UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. T-MOBILE USA, INC., and T-MOBILE US, INC.,	Case No. 2:21-CV-00072-JRG-RSP (Lead Case)
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. LYFT, INC.	Case No. 2:21-CV-00024-JRG-RSP (Member Case)
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. d/b/a UBER.	Case No. 2:21-CV-00026-JRG-RSP (Member Case)
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. WHATSAPP, INC.,	Case No. 2:21-CV-00029-JRG-RSP (Member Case)

$\frac{\text{DEFENDANT WHATSAPP'S MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT}}{\text{FOR IMPROPER VENUE}}$



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTE	RODUCTION	1
II.	STA	TEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED	2
III.	FAC	TUAL BACKGROUND	2
	A. B. C.	Plaintiff AGIS Software Defendant WhatsApp Procedural History	3
IV.	LEG	AL STANDARD	5
V.	ARG	UMENT	5
	A. B.	WhatsApp Does Not Reside in this District	6
		 The Like Way Data Center is not located in this District	8
VI	CON	ICLUSION	11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page	(s)
CASES	
Adaptix, Inc. v. HTC Corp., 937 F. Supp. 2d 867 (E.D. Tex. 2013)	9
Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc. v. Life360, Inc., No. 9:14-cv-80651-DMM, Dkt. 32 (S.D. Fla. July 11, 2014)	2
In re Cray Inc., 871 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2017)5	5, 6
In re EMC Corp., 501 Fed. Appx. 973 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	9
Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 v. Intel Corp., Case No. 2:17-cv-00676-RWS-RSP, 2018 WL 5728524 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 28, 2018)	9
In re Google LLC, 949 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	.10
Hoffman v. Blaski, 363 U.S. 335 (1960)	9
Life360, Inc. v. Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc., No. 5:15-cv-00151-BLF, Dkt. 19 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2015)	2
Personal Audio, LLC v. Google, Inc., 280 F. Supp. 3d 922 (E.D. Tex. 2017)	
Sanofi-Aventis v. Synthon Holding BV, No. 1:07-cv-00086, 2008 WL 819295 (M.D.N.C. Mar. 20, 2008)	8
TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC, 137 S.Ct. 1514 (2017)	5
ZTE (USA) Inc. v. AGIS Software Development, LLC, No. 4:18-cv-06185-HSG, Dkt. 30 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 11, 2019)2	2, 3
STATUTES	
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)	im
28 U.S.C. § 1406(a)	5



RULE

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3)......1



Defendant WhatsApp LLC¹ ("WhatsApp" or "Defendant") respectfully moves the Court to dismiss this action for improper venue pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(3).²

I. INTRODUCTION

Venue in this District is improper for this action. WhatsApp neither resides in this judicial district, nor maintains a regular and established place of business in this District, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). WhatsApp is not incorporated in Texas. Neither WhatsApp nor its parent company Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") own, lease, or rent any property, facilities, or equipment in this District. There are no WhatsApp or Facebook employees who work at any facility located in this District, and no WhatsApp or Facebook servers within this District. Neither WhatsApp nor Facebook conducts any business from a regular and established place in this District.

In its First Amended Complaint ("FAC"), Plaintiff alleges venue based on a data center owned by Facebook and a second data center owned by Internap ("INAP"). But the Facebook data center is located in Tarrant County, which is part of the Northern District of Texas and not part of this judicial district. And the INAP data center in Plano, Texas is not a regular and established place of business of WhatsApp or Facebook. First and foremost, neither WhatsApp nor Facebook leased any space in, or otherwise used, the INAP data center at the time this suit was filed. Although the INAP data center previously provided colocation services to Facebook, that agreement was terminated years ago and all Facebook equipment that resided at the INAP data center was removed from that facility by April 2018. Facebook has not used the INAP data center

² On April 27, 2021, WhatsApp filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment against AGIS Software Development LLC in the Northern District of California. On May 18, 2021, a second company, Smith Micro Software, Inc., also filed a complaint seeking declaratory judgment of noninfringement and invalidity against AGIS Software Development LLC in the Northern District of California.



¹ WhatsApp, Inc. is incorrectly named in the FAC.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

