IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Case No. 2:21-cv-00186-JRG-RSP

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY (DKT. 90)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pa</u>	ge(s)	
I.	INTI	RODUCTION	1	
II.	BACKGROUND			
III.	LEG	AL STANDARD	2	
IV.	ARG	GUMENT	3	
	A.	Jawbone Will Suffer Significant Prejudice if a Stay is Granted	3	
	B.	Samsung Will Not Suffer Any Hardship or Inequity if a Stay Is Denied	4	
	C.	The Denial of a Stay Will Not Result in Duplicative Litigation	5	
	D.	Samsung Has Failed to Establish a Stay Is Warranted	5	
V.	CON	ICLUSION	6	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page	(S)
Cases	
Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681 (1997)	., 3
Cummins-Allison Corp. v. SBM Co., No. 9:07-cv-196, 2008 WL 11348281 (E.D. Tex. May 21, 2008)	4
Edward D. Ioli Trust v. Avigilon Corp., No. 2:10-cv-605, Dkt. 279 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 16, 2012)	5
In re Fusion-IO, 489 F. App'x 465 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	3
Kaneka Corp. v. JBS Hair, Inc., No. 3:10-cv-1430-P, 2011 WL 13167931 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2011)	4
Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248 (1936)	2
Lennon Image Techs., LLC v. Macy's Retail Holdings, Inc., No. 2:13-cv-235-JRG, 2014 WL 4652117 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 18, 2014)	, 6
Moser v. Navistar Int'l Corp., No. 4:17-cv-00598, 2018 WL 1169189 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 6, 2018)	3
Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418 (2009)	2
Realtime Data LLC v. Actian Corp., No. 6:15-cv-463, 2016 WL 9340796 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 29, 2016)	3
Retractable Techs., Inc. v. Becton Dickinson & Co., No. 2:08-cv-16, 2011 WL 13134434 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 15, 2011)	2
In re Sacramento Mun. Util. Dist., 395 F. App'x 684 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	2
Soverain Software LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., 356 F. Supp. 2d 660 (E.D. Tex. 2005)	2
Team Worldwide Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., No. 2:17-cy-000234-JRG, 2018 WL 2722051 (E.D. Tex. June 6, 2018)	2

Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc., No. 2:19-cv-00259-JRG-RSP, 2020 WL 1433960 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 24, 2020)
Statutes
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)
Other Authorities
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26



Plaintiff Jawbone Innovations, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Jawbone"), by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Response in Opposition to Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.'s (collectively, "Defendants" or "Samsung") Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of its Motion to Transfer Venue to The Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (Dkt. 59). Samsung's Motion to Stay (Dkt. 90, "Motion") should be denied for the reasons set forth below.

I. INTRODUCTION

Samsung asks this Court to stay this case pending a ruling on its Motion to Transfer Venue filed nearly thirteen months after this case was filed. A stay would unnecessarily delay this litigation, particularly at this late stage of the case. The parties have completed claim construction briefing, the Court has held the *Markman* hearing, and fact discovery will close shortly after briefing on this Motion is complete.

Samsung does not attempt to show that the relevant factors favor staying this litigation; indeed, Samsung cannot satisfy its burden. Accordingly, Jawbone respectfully requests that this Court deny Samsung's Motion to stay.

II. BACKGROUND

On May 27, 2021, Jawbone filed a complaint against Defendants. Dkt. 1. An amended complaint was filed on October 26, 2021. Dkt. 21. Nearly thirteen months after the case began, on June 15, 2022, Samsung filed a motion to transfer venue to the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Dkt. 59.

This case has progressed deeply into discovery and claim construction. The parties exchanged initial disclosures on February 10, 2022 (Dkts. 40, 42), exchanged proposed claim terms and preliminary claim constructions (Dkt. 58), filed a joint claim construction statement (Dkt. 47) and completed claim construction briefing (Dkts. 67, 71, 76). The claim construction



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

