

Exhibit 2

From: Benson, Robert <rbenson@orrick.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 10:42 AM
To: Eric Findlay; Johnson, Jeffrey; Blake Thompson; Mark Mann
Cc: Brian Craft
Subject: RE: AMD v. TCL, Realtek, et al. (Case No. 22-cv-00134-JRG-RSP)

Hi Eric,

Thank you for reaching out.

Realtek does not consent to electronic service of the summons and Complaint.

Realtek does not believe any further extensions are warranted with respect to upcoming contention deadlines.

Best regards,
Robert

From: Eric Findlay <efindlay@findlaycraft.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2022 6:44 PM
To: Benson, Robert <rbenson@orrick.com>; Johnson, Jeffrey <jj@orrick.com>; Blake Thompson <blake@themannfirm.com>; Mark Mann <mark@themannfirm.com>
Cc: Brian Craft <bcraft@findlaycraft.com>
Subject: RE: AMD v. TCL, Realtek, et al. (Case No. 22-cv-00134-JRG-RSP)

Counsel,

Pursuant to our discussion and email exchange last week, AMD believes a further extension is warranted in order to sync the initial disclosure date with the date TCL agreed with – July 26. Please let us know if you agree.

In addition, please let us know whether or not Realtek would oppose a motion for service to be effective on Realtek based on email or any other means the Court deems appropriate. We think it makes sense to avoid unnecessary motions practice and for Realtek to accept electronic service, but please let me know.

Best,

Eric

Eric H. Findlay
Findlay Craft, P.C.
102 N. College Avenue, Suite 900
Tyler, Texas 75702
(903) 534-1100 M: (903) 571-6963