

EXHIBIT 6

From: Rizk, Adam <ARizk@mintz.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2022 11:53 AM
To: Benson, Robert; Karambelas, Matthew; Blake@TheMannFirm.com; Mark@TheMannFirm.com
Cc: Eric Findlay; Brian Craft; De Renzis, Megan; Renaud, Michael; Davenport, Samuel; Debby Gunter; Sarah Hene
Subject: RE: 2:22-cv-00134: E.D. Tex.

Robert,

We understand Realtek's position.

AMD does not agree to Realtek's proposed stipulation, but is open to discussing other potential stipulations to promote efficiencies after the discretionary stay is lifted, such as, for example reuse of ITC document productions, contentions, expert reports, fact/expert testimony, etc.

At a minimum even absent stipulation, and as you are aware, there are procedures available for promoting efficiencies after the stay has been lifted, such as requesting transfer of the Commission record and/or seeking discovery with respect to relevant material generated in the course of the ITC proceeding, but that are not technically part of the Commission record.

Kind regards,
Adam

From: Benson, Robert <rbenson@orrick.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2022 11:09 AM
To: Rizk, Adam <ARizk@mintz.com>; Karambelas, Matthew <MAKarambelas@mintz.com>; Blake@TheMannFirm.com; Mark@TheMannFirm.com
Cc: Eric Findlay <efindlay@findlaycraft.com>; Brian Craft <bcraft@findlaycraft.com>; De Renzis, Megan <MADeRenzis@mintz.com>; Renaud, Michael <MTRenaud@mintz.com>; Davenport, Samuel <SFDavenport@mintz.com>; Debby Gunter <dgunter@findlaycraft.com>; Sarah Hene <shene@findlaycraft.com>
Subject: RE: 2:22-cv-00134: E.D. Tex.

Adam,

Your assumption is not correct. AMD is seeking a stay of the district court litigation, not Realtek. There is no rationale for "reciprocity."

In connection with its motion for a stay of the district court case, we are asking AMD if it will stipulate to be bound by any final determination by the Commission in the 1318 investigation that Realtek products do not infringe the '053, '547, '381 or '628 patents, any final determination by the Commission that any claims of those patents are invalid, and any other determination adverse to AMD related to the merits of the claims and defenses asserted in the 1318 investigation.

Best regards,

From: Rizk, Adam <ARizk@mintz.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2022 8:04 AM
To: Benson, Robert <rbenson@orrick.com>; Karambelas, Matthew <MAKarambelas@mintz.com>;
Blake@TheMannFirm.com; Mark@TheMannFirm.com
Cc: Eric Findlay <efindlay@findlaycraft.com>; Brian Craft <bcraft@findlaycraft.com>; De Renzis, Megan
<MADeRenzis@mintz.com>; Renaud, Michael <MTRenaud@mintz.com>; Davenport, Samuel
<SFDavenport@mintz.com>; Debby Gunter <dgunter@findlaycraft.com>; Sarah Hene <shene@findlaycraft.com>
Subject: RE: 2:22-cv-00134: E.D. Tex.

Robert,

I presume that what Realtek is proposing is reciprocity where both AMD/Realtek will stipulate to be bound by any final determination by the Commission in the 1318 investigation that Realtek products infringe or do not infringe the '053, '547, '381 or '628 patents, any final determination by the Commission that any claims of those patents are valid or invalid, and any other determination adverse to AMD/Realtek related to the merits of the claims and defenses asserted in the 1318 investigation.

Please confirm that this is what you mean so we can take the proposal to our client and get you a response.

Regards,
Adam

From: Benson, Robert <rbenson@orrick.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2022 10:47 AM
To: Karambelas, Matthew <MAKarambelas@mintz.com>; Blake@TheMannFirm.com; Mark@TheMannFirm.com
Cc: Eric Findlay <efindlay@findlaycraft.com>; Brian Craft <bcraft@findlaycraft.com>; De Renzis, Megan
<MADeRenzis@mintz.com>; Rizk, Adam <ARizk@mintz.com>; Renaud, Michael <MTRenaud@mintz.com>; Davenport,
Samuel <SFDavenport@mintz.com>; Debby Gunter <dgunter@findlaycraft.com>; Sarah Hene
<shene@findlaycraft.com>
Subject: RE: 2:22-cv-00134: E.D. Tex.

Eric and Matt,

In connection with AMD's current motion to stay, please advise whether AMD will stipulate to be bound by any final determination by the Commission in the 1318 investigation that Realtek products do not infringe the '053, '547, '381 or '628 patents, any final determination by the Commission that any claims of those patents are invalid, and any other determination adverse to AMD related to the merits of the claims and defenses asserted in the 1318 investigation.

Best regards,

Robert