

# Exhibit G

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

GESTURE TECHNOLOGY  
PARTNERS, LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,  
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00040-JRG  
(Lead Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

GESTURE TECHNOLOGY  
PARTNERS, LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.  
AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS  
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00041-JRG  
(Member Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DEFENDANTS' EXPERT ROBERT LOUIS  
STEVENSON, PH.D., ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
VI. DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS .....	2
A. '431 Patent .....	2
1. “means for controlling a function of said apparatus using said information” .....	2

I, Robert Louis Stevenson, Ph.D., hereby state and declare:

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am over the age of 18 and am competent to make this declaration. I have personal knowledge, or have developed knowledge, of these technologies based upon my education, training, and/or experience, of the matters set forth herein.

2. I have been retained by counsel for Defendants Huawei Device Co., Ltd., Huawei Device USA, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”), in the above captioned matter to offer opinions as to the scope and meaning that would have been given to certain disputed terms and phrases in U.S. Patent No. 7,933,431 (the “’431 Patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,194,924 (the “’924 Patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,553,079 (the “’079 Patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 8,878,949 (the “’949 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”) by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. I previously submitted a declaration in this case regarding claim construction for the Asserted Patents.

3. I have been asked to provide my opinions concerning GTP’s modified construction for the “means for controlling” claim limitation that was provided to Defendants for the first time on July 16, 2020.

4. I reserve the right to supplement and/or amend my opinions in this declaration based on future opinions taken by the parties, their experts, additional documents, testimony, or other information provided by the parties or their witnesses, any orders from the Court, or as otherwise necessary.

## VI. DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS

### A. '431 Patent

#### 1. “means for controlling a function of said apparatus using said information”

Claim Term	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction
“means for controlling a function of said apparatus using said information”	<p>Structure: a control system associated with a camera</p> <p>(previously, “A computer with at least one microprocessor specially programmed for controlling said apparatus using said information”).</p> <p>Function: “controlling a function of said apparatus using said information”</p>	<p>Means-plus-function</p> <p><b>Function:</b> “controlling a function of said [handheld computer] apparatus using said information [concerning a position or movement of said object positioned by a user operating said object]”</p> <p>The dependent claims currently asserted by Plaintiff further add to the function, including: (1) wherein said object is a finger (Claim 8)</p> <p><b>Structure:</b> Indefinite</p>

5. Plaintiff's newly proposed structure (“a control system associated with a camera”) is not clearly linked to the claimed function in the specification and still does not identify the necessary algorithm for performing the claimed function.

6. The patent only refers to a “control system” in two portions of the '431 Patent: (1) generically when referring to “the robustness of control systems built on such camera based acquisition,” and (2) in an embodiment wherein a control system positions a robot for purposes of 3D acoustic imaging. In the first instance, the patent discloses that the potential for target acquisition in a millisecond or two using pixel addressable CMOS cameras “has major ramifications for the robustness of control systems built on such camera based acquisition, be

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.