IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

v. T-MOBILE USA, INC., AND T-MOBILE US, INC.	* * * * * * *	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG (Lead Case)
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., d/b/a UBER,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00026-JRG (Member Case)

DEFENDANT UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING PATENT OFFICE PROCEEDINGS REGARDING THE ASSERTED PATENTS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>
I.	Backg	ground	1
II.	Legal	Standard	2
III.	Argur	nent	3
	A.	AGIS Will Not Suffer Undue Prejudice	3
	B.	The IPRs and Reexams Will Simplify or Eliminate Issues, Reducing the Burden on the Parties and This Court	4
	C.	The Stage of the Case Favors a Stay	6
IV.	Concl	usion	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Page(s</u>
Cases
3rd Eye Surveillance, LLC v. Stealth Monitoring, Inc., No. 6:14-cv-162-JDL, 2015 WL 179000 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 14, 2015)
AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC, No. 2:19-CV-00359-JRG, 2021 WL 465424 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 9, 2021)
Cellular Commc'ns Equip., LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., No. 6:14-cv-759, 2015 WL 11143485 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 16, 2015)
Customedia Techs., LLC v. DISH Network Corp., No. 2:16-cv-129-JRG, 2017 WL 3836123 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 9, 2017)
e-Watch Inc. v. Apple, Inc., No. 2:13-cv-1061-JRG-RSP, 2015 WL 12915668 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2015)
Ericsson Inc. v. TCL Commc'n Tech. Holdings, Ltd., No. 2:15-cv-00011-RSP, 2016 WL 1162162 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 23, 2016)
In re Etter, 756 F.2d 852 (Fed. Cir. 1985)
Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Millennial Media, Inc., No. 5:13-cv-04206-EJD, 2014 WL 2738501 (N.D. Cal. June 11, 2014)
Image Processing Techs., LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., No. 2:16-cv-505-JRG, 2017 WL 7051628 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 25, 2017)
KIPB LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., No. 2:19-CV-00056-JRG-RSP, 2019 WL 6173365 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 20, 2019)
Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248 (1936)
NFC Tech. LLC v. HTC Am., No. 2:13-cv-1058-WCB, 2015 WL 1069111 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2015)2, 5, 6
Norman IP Holdings, LLC v. TP-Link Techs., Co., No. 6:13-cv-384, 2014 WL 5035718 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 8 2014)
Soverain Software LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., 356 F. Supp. 2d 660 (E.D. Tex. 2005)
Veraseal LLC v. Costco Wholesale Corp., No. 2:17-cv-00713-RWS-RSP, 2018 WL 4524122 (E.D. Tex. May 18, 2018)



VirtualAgility Inc. v. Salesforce.com, Inc.,	
759 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	3, 4
Zillow, Inc. v. Trulia, Inc.,	
No. C12-1549-ILR 2013 WL 5530573 (W.D. Wa. Oct. 7, 2013)	4



Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") respectfully requests that the Court stay Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC's ("AGIS") case against Uber based on validity challenges at the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") covering the asserted claims of all five patents asserted by AGIS against Uber.

I. BACKGROUND

AGIS filed its complaint against Uber on January 29, 2021. *See* Compl. (No. 2:21-cv-00026, Dkt. 1). In that complaint, AGIS asserts that Uber infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,031,728 ("the '728 patent"); 7,630,724 ("the '724 patent"); 8,213,970 ("the '970 patent"); 10,299,100 ("the '100 patent"); and 10,341,838 ("the '838 patent"). Prior to filing its complaint against Uber, AGIS filed a suit against Google LLC asserting the '970 (and other) patents. *See AGIS Software Development LLC v. Google LLC*, No. 2:19-cv-00361 (E.D. Tex.).

Reexamination of the '970 Patent. On May 15, 2020, Google filed a Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of the '970 patent, challenging claims 2 and 10–13—the same claims AGIS alleges Uber infringes.¹ The USPTO granted the request on July 27, 2020, finding a substantial new question of patentability. On August 19, 2021, the challenged claims were finally rejected on multiple bases. Recently, on October 19, 2021, in response to the rejection, AGIS amended the two challenged independent claims. 10/19/2021 Amendment (Ex. 1) at 3–4, 6–7, 9. As a result, all claims of the '970 patent asserted against Uber are affected by the pending reexamination.

Inter Partes Review of the '100 and '838 Patents. On July 23, 2021, Uber filed three IPR petitions, one challenging claims 1–23 of the '100 patent, one challenging claims 24–31 of the '100 patent, and one challenging claims 1–26 of the '838 patent. See IPR2021-01306;

¹ Claims 1 and 3-9 were previously found unpatentable in an IPR proceeding filed by Google. *See Google LLC v. AGIS Software Dev., LLC*, IPR2018-01079, Final Written Decision (P.T.A.B. Nov. 19, 2019).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

