

FILED UNDER SEAL—PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00186-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**SAMSUNG'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL DISMISSAL OF
SAMSUNG'S COUNTERCLAIMS (DKT. 69)**

FILED UNDER SEAL—PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LEGAL STANDARDS	2
A. Motions to Dismiss Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)	2
B. Motions to Strike Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).....	3
C. Pleading Inequitable Conduct.....	3
III. BACKGROUND	4
A. [REDACTED]	4
B. Dr. Burnett Failed to Disclose Van Compernelle During Prosecution of 207 Patent Application.....	6
C. Van Compernelle Discloses Claim Limitations That Applicants Argued Were Not Disclosed in Prior Art Relied Upon by the Examiner During Prosecution of the 207 Patent Application.....	7
IV. JAWBONE’S MOTION SHOULD BE DENIED.....	7
A. Samsung Adequately Pled Its Inequitable Conduct Counterclaim and Affirmative Defense.....	7
1. Samsung Adequately Pled the Who, What, When, Where, and How/Why of the Material Omission Committed Before the USPTO	7
2. Samsung Adequately Pled Facts From Which the Court Could Reasonably Infer That Dr. Burnett Knew of the Van Compernelle Reference and Withheld the Reference With the Specific Intent to Deceive	8
B. Jawbone’s Arguments in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss Samsung’s Inequitable Conduct Counterclaim Are Meritless	9
1. Samsung Pled Facts Sufficient to Show a Reasonable Inference of Intent in Support of Its Inequitable Conduct Counterclaim.....	10
2. Samsung Pled Facts Sufficient to Show the “How” and “Why” of Inequitable Conduct in Support of Its Counterclaim	11
C. Jawbone’s Motion to Strike Samsung’s Twenty-Fourth Affirmative Defense (Inequitable Conduct) Should Be Denied.....	11
D. Jawbone’s Motion to Dismiss Samsung’s Allegations Based on 28 Other Prior Art References Is Moot.....	12
E. Jawbone’s Motion to Dismiss Samsung’s Allegations of Infectious Unenforceability Should Be Denied.....	12
V. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SAMSUNG REQUESTS LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL FACTS SUPPORTING ITS INEQUITABLE CONDUCT COUNTERCLAIM AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE.....	12
A. Samsung Has Good Cause Under Fed R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) for its Amended Pleading.....	13
B. The Court Should Freely Give Samsung Leave to Amend Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2)	14

FILED UNDER SEAL—PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

C. Samsung’s Proposed Amendment Is Not Futile15
VI. CONCLUSION.....15

..

FILED UNDER SEAL—PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Eon Corp. IP Holdings, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , No. 6:10-CV-379-LED-JDL, 2011 WL 13134896 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 13, 2011).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	3, 7
<i>Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. FedEx Corp.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-980-JRG, Dkt. 396 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 19, 2018) (Ex. 7).....	15
<i>Invensys Sys., Inc. v. Emerson Elec. Co.</i> , No. 6:12-cv-00799-LED, Dkt. 179 (E.D. Tex. July 9, 2014) (Ex. 6).....	11, 12
<i>Mosaid Techs. Inc. v. Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.</i> , No. 6:11-CV-00173, 2012 WL 12898419 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 27, 2012).....	15
<i>OnPoint Sys., LLC v. Protect Animals With Satellites, LLC</i> , No. 4:20-CV-657, 2021 WL 3140562 (E.D. Tex. July 26, 2021).....	13, 14
<i>Script Sec. Sols., LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-1030-WCB, 2016 WL 5916627 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 11, 2016).....	13, 14
<i>SecurityProfiling, LLC v. Trend Micro Am., Inc.</i> , No. 6:16-CV-01165-RWS-JDL, 2017 WL 5150682 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 21, 2017).....	3, 11
<i>SimpleAir, Inc. v. AWS Convergence Techs.</i> , No. 2:09-CV-289, 2012 WL 12978325 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 31, 2012).....	13, 14
<i>Sonus Networks, Inc., v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd.</i> , No. 2:18-CV-00058-RWS, Dkt. 162 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 27, 2019) (Ex. 2).....	2
<i>U.S. Silica Co. v. Amberger Kaolinwerke Eduard Kick GmbH & Co. KG</i> , No. 2:20-CV-00298-JRG, 2021 WL 5415294 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 19, 2021).....	3
Other Authorities	
37 CFR 1.56.....	6
Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b).....	2, 3
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....	2, 3
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).....	3

...

FILED UNDER SEAL—PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2).....2, 14, 15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).....2, 13, 14, 15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.