

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, §  
v. § CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG-RSP  
T-MOBILE USA, INC., AND T-MOBILE § (Lead Case)  
US, INC. §

---

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, §  
v. § CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00024-JRG-RSP  
LYFT, INC. § (Member Case)

---

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, §  
v. § CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00026-JRG-RSP  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., § (Member Case)  
d/b/a UBER, §

---

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, §  
v. § CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00029-JRG-RSP  
WHATSAPP, INC. § (Member Case)

**DEFENDANT UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS  
MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF STANDING ISSUE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. ARGUMENT .....	1
A. AGIS Ignores Uber’s Cited Case Law. ....	1
1. AGIS Bears the Burden of Proof to Establish Standing. ....	1
2. Standing Is a Threshold Issue. ....	2
3. “Hereby Assigns” Conveys a Present Assignment. ....	3
B. AGIS Ignores Uber’s Uncontroverted Factual Information. ....	3
C. The Factors Favor a Stay. ....	4
III. CONCLUSION .....	5

**TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
'970 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (Dkt. No. 1-1)
'724 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 7,630,724 (Dkt. No. 1-2)
'728 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 7,031,728 (Dkt. No. 1-3)
'100 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 10,299,100 (Dkt. No. 1-4)
'838 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 10,341,838 (Dkt. No. 1-5)
Complaint/Compl.	Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 1) (Jan. 29, 2021)
Mot.	Defendant Uber's Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of Standing Issue (Dkt. No. 25)
Opp.	Plaintiff AGIS's Opposition to Uber's Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 42)

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Aerotel, Ltd. v. Radiant Telecom Inc.</i> , 569 F. Supp. 2d 387 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 8, 2008).....	2
<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , 2018 WL 4680558 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 28, 2018).....	4
<i>Alps South, LLC v. Ohio Willow Wood Co.</i> , 787 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	1
<i>Alzheimer’s Inst. of Am. v. Elan Corp. PLC</i> , 2011 WL 6748634 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 22, 2011).....	4
<i>AntennaSys, Inc. v. AQYR Techs., Inc.</i> , 976 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	2
<i>Belfer Cosms., LLC v. Nordstrom, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 8792318 (S.D. Tex. Feb. 26, 2016) .....	5
<i>ESN, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 685 F. Supp. 2d 631 (E.D. Tex. 2009).....	2
<i>Israel Bio-Engineering Project v. Amgen Inc.</i> , 475 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	2
<i>Nomadix, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 2012 WL 1577436 (C.D. Cal. May 7, 2012) .....	3
<i>Sicom Sys., Ltd. v. Agilent Techs., Inc.</i> , 427 F.3d 971 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	1, 2
<i>U.S. Philips Corp. v. Iwasaki Elec. Co.</i> , 505 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	2
<b>STATUTES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 100(d) .....	1

## I. INTRODUCTION

Uber asks this Court to stay this case to resolve a threshold issue: Whether AGIS has standing to bring claims of infringement for three of the five asserted patents. In support of that request, Uber presented substantial case law, including from this Court, that recognizes the plaintiff bears the burden of establishing standing and that questions of standing must be resolved before proceeding to the merits of the case. AGIS does not dispute, nor does it even address this case law. In support of its request, Uber also presented substantial evidence—evidence that showed one of the inventors worked at Microsoft at the time he purported to assign his inventions to AGIS and that Microsoft’s employment agreement included language whereby employees assign, upon employment, rights to any future inventions to Microsoft. AGIS does not dispute any of the evidence, and in large part also does not even address much of the evidence. The absence of any challenge to either the law or to the evidence leaves little room for doubt that a stay will simplify the issues in this case. Uber, therefore, respectfully requests that the Court grant the motion to stay, permit discovery regarding the standing issue and enter an order setting a briefing and hearing schedule that will resolve the standing question expeditiously.

## II. ARGUMENT

### A. AGIS Ignores Uber’s Cited Case Law.

#### 1. AGIS Bears the Burden of Proof to Establish Standing.

“Standing to sue is a threshold requirement in every federal action.” *Sicom Sys., Ltd. v. Agilent Techs., Inc.*, 427 F.3d 971, 975–76 (Fed. Cir. 2005). A plaintiff must satisfy both Article III standing, as well as standing defined by § 281 of the Patent Act, which limits claims for patent infringement to the “patentee.” *Alps South, LLC v. Ohio Willow Wood Co.*, 787 F.3d 1379, 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2015). The “patentee,” however, “is not limited to the person to whom the patent issued, but also includes ‘successors in title to the patentee.’” *Id.* (quoting 35 U.S.C. § 100(d)). Because

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.