 2019)	5
<i>Qwikcash, LLC v. Blackhawk Network Holdings, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 6781566 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 17, 2020)	9-11
<i>Ruby Sands LLC v. Am. Nat'l Bank of Tex.</i> , 2016 WL 3542430 (E.D. Tex. Jun. 28, 2016)	8
<i>Skinner v. Switzer</i> , 562 U.S. 521 (2011).....	3
<i>Software Development, LLC v. AT&T Inc.</i> , No. 2:21-cv-00028.....	1
<i>Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo</i> , 456 U.S. 305 (1982)	5, 13
<i>z4 Techs., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 434 F. Supp. 2d 437 (E.D. Tex. 2006)	14
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 271.....	3, 7

35 U.S.C. § 284.....12

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2).....2

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....1, 8

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.