#### THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

GESTURE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS,	§		
LLC,	§		
	§	CASE NO.	2:21-CV-40-JRG
V.	§		(LEAD CASE)
	§		
HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD. and	§		
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.	§		
	§		
	§		
GESTURE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS,	§		
LLC,	§		
	§	CASE NO.	2:21-CV-41-JRG
V.	§		(MEMBER CASE)
	Ş		
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Ş		
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS	Ş		
AMERICA, INC.	Ş		
	§		

#### CLAIM CONSTRUCTION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Before the Court is the Opening Claim Construction Brief (Dkt. No. 64) filed by Plaintiff Gesture Technology Partners, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "GTP"). Also before the Court is the Responsive Claim Construction Brief (Dkt. No. 70) filed by Defendants Huawei Device Co., Ltd., Huawei Device USA, Inc. (collectively, "Huawei"), Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung") (all, collectively, "Defendants") as well as Plaintiff's reply (Dkt. No. 72).

The Court held a hearing on September 21, 2021.

## Table of Contents

I. BACKGROUND	3
II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES	4
III. AGREED TERMS	9
IV. DISPUTED TERMS	9
1. "means for controlling a function of said apparatus using said information"	10
2. "computer means within said housing for analyzing said image to determine information concerning a position or movement of said object"	
3. "display function which is controlled"	23
4. "sensing means associated with said device"	26
5. "means for transmitting information"	
6. "a light source for illuminating said object"	32
7. "wherein said movement is sensed in 3 dimensions"	35
8. "wherein said information is obtained in 3 dimensions"	37
9. "electro-optically sensing" and "electro-optical sensing"	38
10. "oriented to view"	41
11. "oriented to view a user"	
12. "oriented to view an object other than the user"	47
13. "wherein the gesture is performed by a person other than the user of the handheld device"	
14. "a computer within the housing wherein the computer is adapted to perform a control function of the handheld device based on at least one of the first camera output and the second camera output"	51
15. "gesture"	
16. "adapted to"	57
17. "light source adapted to direct illumination through a work volume above the light source," "light source adapted to illuminate a human body part within a work volume generally above the light source," and "light source in fixed relation relative to the camera and adapted to direct illumination through the work volume"	61
18. "a processor adapted to determine the gesture performed in the work volume and illuminated by the light source based on the camera output"	64
19. "three-dimensional position"	66
20. "work volume above the light source," "work volume generally above the light source," and "work volume above the camera"	68
21. "forward facing portion"	71
22. "forward facing light source"	73
23. "the detected gesture is identified by the processing unit apart from a plurality of gestures"	

DOCKET

2	4. "the electro-optical sensor" and "the electro-optical sensor field of view"	. 77
2	5. "a processing unit within the device housing and operatively coupled to an output of the electro-optical sensor, wherein the processing unit is adapted to: determine a gesture has been performed in the electro-optical sensor output, and control the digital camera in response to the gesture performed in the electro-optical sensor field of view, wherein the gesture corresponds to an image capture command, and wherein the image capture command causes the digital camera to store an image to memory"	
2	26. "processing unit"	. 82
2	7. "processing unit operatively coupled to the sensor and to the digital camera, wherein the processing unit is adapted to: detect a gesture has been performed in the electro- optical sensor field of view based on an output of the electro-optical sensor, and correlate the gesture detected by the sensor with an image capture function and subsequently capture an image using the digital camera, wherein the detected gesture is identified by the processing unit apart from a plurality of gestures"	
2	8. "electro-optical sensor"	. 86
V.	CONCLUSION	. 87

#### I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff alleges infringement of United States Patent Nos. 7,933,431 (the "'431 Patent"), 8,194,924 (the "'924 Patent"), 8,553,079 (the "'079 Patent"), and 8,878,949 (the "'949 Patent") (collectively, "the patents-in-suit" or "the asserted patents"). (Dkt. No. 64, Exs. A–D.) Plaintiff submits that "[t]he Asserted Patents are generally directed to innovations in using mobile-device cameras to assist a user to interact with their device, for example including, but not limited to, unlocking the device, taking and using photos or videos, and providing other functions." (Dkt. No. 64, at 1.)

