Exhibit 1

```
1
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                             MARSHALL DIVISION
 3
     AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ) ( CIVIL ACTION NO.
 4
                                           2:21-cv-00072-JRG-RSP
                                      ) (
                                      ) (
               PLAINTIFF(S),
                                               (Lead Case)
 5
                                      ) (
                                           MARSHALL, TEXAS
          versus
                                      ) (
                                           SEPTEMBER 29, 2021
 6
     T-MOBILE USA, INC., and
 7
     T-MOBILE US, INC.,
 8
               DEFENDANT(S).
                                      ) (
 9
     AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ) ( CIVIL ACTION NO.
10
                                      ) ( 2:21-cv-00024-JRG-RSP
               PLAINTIFF(S),
                                     ) (
                                             (Member Case)
11
                                      ) (
          versus
12
     LYFT, INC.
                                      ) (
13
14
    AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ) ( CIVIL ACTION NO.
                                     ) ( 2:21-cv-00026-JRG-RSP
15
               PLAINTIFF(S),
                                     ) (
                                           (Member Case)
16
         versus
    UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
17
            d/b/a UBER,
                                      ) (
18
19
    AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ) ( CIVIL ACTION NO.
                                     ) ( 2:21-cv-00029-JRG-RSP
20
               PLAINTIFF(S),
                                     ) (
                                             (Member Case)
2.1
          versus
                                      ) (
22
     WHATSAPP, INC.
                                      ) (
23
                         TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
24
                     BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROY S. PAYNE
                       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25
```

MARSHALL, TEXAS; MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 1 2 1:30 P.M. 3 THE COURT: For the record, we're here this afternoon for the hearing on the motion to dismiss filed by Lyft in the 4 case of AGIS Software Development versus T-Mobile -- or, at 5 12:41PM 6 least, that's the lead case -- which is Number 2:21-72. 7 Counsel state their appearances. MS. TRUELOVE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jennifer 8 Truelove and Vincent Rubino here on behalf of AGIS. We are 12:42PM 10 ready to proceed. 11 Mr. Rubino will be conducting the argument on behalf of 12 plaintiff this afternoon. 13 And if it pleases the Court, could I be excused shortly 14 before 2 to attend some scheduling conferences in Judge Gilstrap's court? 12:42PM 15 16 THE COURT: I understand you're required upstairs at 17 some point; and, certainly, you're free to take off whenever 18 you need to do that. 19 MS. TRUELOVE: Thank you, Your Honor. 12:42PM 20 MR. DACUS: Good afternoon. Daron Dacus here on behalf 2.1 of Lyft. Here with me are Jeremy Taylor and Bethany Salpietra 22 from the Baker Botts firm. Also with us is Mr. Max Loosen, who 23 is the client representative from Lyft, Your Honor. And we are 2.4 ready to proceed. 12:42PM 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Dacus.



those properties. There's no Lyft employees onsite. Lyft is like any other customer, a repeat customer, that may have agreements with these organizations to provide repair, maintenance, services.

Finally, the other thing that has come up during the briefing is Lyft's mobile support trucks. Lyft maintains a handful of trucks that operate out of Lyft's hubs, none of which are located in the Eastern District of Texas. The closest one would be near the DFW Airport in Irving and some Lyft mobile vehicle trucks and service drivers that may be using the Lyft app in the Eastern District of Texas. These are, by definition, not regular and established places of business in the Eastern District of Texas. Nine times out of 10 -- maybe even more than that -- they're not even going to be in the Eastern District of Texas. They're based out of Irving. But they do provide service in the Eastern District of Texas if requested by the drives that are using the Lyft app. Your Honor, that's why venue's improper.

If Your Honor grants the motion that Lyft has brought -Your Honor, of course, has an option to dismiss the case or
transfer the case, and I'd only briefly like to touch on that
point.

We'd ask you to dismiss the case or transfer to the Northern District of California. AGIS, plaintiff, is asking for the case to be transferred to the Western District of



2.1

12:50PM 25

12:49РМ 20

12:49PM 15

12:49РМ 10

12:49PM

Texas. And I won't go through the transfer factors. You can 1 2 read those in our briefing. We think they overwhelmingly support transfer to the Northern District of California. But I 3 just want to bring to your attention, to the extent you were 4 thinking about transferring to one of those districts. 5 12:50PM 6 First of all, very recently, the Western District of 7 Texas transferred out a case, the Ikorongo v Lyft case, for convenience, into the Northern District of California. The 8 facts of that case will not be meaningfully different. 9 12:50PM 10 Although there will be differences, the facts that determined that motion will be the same here. So the expectation is that, 11 12 if this case is transferred to the Western District of Texas, it would then be transferred likely again on the same ground to 13 the Northern District of California. 14 Secondly, Judge Albright, actually in a different case, 12:50PM 15 16 where I am actually counsel, Quartz Auto v Lyft, dismissed a 17 patent very similar to the '838 patent asserted against Lyft in 18 this case, where the accused infringement occurred on Lyft's 19 servers. Those servers don't exist in the Western District of 12:51РМ 20 Texas; and, thus, there's no active infringement there. 2.1 Dismissed on a venue basis and admitted it was a pendent venue 22 issue. It was dismissed from the Western District of Texas, 23 and that patent has proceeded in the Northern District of 2.4 California. 12:51PM 25 Finally, perhaps the most important point, is there's

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

