EXHIBIT 1



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

| AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. T-MOBILE USA, INC., AND T-MOBILE US, INC., | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG-RSP (Lead Case) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. LYFT, INC., | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00024-JRG-RSP (Member Case) |
| AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., d/b/a UBER, | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00026-JRG-RSP (Member Case) |
| AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, v. WHATSAPP, INC. | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00029-JRG-RSP (Member Case) |

DECLARATION OF DR. SHASHI SHEKHAR IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' CLAIM CONSTRUCTION



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | | | 1 |
|------|--------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | A. | Summ | nary of Opinions | 2 |
| | B. | Profes | ssional Qualifications and Compensation | 4 |
| | C. | Mater | ials Reviewed | 7 |
| II. | RELE | EVANT | LEGAL STANDARDS | 7 |
| | A. | Claim | Construction | 7 |
| | | 1. | Generally | 7 |
| | | 2. | Means-Plus-Function Terms | 9 |
| | B. | Indefi | niteness | 11 |
| | | 1. | Generally | 11 |
| | | 2. | Means-Plus-Function Terms | 12 |
| III. | LEVE | EL OF S | KILL IN THE ART | 14 |
| IV. | OVEI | RVIEW | OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS | 14 |
| | A. | The '7 | 728 Patent | 14 |
| | В. | The ' | 724 Patent | 15 |
| | C. | The 'S | 970 Patent | 15 |
| | D. | The '(| 955, '251, 7'838 and '829 Patents | 16 |
| V. | ANA | LYSIS | OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES | 18 |
| | A. | The " | free and operator selected text messages" phrase of the '728 Patent | 19 |
| | | 1. | The Context of the Claim Does Not Provide Any Guidance on the Scope of These Terms | 20 |
| | | 2. | The Specifications of the Asserted Patents Does Not Provide Any Guidance on the Scope of These Terms | 22 |
| | | 3. | The File History of the '728 Patent is Silent on the Meaning of the Term "Free and Operator Selected Text Messages" | 25 |



| | В. | The "similarly equipped cellular phone," "similarly equipped PDA cellular phone," "similarly equipped PDA/cell phone," and "each PDA/cell phone within a predetermined communication network is similarly equipped" phrases of the '728, '724, and '970 Patents | | | |
|-----|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| | | 1. | The Context of the Claims Does Not Provide Any Guidance on the Scope of These Terms | 27 | |
| | | 2. | The Specifications of the Asserted Patents Does Not Provide Any Guidance on the Scope of These Terms | 29 | |
| | | 3. | The File Histories of the Asserted Patents Are Silent on the Meaning of These Terms | 33 | |
| | C. | | said database including the generation of one or more symbols lated with a particular participating user" phrase of the '724 Patent | 33 | |
| | D. | The " | using the IP address previously" phrase of the '724 Patent | 37 | |
| | E. | The "accessing an application program in each cell phone for generating one or more symbols representative of one or more participant users" phrase of the '724 Patent | | | |
| | F. | The "means for allowing a manual response to be manually selected from the response list or manually recorded and transmitting said manual response to the sender PDA/cell phone" phrase of the '970 Patent | | | |
| | G. | The "c | device" term of the '055, '251, 7'838, and '829 Patents | 47 | |
| | | 1. | The claims do not recite structure | 47 | |
| | | 2. | The specification does not disclose an algorithm corresponding to each claimed function | 51 | |
| | | 3. | The claimed functions could not be accomplished by a general purpose computer without special programming | 69 | |
| VI. | CONO | CLUSIO | ON | 76 | |



I. INTRODUCTION

- I, Shashi Shekhar, do hereby declare:
- 1. I make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called upon to testify, would testify competently to the matters contained herein.
- 2. I am making this declaration at the request of Defendants T-Mobile USA, Inc., T-Mobile US, Inc. (collectively, "T-Mobile"), Lyft, Inc., Uber Technologies, Inc., and WhatsApp LLC¹ ("WhatsApp") (collectively, "Defendants") in the above-captioned case, and have been asked by Defendants to provide my expert testimony and opinions relating to certain terms and phrases in U.S. Patent Nos. 7,031,728 (the "'728 Patent"), 7,630,724 (the "'724 Patent"), 8,213,970 (the "'970 Patent"), 9,408,055 (the "'055 Patent"), 9,445,251 (the "'251 Patent"), 9,467,838 (the "7'838 Patent"), 9,749,829 (the "'829 Patent"), 10,299,100 (the "'100 Patent"), and 10,341,838 (the "1'838 Patent").
- 3. I understand that Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS") is asserting the following patent claims against the Defendants:

| Patent | Lyft | Uber | WhatsApp | T-Mobile |
|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|--------------|
| '728 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Patent | | | | |
| '724 | 9, 12-16 | 9, 12-16 | 9, 10, 12, 13, 15 | 9, 10, 12-16 |
| Patent | | | | |
| '970 | 2, 10-13 | 2, 10-13 | | |
| Patent | | | | |
| '100 | 1-31 | 1-31 | | |
| Patent | | | | |
| 1'838 | 1-26 | 1-26 | | |
| Patent | | | | |
| 7'838 | | | 1-43, 45, 46, 49-84 | 1-84 |
| Patent | | | | |

¹ WhatsApp, Inc. is incorrectly named in the first amended complaint filed in Case No. 2:21-cv-00029-JRG-RSP.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

