Exhibit I



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/893,534	07/19/2004	Timothy R. Pryor	P06410US02/DEJ	2395
881 STITES & HA	7590 01/24/2008 RBISON PLLC	EXAMINER		
1199 NORTH	FAIRFAX STREET		MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3711		
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Case 2:21-cv-00040-JRG Docum	ent 70-4 Filed 09/02/21 P	age 3 of 8 PageID #: 1346			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/893,534	PRYOR, TIMOTHY R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- cation. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	un 20 October 2007				
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice t	ander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1955 C.D.	. 11, 403 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 21-31</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14, 21-31</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	**************************************				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
The dath of declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	office Action of form 1 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority doc					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	en anima d			
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	ir a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attach was wide)					
Attachment(s))	4) T intonii 0	ummary (PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application			



Page 2

Application/Control Number:

10/893,534 Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9-13,21-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedges (4339798)

Hedges teaches monitoring a live game by a TV camera, the game table having information such as roulette game rules/betting spaces etc., and camera monitoring while players place game markers (chips). Hedges also teaches a computer processor (41), identifying and displaying game markers on a live game display screen (44), establishing co-ordinate system (5:21-26). Applicant may note that roulette boards are stiff as well known in the art and the limitation is inherently taught.

As explained in previous office action all casinos are equipped with cameras that constantly monitor in real time all movements of every casino activity on every table including identifying all game pieces and their positions. <u>TV</u> Cameras placed in strategic locations constantly record all casino movements that are monitored on <u>monitors 60</u>. Hedges clearly teaches physical markers at a remote location "capable of being moved" on a casino table (abstract). <u>Newly added limitations in a computer means phrase only represent intended use "for analyzing", "for recognizing", etc. do not specifically claim structure that would <u>limit the apparatus claimed</u>. Limitations With reference to "generating sensation", such limitations are personal reactions and not part of apparatus.</u>

2. Claims 9-13,21-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Levy (3909002)



Application/Control Number:

Page 3

10/893,534 Art Unit: 3711

Levy teaches playing a game board (100) with markers, a TV camera placed above a live game board (5:10-31).

3. Claims 9-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karmakar (6508709).

Karmakar teaches monitoring a live game by TV camera (60,70,80), the game table having information (9:46-65) rules etc., and camera monitoring while players place game markers (cards 76 or game pieces for monopoly type games). Hedges also teaches a computer processor (41), identifying (Fig.6) and displaying game markers on a live game display screen (Fig.1C).

4. Claim 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gilboa (5853327).

Gilboa teaches a horizontal (Fig.22) display (8), computer means (2), markers (10), the game being placed at a comfortable height (Fig.22), having image of a conventional game (11).

5. Claim 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedges or Karmakar on view of Gilboa (5853327).

Hedges or Karmakar teach all limitations except that they do not teach the game board being video display. Gilboa teaches a display game board (8). Electronic display game boards are capable of displaying multiple/variable game indicia/picture/video and enhance amusement value. In order to make the game attractive to potential players, it would have been obvious to suggest modifying game boards to include electronic/video displays. One of ordinary skill in art at



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