The '431 Patent, titled "Camera Based Sensing in Handheld, Mobile, Gaming, or Other Devices," issued on April 26, 2011, and bears an earliest priority date of July 8, 1999. The Abstract of the '431 Patent states:

Method and apparatus are disclosed to enable rapid TV camera and computer based sensing in many practical applications, including, but not limited to, handheld devices, cars, and video games. Several unique forms of social video games are disclosed.

The '924 Patent resulted from a continuation of the '431 Patent.

The '079 Patent, titled "More Useful Man Machine Interfaces and Applications," issued

on October 8, 2013, and bears an earliest priority date of November 9, 1998. The Abstract of the

'079 Patent states:

A method for determining a gesture illuminated by a light source utilizes the light source to provide illumination through a work volume above the light source. A camera is positioned to observe and determine the gesture performed in the work volume.

The '949 Patent, titled "Camera Based Interaction and Instruction," issued on

November 4, 2014, and bears an earliest priority date of May 11, 1999. The Abstract of the '949

Patent states:

Disclosed are methods and apparatus for instructing persons using computer based programs and/or remote instructors. One or more video cameras obtain images of the student or other participant. In addition images are analyzed by a computer to determine the locations or motions of one or more points on the student. This location data is fed to computer program which compares the motions to known desired movements, or alternatively provides such movement data to an instructor, typically located remotely, who can aid in analyzing student performance. The invention preferably is used with a substantially life-size display, such as a projection display can provide, in order to make the information displayed a realistic partner or instructor for the student. In addition, other applications are disclosed to sports training, dance, and remote dating.

#### **II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES**

It is understood that "[a] claim in a patent provides the metes and bounds of the right which the patent confers on the patentee to exclude others from making, using or selling the protected invention." *Burke, Inc. v. Bruno Indep. Living Aids, Inc.*, 183 F.3d 1334, 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Claim construction is an issue of law for the court to decide. *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*, 52 F.3d 967, 970–71 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996).

"In some cases, however, the district court will need to look beyond the patent's intrinsic evidence and to consult extrinsic evidence in order to understand, for example, the background science or the meaning of a term in the relevant art during the relevant time period." *Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.*, 135 S. Ct. 831, 841 (2015) (citation omitted). "In cases where those subsidiary facts are in dispute, courts will need to make subsidiary factual findings about that extrinsic evidence. These are the 'evidentiary underpinnings' of claim construction that we discussed in *Markman*, and this subsidiary factfinding must be reviewed for clear error on appeal." *Id.* (citing 517 U.S. 370).

To ascertain the meaning of claims, courts look to three primary sources: the claims, the specification, and the prosecution history. *Markman*, 52 F.3d at 979. The specification must contain a written description of the invention that enables one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention. *Id.* A patent's claims must be read in view of the specification, of which they are a part. *Id.* For claim construction purposes, the description may act as a sort of dictionary, which explains the invention and may define terms used in the claims. *Id.* "One purpose for examining the specification is to determine if the patentee has limited the scope of the claims." *Watts v. XL Sys., Inc.*, 232 F.3d 877, 882 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

Nonetheless, it is the function of the claims, not the specification, to set forth the limits of the patentee's invention. Otherwise, there would be no need for claims. *SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp.*, 775 F.2d 1107, 1121 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (en banc). The patentee is free to be his own lexicographer, but any special definition given to a word must be clearly set forth in the specification. *Intellicall, Inc. v. Phonometrics, Inc.*, 952 F.2d 1384, 1388 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Although the specification may indicate that certain embodiments are preferred, particular embodiments appearing in the specification will not be read into the claims when the claim

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.