

EXHIBIT F

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 9,749,829

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 9,749,829)
Issued: Aug. 29, 2017)
Application No.: 14/633,764)
Filing Date: Feb. 27, 2015)

For: **Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks**

FILED VIA E2E

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,749,829**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Mandatory Notices under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....2

 A. Real Parties-in-Interest.....2

 B. Related Matters.....2

 C. Grounds for Standing5

 D. Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information.....5

 E. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review6

III. Identification of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)).....6

IV. Background.....6

 A. The '829 Patent (Ex. 1001)6

 B. The Prosecution History (Ex. 1026, "'829 FH")8

 C. The Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....10

 D. Claim Construction11

 1. "georeferenced map data".....11

 2. "georeferenced map".....12

V. The '829 Patent's Earliest Effective Filing Date Is October 31, 2014.....12

 A. Legal Background13

 1. Burden of Production.....13

 2. Priority to an earlier-filed application.....14

 B. The '829 Patent's Broken Priority Chain.....16

 C. The '410 Application Does Not Incorporate The '724 Patent.....20

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 9,749,829

D.	The '410 Application Lacks Support for a Device Joining a Group Via a Server-Mediated Invitation Message From a Device.....	22
1.	The '410 application discloses only one way to join the network: manually entering the server's IP address and the network name	23
2.	The '728 patent is directed to peer-to-peer networks and does not mention any servers	26
E.	The '410 Application Lacks Support for Server-Mediated Remote Control Operations of Other Devices	27
F.	The '410 Application Lacks Support for Obtaining Geo-Referenced Map Data From a Server	29
1.	The '410 application includes only a single reference to "georeferenced map" that has no relation to a server	30
2.	The '728 patent is directed at peer-to-peer networks and does not mention any servers or georeferenced map data	34
G.	The '410 Application Lacks Support for Anonymous Communications Between Devices.....	34
VI.	Ground 1: The Challenged Claims are Obvious Over the '724 Patent (Ex. 1008) Alone or in Combination with Borghei (Ex. 1027).....	38
A.	Independent Claims 1 and 34.....	39
1.	Overview	39
2.	Borghei describes the conventional request-acceptance-confirmation process for joining a location-sharing group.....	40
3.	Preambles and initial clause.....	41
4.	[a-b] invite another device to join a group (via server), and based on device's acceptance, a server joins device to the group including authorizing repeated location-sharing and remote control operations.....	42

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 9,749,829

5.	[c-f] second device requests and receives updated location of first device via server.....	46
6.	[f] second device receiving georeferenced map data from server.....	47
7.	[g] second device presents a georeferenced map based on the georeferenced map data with a symbol for the first device correctly positioned on the georeferenced map.....	48
8.	[h] second device receives a second updated location of the first device and updates its location on the map	49
9.	[i-k] user interacts with second device’s display to specify a remote-control action, second device sends a message, via server, to remotely control the first device.....	49
B.	Dependent Claims	50
1.	Claim 4: remote control action is displaying information	50
2.	Claims 7-11: status information.....	51
3.	Claim 12: sending location information based on request.....	52
4.	Claim 13: user-selectable symbol	52
5.	Claims 15-16: messages via Internet Protocol.....	53
6.	Claim 30: anonymous communications.....	53
7.	Claims 31-32: IP communications with servers	54
VII.	Secondary Considerations	54
VIII.	Institution is Appropriate.....	55
A.	The Prior Apple IPR and <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination	55
B.	Discretionary Denial Is Unwarranted Under <i>Advanced Bionics</i>	56
C.	Discretionary Denial Is Unwarranted Under <i>General Plastic</i>	60
D.	Discretionary Denial Is Unwarranted Under <i>Fintiv</i>	62

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.